

Chapter V

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusion

The results of this study show that the authors of this coursebook emphasize questions on the lower level of cognitive process which is understanding more than the higher ones. This finding is consistent with the previous findings of Ibtihal Assaly, Abdul K. I., (2014) who conducted a Content Analysis of the Reading and Listening Activities in the EFL Textbook of Master Class in Israel. The findings also support the specific English program goals which are the competence of using English language activities such as understanding and interpretation in listening/reading with the variety of sources to sharpen their knowledge. Learners are taught to understand and interpret with critical and reflective way, information conveyed by different media, and produce diversified texts in English as stated in the curriculum objectives.

Conversely, this coursebook distinguishes a bit more density in the higher level of cognitive process compared with the previous findings which are more advanced. The three levels of activities in the higher thinking order appeared almost in all the activities in the nine units of reading and listening sections to challenge and provide creative thinking out of the box in this case especially the cognitive level of analyzing.

This 12th grade English coursebook that was prepared by the Timor Leste's Government and its counterparts for the 12 graders of secondary school contains a wealth of beneficial activities in the nine units of reading and listening. The authors have solved the puzzle by providing the activities for both levels, lower and higher order thinking skills, not only to grasp the meanings but also to strive beyond the knowledge. To conclude, this 12th grade English coursebook is appropriate for the learners to compete in the examination and matriculation in the higher education. Special credit should be given to the authors Gillian Moreira, Paula

Fonseca, Susana Pinto, and Tim Oswald who provide this outstanding English Coursebook.

Recommendations

As this study is limited to only two sections of reading and listening activities of the 12th grade English coursebook based on the thinking skills, the following recommendations are given. The questions of reading and listening sections investigated in this study should be given more attention on both levels-lower order and higher order thinking skills. This research finds that the learning objectives of cognitive levels of lower order thinking were represented in these two sections. However, the higher order of thinking skills are not emphasized in the reading and listening activities.

Therefore, the writers should pay more attention to emphasize the cognitive levels of higher thinking skills. Then, for the teacher can be aware to develop their own learning material by substituting the weaknesses in the sections of this coursebook. The last, the future researcher is recommended to investigate the following part:

1. External and internal (cover of the book, introduction, and the language components and the skills) of this coursebook.
2. The remaining sections of the coursebook, the 12th grade English coursebook
3. The teacher's guide and the workbook.

References

- Alcala, A. (1971). Cognitive level of questions in new social studies textbooks for grades III-VI. Doctoral dissertation. *Berkeley: University of California.*
- Ali Roohani, F. T. (2014). Evaluating Four Corners Textbooks in Terms of Cognitive Processes Using Bloom's Revised Taxonomy. *Cambridge University Press.*
- Amin, A. (2004). Learning objectives in university Persian and English general language course in terms of Bloom's taxonomy. (*Unpublished master's thesis*), Shiraz.
- Anderson, L. D. (2001). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. *U.S.: Addison WesleyLongman, Inc.*
- Anderson, L. D. (2001). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's

Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. *U.S.:*
Addison WesleyLongman, Inc.

Anderson, L. K. (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. *New York: Longman.*

Andre, T. (1979). Does answering higher-level questions while reading facilitate productive learning? . *Review of Educational Research, 49, 280-318.*
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00346543049002280>.

Black, R. T. (1980). An analysis of levels of thinking in Nigerian science teachers examinations. . *Journal of research in science teaching, 17(4), 301-306.*
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660170406>.

Bloom, B. E. ((1956).). Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive domain. *New York, Toronto: Longmans, Green.*

Bull, S. G. (1973). The role of questions in maintaining attention to textual material. . *Review of Educational Research*, 43, 83-88.

Caroll, G. &-R. (2007). Millenium Development Goals and Timor Leste. In M. Clarke & S. Feeny (Eds.), *Education for the End of Poverty*. London: Nova Science Publishers.

Citation: Huitt, W. ((2011).). Bloom et al.'s taxonomy of the cognitive domain. Educational Psychology Interactive. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University.
<http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/cognition/bloom.html>.

Cunningsworth, A. (1995). *Choosing your coursebook*. Oxford: Heinemann Publisher Ltd, 2.

Davidson, T. (2005). Background strategy paper for EFA mission, 6-11 June 2005. *Dili Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste*.

E., H. T. (1994). The Textbook as agent of change. *ELT Journal*, 84 (4), 315-28.

Edward, S. &. (1996). Promoting student learning through questioning: A Study of classroom questions. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 7(2), 3-24.

Freebairn, A. &. (1995). Blue Print One. (Longman). In A. Cunningsworth, *Choosing Your Coursebook* (p. 116). Between Towns Road, Oxford OX4 3PP,UK: Macmillan.

Freebairn, A. a. (1995). Blue Print One. (Longman). In A. Cunningsworth, *Choosing Your Coursebook* (p. 116). Oxford OX4 3PP,UK: Macmillan.

Garton-Sprenger, J. &. (1991). Flying Colours. (*Heinemann*).

Gholamreza Zareian, a. M. (2015). An Evaluation of Questions in Two ESP Coursebooks Based on Bloom's New. *International Journal of Education and Research Vol. 3 No. 8 August 2015*. (www.ijern.com).

Gordani, Y. (2008). content analysis of guidance school English textbooks with regard to Bloom's levels of

learning. (*Unpublished master's thesis*), Shiraz University, Iran.

Green, A. (1926). 'The Measurement of Modern Language Books'. . *The Modern Journal*, 10 (5), 259-269.

Heyward, M. (. (2005). Lafaek as a tool to support education improvements in East Timor. *Dili: World Bank*.

Hoeppel, F. C. (1980). A taxonomical analysis of questions found in reading skills development books used in Maryland community college developmental/ remedial reading programs. (*Unpublished doctoral dissertation*).*The American university*.

Holsti, O. R. (1969). Content analysis for the social sciences and humanities. USA: Addison Wesley Publishing Company., p.2.

Huitt, W. (2011). Bloom et al.'s taxonomy of the cognitive domain. Educational Psychology Interactive. Valdosta,. GA: Valdosta State University.

Retrieved [date], *from*
http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/cognitio
n/bloom.html.

Ibrahim, F. A.-L. (1998). *Curricula foundations, organizations, and Evaluation. Cairo: Egypt Library.*

Ibtihal Assaly, A. K. (2014). A Content Analysis of the Reading and Listening Activities in the EFL Textbook of Master Class. *Education Journal. Vol. 3, No. 2., 24-38.*

Leste, M. d. (2011). *PlanuCurricular.* Dili: Ministry of Education of Timr Leste.

Leste, M. d. (2011). *ProgramaInglês.* Dili: Ministerio da Educação de Timor Leste.

MEYCS. (2004). *Primary Curriculum Implementation Plan: Timor Leste 2004-2009. Dili: Ministry of Education, Culture, Youth and Sport.*

Mosallanejad, N. (2008). *Evaluation of high school English textbooks on the basis of Bloom's*

taxonomy. *Unpublished master's thesis, Shiraz University, Iran.*

Munby, J. (1978). *Communicative Syllabus Design. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.*

Northern Illinois University, Faculty Development and Instructional Design Center. (n.d.). Retrieved from facdev@niu.edu,: www.niu.edu/facdev, 815.753.0595

Nunan, D. (1988). *Syllabus design. Oxford: Oxford University Press., 159.*

Nurisma, R. (2010). *An Analysis of Reading Questions in English e-book entitled "Developing English Competencies for Grade XI". The Learning University. University Negeri Malang.*

Quinn, M. (2006). *Glimpses into East Timorese upper primary classrooms: changing policy, changing practices. Unpublished A paper presented at XXX Conference, Armidale. University of Melbourne.*

- Quinn, M. (2008). Choosing languages for teaching in primary school classrooms. In J. Earnest, M. Beck & L. Connell (Eds.), *Education and Health Rebuilding in Post-Conflict Transitional Society: Case Studies from Timor-Leste*. Perth: Curtin University Press.
- Razmjoo, S. A. (2012). On the Representation of Bloom's Revised Taxonomy in Interchange Course books. *The Journal of Teaching Language Skills* 4(1).
- Rezvani, G. Z. (2015). 'HOTS' in Iran's Official Textbooks: Implications for Material Design and Student Learning. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*. Vol.2, Issue 5, 2015, pp. 138-151.
- Riazi, M. a. (2010). Curricula foundations, organizations, and EvaluationEvaluation of Learning Objectives in Iranian High-School and Pre-University English Textbooks Using Bloom's Taxonomy. *Volume 13*, , 4.

Richards, J. H. (2005). *Interchange*. . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Roberson, J. (1988). A study of teachers' questions and student response interaction about reading content in seventh-grade social studies classes. *USA*.

Sheldon, L. (1987). *ELT Textbook and materials: Problems in Evaluation and Development*. . Oxford: Modern English Publications.

Swan, M. &. (1990). *The New Cambridge English Course*. . (CPU).

Ur, P. (1996). *A course in language teaching – practice and theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Weir, C. a. (1994). *Evaluation in ELT*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wilkins, D. A. (1981, 1 2). *Notional syllabuses revisited*. *Applied Linguistics*. Retrieved from <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/applin/2.1.83>>