

Chapter 5

Conclusions and Suggestions

5.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the conclusion of the study based on the findings discussed in Chapter 5. It summarizes the key findings, outlines the theoretical and practical implications, acknowledges the limitations of the study, and provides recommendations for future research and educational practice. The discussion is grounded in teachers' narrative experiences and framed through Mezirow's Transformative Learning Theory.

5.2 Summary of Key Findings

This study explored teachers' experiences in implementing Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) in a special needs school context. The findings indicate that SEL is not an isolated program but an integral and continuous component of daily teaching practice. Both teachers emphasized consistency, emotional awareness, and adaptability as essential elements of effective SEL implementation.

The findings further show that teachers encounter significant emotional and structural challenges, including limited resources, emotional instability among students, and inconsistencies between school and home environments. Despite these challenges, teachers demonstrated professional growth through reflection, collaboration, and experiential learning. These processes reflect transformative learning, where teachers revise their assumptions and develop new professional perspectives.

5.3 Theoretical Implications

The findings support the relevance of Mezirow's Transformative Learning Theory in understanding teachers' professional development within special needs education. Emotional challenges experienced during SEL implementation functioned as disorienting dilemmas that prompted critical reflection. Through dialogue with colleagues and experimentation with new strategies, teachers reconstructed their professional knowledge and teaching identities.

This study contributes to the literature by demonstrating that transformative learning occurs not only in formal professional development settings but also through everyday teaching experiences, particularly those involving sustained emotional engagement.

5.4 Practical Implications

5.4.1 Implications for Teachers

Teachers are encouraged to view SEL as an ongoing process that requires flexibility and reflective practice. Developing emotional awareness and engaging in peer collaboration can support teachers in managing emotional labor and enhancing SEL effectiveness.

5.4.2 Implications for Schools

Schools should provide structural support for SEL implementation by fostering collaborative cultures, allocating time for teacher reflection, and facilitating communication between teachers and parents. Consistent school-wide SEL approaches can strengthen emotional continuity for students.

5.4.3 Implications for Policy Makers

Educational policies should recognize the emotional demands placed on teachers in special needs contexts. Providing training, clear SEL guidelines, and adequate resources can enhance teachers' capacity to implement SEL sustainably.

5.5 Limitations of the Study

This study has several limitations. First, it involved only two participants from a single special needs school, which limits the generalizability of the findings. Second, the study relied on narrative interviews, which may reflect subjective interpretations of experience. Finally, the study focused on teachers' perspectives and did not include direct observations or students' viewpoints.

5.6 Recommendations for Future Research

Future studies may involve a larger number of participants across different types of special needs schools to provide broader insights. Incorporating classroom observations, student perspectives, and parental viewpoints may offer a more comprehensive understanding of SEL implementation. Further research could also examine the long-term impact of SEL on teachers' professional identity and emotional well-being.

5.7 Concluding Remarks

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that implementing Social-Emotional Learning in special needs education is both emotionally demanding and professionally transformative. Teachers' experiences highlight the importance of consistency, collaboration, and reflective practice in supporting students' emotional development. By recognizing teachers as emotional

practitioners and learners, schools and policymakers can better support meaningful and sustainable SEL implementation.

REFERENCE

- Antia, S. D., Jones, P., Reed, S., & Kreimeyer, K. H. (2011). Social outcomes of students who are deaf and hard of hearing in general education classrooms. *Exceptional Children, 77*(4), 489–504.
- Arifin, M., & Nurhayati, S. (2020). Social-emotional learning for deaf students in Indonesian special schools: The use of visual and sign-based strategies. *Jurnal Pendidikan Khusus, 15*(2), 85–98.
- Barnhill, G. P. (2014). Supporting students with autism spectrum disorder through social-emotional learning. *Intervention in School and Clinic, 49*(5), 283–290.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101.
- Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., Reyes, M. R., & Salovey, P. (2012). Enhancing academic performance and social and emotional competence with the RULER feeling words curriculum. *Learning and Individual Differences, 22*(2), 218–224.
- Clandinin, D. J., & Connelly, F. M. (2000). *Narrative inquiry: Experience and story in qualitative research*. Jossey-Bass.
- Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning. (2020). *What is social and emotional learning (SEL)?*
- DuPaul, G. J., & Weyandt, L. L. (2006). School-based intervention for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Effects on academic, social, and behavioral functioning. *School Psychology Review, 35*(2), 183–196.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development, 82*(1), 405–432.
- Elias, M. J., & Zins, J. E. (2007). Social and emotional learning: Promoting the development of all students. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 17*(2–3), 233–255.

- Elias, M. J., Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Wang, M. C., & Walberg, H. J. (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. ASCD.
- Greenberg, M. T., Weissberg, R. P., O'Brien, M. U., Zins, J. E., Fredericks, L., Resnik, H., & Elias, M. J. (2003). Enhancing school-based prevention and youth development through coordinated social, emotional, and academic learning. *American Psychologist*, *58*(6–7), 466–474.
- Handayani, R. (2019). Challenges of implementing social-emotional learning in inclusive schools in Indonesia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Inklusi*, *3*(1), 45–57.
- Hidayati, N. (2021). Implementing social-emotional learning in inclusive classrooms: Evidence from Surabaya. *Jurnal Pendidikan Anak*, *10*(2), 134–147.
- Hochschild, A. R. (1983). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling*. University of California Press.
- Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, *79*(1), 491–525.
- Jones, S. M., Bailey, R., Brush, K., Nelson, B., & Barnes, S. (2017). What is the evidence base for social and emotional learning? *The Future of Children*, *27*(1), 13–34.
- Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia. (2022). *Profil Pelajar Pancasila dan implementasinya dalam Kurikulum Merdeka*. Kemendikbudristek.
- Kim, E., & Sheridan, S. M. (2015). Foundational aspects of emotional labor for teachers: A systematic review. *Educational Psychology Review*, *27*(3), 393–422.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- Kurniawati, L. (2020). Teacher preparedness for social-emotional learning in Indonesian teacher education programs. *Jurnal Pendidikan Guru*, *5*(2), 101–112.

- Lestari, D. (2020). Teachers' understanding of social-emotional learning in early childhood education in Jakarta. *Jurnal Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini*, 14(1), 23–35.
- McMahon, S. D., Wernsman, J., & Rose, D. S. (2007). The relation of classroom environment and school belonging to academic self-efficacy among urban fourth- and fifth-grade students. *The Elementary School Journal*, 108(2), 145–159.
- Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.
- Montgomery, C., & Rupp, A. A. (2005). A meta-analysis for exploring the diverse causes and effects of stress in teachers. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 28(3), 458–486.
- Payton, J. W., Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., Schellinger, K. B., & Pachan, M. (2008). *The positive impact of social and emotional learning for kindergarten to eighth-grade students*. CASEL.
- Prasetyo, A. (2019). Parental perceptions of social-emotional learning in special needs education. *Jurnal Pendidikan Khusus*, 11(1), 55–67.
- Putri, S. R. (2021). Parental involvement and social-emotional learning outcomes in special needs education. *Jurnal Psikologi Pendidikan*, 8(2), 89–103.
- Rahmawati, Y. (2018). Social-emotional learning practices in Indonesian special schools: Teachers' perspectives. *Jurnal Pendidikan Luar Biasa*, 4(2), 66–79.
- Riessman, C. K. (2008). *Narrative methods for the human sciences*. SAGE Publications.
- Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2017). Social and emotional learning and teachers. *The Future of Children*, 27(1), 137–155.
- Schonert-Reichl, K. A., & Lawlor, M. S. (2010). The effects of a mindfulness-based education program on pre- and early adolescents. *Mindfulness*, 1(3), 137–151.
- Setiawan, D., & Wahyuni, S. (2021). Teachers' improvisation in implementing social-emotional learning in inclusive classrooms. *Jurnal Pendidikan Inklusif*, 6(1), 1–15.

- Sharma, U. (2018). Preparing teachers for inclusive classrooms: Changing beliefs, attitudes, and practices. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 22(6), 607–621.
- Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1–22.
- Suyadi, & Sutrisno. (2019). Integrating social-emotional learning with Islamic values in Indonesian schools. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 8(2), 211–225.
- Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156–1171.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wibowo, B. (2022). Role stress and emotional exhaustion among teachers in special needs schools in Malang. *Jurnal Pendidikan Khusus*, 13(1), 21–34.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.