

Chapter V

Conclusion

This chapter consists of three sections. The first section is the summary in which all of the main points that have been discussed in the previous chapters are summarized. The second part presents the writer's conclusion based on the result of the research she has done. The third part give a recommendation that might useful for the people or the students who deal with sociolinguistic.

5.1 Summary

This study investigates code switching displayed by the writer's relatives in their daily conversations. This study focused on the reasons which influenced the writer's relatives in switching their codes during a conversation. It has been discussed on the basis of the theories of Bilingualism, Code-switching and Language in use.

Using a tape recorder and a cassette, the writer record the conversations, transcribed and analyzed them under the given parameters (such as the participants, setting and topic) as a scope of limitation of this study

to identify why the writer's relatives switch codes.

5.2 The findings

The writer find out that the reasons of her Banjar's relatives to switch their codes under the parameters of participants with close relationship, informal setting and simple and ordinary topic are as follows:

1. The participants were influenced by his habit of speaking Banjar which has been created since they were children.
2. The close relationship between the participant and the informal setting were able to cause code switching.
3. The participants wanted to express feeling and emotion, for instance their annoyance or happiness.
4. The participants wanted to give a stress to a phrase or a word with a purpose.
5. The participants have inability to find words to express what they wanted to say in one language at the moment of speaking, so they switch to the most familiar word in another language to make the conversation smooth. Nevertheless it was not clear whether they realized or not if they had already switch their code during their conversation.

6. The participants feel that it was easier and more fluent to express sentences in Banjar than others.

5.3 Suggestion

The writer conducted her research by taking the subject in her own relatives in a home situation and with ordinary topics. Therefore the writer are aware that it has many limitations. For that reason, the writer would like to suggest that the students of Widya Mandala Catholic University who have an intention to do a reseach on code-switching to do a research with a wider scope, for instance, the participants are members of Banjar Family and other inhabitants in Surabaya, the setting is in an informal and formal situation, and with various topic, in order to confirm or to add the reasons which have been found in this study.

REFERENCES

- * ✓ Fishman, J. A. 1975. Sociolinguistics, Forth Edition, Massachusetts: Newbury House Publisher, Inc. .
- * Hapip, Abdul Djebar, Djantere Kawi, Basran Noor., 1981. Struktur Bahasa Banjar Kuala, Pusat Pembinaan dan Pengembangan Bahasa, Depdikbud, Jakarta.
- Handoko, Richard, 1993. Code Switching as Displayed in Informal Situations by the students of the English Department of Widya MAndala Catholic University, Unpublished Thesis, Surabaya FKIP Widya Mandala Surabaya. .
- ✓ Hoffman, Charlote, 1996. An Introduction to Bilingualism, Singapore: Longman Singapore Publishers (Pte) Ltd.
- ✓ Holmes, Janet, 1992. An Introduction to Sociolinguistics, New York: Longman Publishing, New York.
- ✓ Hornby, Peter A., 1977. Bilingualism: Psychological, Social and Educational Implication, New York: Academic Press, Inc.
- ✓ Hudson, R.A., 1980. Sociolinguistic, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- * Kess, Joseph F., 1976. Psycholinguistics: Introductory Perspective, Academic Press, Inc Ltd.
- Larsen, Diana-Freeman and Michael H. Long, 1976. An Introduction to Second Language Acquisition Research, Longman Group UK Limited.
- Lieberson, Stanley, 1981. Language Diversity and Language Contact, California- Stanford University Press.

✓ Marasigan, Elisabeth, 1983. Code Switching and Code Mixing in Multilingual Societies, Singapore: Singapore University Press.

✓ ✱ Milroy, Lesley, 1987. Observing and Analysing Natural Language: A Critical Account of sociolinguistic Method, Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

✓ Nababan, P. W. J., 1983. Sociolinguistic (Suatu Pengantar), Jakarta: P. T. Gramedia, Indonesia.

✓ Savile, Murie-Troiike, 1976. Foundation for Teaching English as a second Language, New Jersey. Prentice Hall, Inc.

Taylor, Donald M., Biligualism and Intergroup Relations in Hornby, Peter A., 1977. Biligualism: Psychological, Social and Educational Implications, New York: Academic Press, Inc.