

Assessment of Neutralizing Antibody Response Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants After 2 to 3 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine

by Silvia Sutandhio

Submission date: 02-Aug-2025 01:33PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2724050493

File name: furukawa_2022_oj_220326_1651505784.42486.pdf (1.16M)

Word count: 8810

Character count: 43489



Assessment of Neutralizing Antibody Response Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants After 2 to 3 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine

Koichi Furukawa, MD; Lidya Handayani Tjan, MD, PhD; Yukiya Kurahashi, MD; Silvia Sutandhio, MD; Mitsuhiro Nishimura, PhD; Jun Arie, DVM, PhD; Yasuko Mori, MD, PhD

Abstract

IMPORTANCE Although 2 and 3 doses of vaccine have been implemented against the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the level of immunity achieved by these additional vaccinations remains unclear.

OBJECTIVE To investigate the induction of neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant after 2 and 3 doses of the BNT162b2 messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccine among recipients of different ages.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS A cohort study was conducted from June 1, 2021, to January 12, 2022, among 82 physicians at Kobe University Hospital who had received 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The rates of positive test results and the titers of neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant after 2 and 3 doses of the vaccine were compared with those against other variants and compared among 3 age groups (≤ 38 years [younger age group], 39–58 years [intermediate age group], and ≥ 59 years [older age group]).

RESULTS A total of 82 physicians (71 men [87%]; median age, 44 years [IQR, 33–58 years]) participated; 31 (38%) were in the younger age group, 32 (39%) were in the intermediate age group, and 19 (23%) were in the older age group. At 2 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, 23 participants (28%) had neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant, with a titer of 1.3 (95% CI, 1.2–1.4), which was 11.8-fold (95% CI, 9.9–13.9) lower than the titer against the D614G variant and the lowest among the variants tested. Although the titer of the neutralizing antibody against the Delta variant tended to be low among the older age group (2.9 [95% CI, 2.0–4.1]), the titers of the neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant were low among all age groups (younger age group, 1.3 [95% CI, 1.1–1.6]; intermediate age group, 1.3 (95% CI, 1.1–1.5); and older age group, 1.2 [95% CI, 1.0–1.4]). At 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, 5 participants (6%) had the neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant, but after the booster (third dose) vaccination, all 72 participants who received the booster had the neutralizing antibody, and the titer was 41 (95% CI, 34–49), much higher than that at 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine (1.0 [95% CI, 1.0–1.1]). This increase in titers was observed regardless of age groups; the titers were 44 (95% CI, 32–59) among the younger age group, 44 (95% CI, 32–59) among the intermediate age group, and 30 (95% CI, 22–41) among the older age group.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this cohort study of 82 Japanese participants, 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine did not induce sufficient neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant. However, booster vaccination was associated with induction of a high level of neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant, irrespective of the recipient's age.

JAMA Network Open. 2022;5(5):e2210780. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.10780

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

JAMA Network Open. 2022;5(5):e2210780. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.10780

Key Points

Question Are neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 sufficiently induced after 2 to 3 doses of the BNT162b2 messenger RNA vaccine in recipients of different ages?

Findings In this cohort study of 82 Japanese participants, 28% and 6% had neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant at 2 and 7 months, respectively, after 2 doses of the vaccine; both titer values were low in all age groups. After receiving a booster vaccination, all participants acquired much higher levels of neutralizing antibodies irrespective of age.

Meaning This study suggests that booster vaccination was associated with induction of higher levels of neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant, irrespective of the recipient's age.

Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic declared by the World Health Organization in March 2020 continues to affect all of the countries around the world. Currently, the Omicron variant (B.1.1.529) of SARS-CoV-2 is rapidly spreading and has become the dominant variant worldwide, including in Japan.^{1,5} In efforts to control the pandemic, several vaccine platforms have been developed using SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan-1) as the template, and the BNT162b2 messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccine (BioNTech-Pfizer) was granted an emergency use authorization by the US Food and Drug Administration in December 2020, after showing a high level of effectiveness in preventing infection.⁶

However, since April 2020, a variant bearing a D614G variant of the spike protein and several SARS-CoV-2 variants classified as variants of concern or variants of interest by the World Health Organization have emerged and spurred the spread of infection worldwide. As of this writing, SARS-CoV-2 variants classified as variants of concern include Alpha (B.1.1.7, first detected in the UK⁷⁻⁹; Beta (B.1.351), from South Africa¹⁰⁻¹³; Gamma (P.1), from Brazil^{14,15}; Delta (B.1.617.2), from India¹⁶; and Omicron (B.1.1.529), from South Africa and Botswana.^{17,18} The emergence of some variants poses a tremendous challenge because they may reduce the effectiveness of the vaccines.¹⁹

In particular, the Beta and Gamma variants carry the E484K mutation in the receptor binding domain of the spike protein, which allows the evasion of neutralizing antibodies against the original SARS-CoV-2, further compromising monoclonal antibody therapy.²⁰⁻²³ The Delta variant has L452R, T478K, and P681R mutations in the receptor binding domain, which increases the transmissibility of the virus, leading to a higher viral load in infected individuals.^{24,25} However, the novel Omicron variant is the most mutated variant, with more than 30 mutations in the spike protein and 15 mutations in the receptor binding domain.²⁶ There is thus concern about the Omicron variant's higher transmissibility and increasing immune escapes from COVID-19 vaccines and antibody-based therapies.²⁷⁻³⁰ It has also been demonstrated that vaccine-induced neutralizing antibody responses decrease over time in individuals who have been vaccinated.³¹⁻³³

To counteract the decrease in the neutralizing antibody response over time and the emergence of new variants, including Omicron, a third dose of vaccine, which has been called a booster vaccination, has been approved and administered to individuals who were vaccinated more than 6 months prior; the booster vaccination has been shown to effectively induce a high titer of neutralizing antibody.³⁴ Recently, some reports showed that the primary vaccine series induced much lower antibody titers or no neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant, but individuals who received a booster dose of an mRNA vaccine exhibited potent neutralization of the Omicron variant.^{30,35} However, it is unclear whether the neutralizing antibody titers against the Omicron variant obtained by 2 or 3 doses of the vaccine correlated with the ages of recipients or the appearance of adverse effects.

We investigated the breadth of neutralizing antibodies against the D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Kappa, and Omicron variants in serum samples obtained from 82 vaccinated physicians without a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection. In addition, we analyzed the correlations between the neutralizing antibody titers and the ages or adverse effects of the recipients. We also followed up and analyzed the neutralizing antibody titers against the D614G, Delta, and Omicron variants in individuals after they received the booster vaccine.

Methods

Participant Recruitment

From June 1 to July 6, 2021, blood samples from 82 physicians at Kobe University Hospital (Kobe, Japan) who had already received 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine and had never tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection were obtained and analyzed (first sampling point). From October 20 to November 1, 2021, blood samples were again obtained from the participants (second sampling point). In addition, blood samples from the 72 participants who received a booster vaccine

were obtained in January 2022 and analyzed (third sampling point). The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine. All participants were included after providing written informed consent. No statistical methods were used to predetermine the sample size. This study followed the Strengthening of Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline for cohort studies.

Questionnaire on Adverse Effects

When blood samples were obtained, a questionnaire was used to also obtain data on the participants' age, sex, and adverse effects that occurred within the first week after each vaccination. Items on the following adverse effects were included: fever (temperature >37.5 °C), general fatigue, and injection site pain (0, none; 1, mild; 2, moderate; and 3, severe). The degree of injection site pain was subjectively determined by each participant.

Measurement of Neutralizing Activity Against SARS-CoV-2

The neutralization assay was performed using authentic viruses, as recently described.³⁶⁻³⁸ In brief, a 2-fold serial dilution of heat-inactivated serum was prepared and mixed with the virus and incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour. This mixture was then added to Vero E6 (transmembrane serine protease 2) cells and incubated for 6 days. The neutralizing antibody titer was determined and shown as the highest serum dilution that did not show any cytopathic effects. The cutoff titer was set at 2 as the detection limit. We previously confirmed this assay by using the serum samples of healthy individuals (n = 24) as a negative control, and we observed that none had neutralizing activity.

Preparation of SARS-CoV-2 Variants

We used the SARS-CoV-2 Biken-2 strain with the D614G variant as a conventional variant (accession number LC644163); it was provided by the BIKEN Innovative Vaccine Research Alliance Laboratories. The other variants—Alpha (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_804007), Beta (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_433289), Gamma (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_833366), Delta (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_2158617), Kappa (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_2158613), and Omicron (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_7418017)—had been isolated and were provided by the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan. Each variant was confirmed by the complementary DNA sequence of its spike gene.

Statistical Analysis

Prism software, version 8.4.3 (GraphPad) and Stata software, version 14.2 (Stata Corp LLC) were used for the statistical analysis and for the preparation of the figures. The geometric mean titer (GMT) of the neutralizing antibody was shown as the representative value with a 95% CI. The neutralizing antibody titers less than 2 were assigned a titer of 1 for the GMT calculations. The Friedman test was used to compare the neutralizing antibody titers among paired groups of participants. The Mann-Whitney test or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the neutralizing antibody titers or the ages of the different groups of participants. If a significant difference was found by the Friedman test or the Kruskal-Wallis test, the Dunn multiple comparison test was then performed. Results were considered statistically significant when $P < .05$ (2-tailed).

Results

Participant Characteristics and Adverse Effects After 1, 2, and 3 Doses of Vaccine

A total of 82 physicians (71 men [87%]; median age, 44 years [IQR, 33-58 years]) participated. Thirty-one (38%) were in the younger age group (≤ 38 years), 32 (39%) were in the intermediate age group (39-58 years), and 19 (23%) were in the older age group (≥ 59 years) (Table). The median number of days between the 2 doses of vaccine and between the first and second sampling points was 53 days (IQR, 46-59 days) and 199 days (IQR, 192-203 days), respectively (hereinafter referred to as 2 months and 7 months, respectively, after 2 doses of the vaccine). At the third sampling point,

the 72 participants who had received a booster dose of the vaccine were included; the median number of days between the booster vaccine and the third sampling point was 16 days (IQR, 14-21 days).

The adverse effects reported by the participants after each vaccination are also shown in the Table. Adverse effects after the booster vaccination were as follows: fever for 24 participants (33%), general fatigue for 45 participants (63%), and injection site pain for 65 participants (90%) (15 mild, 35 moderate, and 15 severe). The frequency of systemic reactions, including fever and fatigue, increased with each successive vaccination, with the greatest frequency being observed after the booster. The frequency of injection site pain did not change. No participant had serious adverse events.

Neutralizing Activity Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants Compared With D614G at 2 Months After 2 Doses of the Vaccine

Although the neutralizing antibody titer varied, the serum samples obtained from all 82 participants showed neutralizing activity against the D614G and Alpha variants. In contrast, most serum samples, but not all, showed neutralizing activity against the Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Kappa variants; such activity was observed in 72 participants (88%), 78 participants (95%), 76 participants (93%), and 74 participants (90%), respectively. However, only 23 participants (28%) had neutralizing activity against the Omicron variant (Figure 1A). The GMTs of neutralizing antibody were 15.1 (95% CI, 12.5-18.1) against the D614G variant, 9.4 (95% CI, 7.9-11.2) against the Alpha variant, 4.1 (95% CI, 3.3-5.0) against the Beta variant, 6.4 (95% CI, 5.2-7.8) against the Gamma variant, 4.7 (95% CI, 3.9-5.6) against the Delta variant, 3.3 (95% CI, 2.9-3.9) against the Kappa variant, and 1.3 (95% CI, 1.2-1.4) against the Omicron variant (Figure 1B). The fold reductions of the titers against these variants compared with that against the D614G variant are also shown in Figure 1B; the neutralizing antibody titer against the Omicron variant was 11.8-fold (95% CI, 9.9-13.9) lower than that against the D614G variant and the lowest among all variants tested.

Table. Characteristics of Participants and Adverse Effects of Each Dose of Vaccine

Characteristics	Participants, No. (%)		
	First sampling (n = 82) ^a	Second sampling (n = 82) ^b	Third sampling (n = 72) ^c
Sex			
Male	71 (87)	71 (87)	61 (85)
Female	11 (13)	11 (13)	11 (15)
Age, median (IQR), y	44 (33-58)	44 (33-58)	44 (33-53)
Age group, y			
≤38	31 (38)	31 (38)	31 (43)
39-58	32 (39)	32 (39)	27 (38)
≥59	19 (23)	19 (23)	14 (19)
Time since 2 doses of vaccination, median (IQR), d	53 (46-59)	199 (192-203)	274 (269-278)
Time since booster vaccination, median (IQR), d	NA	NA	16 (14-21)
Adverse effects			
Fever	0	16 (20)	24 (33)
Fatigue	4 (5)	14 (17)	45 (63)
Injection site pain	73 (89)	71 (87)	65 (90)
Mild	30 (37)	27 (33)	15 (21)
Moderate	39 (48)	38 (46)	35 (49)
Severe	4 (5)	6 (7)	15 (21)

Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.

^a Samples were collected from June to July 2021; data on adverse effects were obtained after 1 dose of the vaccine.

^b Samples were collected from October to November 2021; data on adverse effects were obtained after 2 doses of the vaccine.

^c Samples were collected in January 2022; data on adverse effects were obtained after the booster vaccination.


Neutralizing Activity Against Omicron and the Other Variants at 2 Months After 2 Doses of the Vaccine in Each Age Group

Although all of the participants' serum samples showed neutralizing activity against the D614G and Alpha variants irrespective of age, serum samples with negative neutralizing activity against other variants were observed from all age groups, and the proportion of serum samples exhibiting such activity tended to be higher among the older age group (Figure 2A). However, the proportion of serum samples positive for neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant was low in all 3 age groups; even in the younger age group, only 10 of 31 participants (32%) exhibited positivity for the neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant. The comparison of neutralizing antibody titers among the 3 age groups yielded results similar to those for the positivity rate; the GMT of the neutralizing antibody against the Delta variant was 2.9 (95% CI, 2.0-4.1) in the older age group, which was significantly lower than those in the 2 other groups: 5.3 (95% CI, 4.0-7.1; $P = .03$) in the younger age group and 5.4 (95% CI, 4.0-7.3; $P = .04$) in the intermediate age group. However, the GMT of the neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant was substantially lower in all 3 age groups: 1.3 (95% CI, 1.1-1.6) in the younger age group, 1.3 (95% CI, 1.1-1.5) in the intermediate age group, and 1.2 (95% CI, 1.0-1.4) in the older age group (Figure 2B).

Neutralizing Activity Against 3 Variants at 7 Months After 2 and 3 Doses of the Vaccine

At 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, the serum samples obtained from 76 (93%), 55 (67%), and 5 (6%) of the 82 participants had neutralizing activity against the D614G, Delta, and Omicron variants, respectively (Figure 3A). After the booster vaccination, however, the serum samples obtained from all 72 participants who had received the booster vaccination had neutralizing activity against these 3 variants. Figure 3B illustrates the changes in the neutralizing antibody titers against


Figure 1. Neutralizing Antibody Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants at 2 Months After 2 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine



The serum samples obtained from 82 recipients were tested for neutralizing activity against the SARS-CoV-2 variants D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Kappa, and Omicron. The neutralizing antibody titer is represented by the highest serum dilution that did not show any cytopathic effects. A, The positivity rate for the neutralizing antibody against each variant. Serum samples with neutralizing activity at 2-fold dilution

(the detection limit) or higher were considered positive. B, Scatterplot of the neutralizing antibody titers. The limit of detection is indicated by the horizontal dotted black line, and the short horizontal solid black lines indicate the geometric mean titer. The titers against the 7 variants were compared using the Friedman test and the Dunn test for multiple comparisons; 2-tailed P values were calculated.

Figure 2. Comparison of Neutralizing Antibodies Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants at 2 Months After 2 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine Among 3 Age Groups



The 82 participants were divided into 3 age groups: 31 participants who were 38 years or younger, 32 participants who were 39 to 58 years, and 19 participants who were 59 years or older. The recipients' serum samples were then tested for neutralizing activities against the 7 SARS-CoV-2 variants. A, The positivity rate for neutralizing antibodies against each variant in the 3 age groups. Serum samples with neutralizing activity at 2-fold dilution (detection limit) or higher were considered positive. B, Comparison of neutralizing antibody titers against each variant among the age groups. The limit of detection is indicated by the horizontal dotted black line, and the short horizontal solid black lines indicate the geometric mean titer. The Kruskal-Wallis test and the Dunn test for multiple comparisons were performed, and 2-tailed P values were calculated.

these variants during the same period. The GMTs of the neutralizing antibody against the D614G and Delta variants were significantly decreased at 7 months (D614G variant, 4.5 [95% CI, 3.7-5.4]; Delta variant, 2.1 [95% CI, 1.8-2.5]) compared with those at 2 months after 2 doses of the vaccine. Although the titer against the Omicron variant also tended to decrease during this period (1.0 [95% CI, 1.0-1.1]), no significant reduction was observed. The GMTs of the neutralizing antibody after the booster vaccination were much higher than those at 2 and 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine against all variants (ie, 140 [95% CI, 121-164] against the D614G variant, 75 [95% CI, 62-91] against the Delta variant, and 41 [95% CI, 34-49] against the Omicron variant) (Figure 3B).

Comparison of Neutralizing Antibody Titers After the Booster Vaccination


in the 3 Age Groups

We compared the neutralizing antibody titers after the booster vaccination in each age group (Figure 4A-C). At 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, the GMTs of the neutralizing antibody against the D614G and Delta variants tended to be lower in the older age group (D614G variant, 2.8 [95% CI, 1.9-4.3]; Delta variant, 1.4 [95% CI, 1.0-1.9]), and those against the Omicron variant were much lower in all 3 age groups. However, after the booster vaccination, the titers greatly increased for all 3 variants, including Omicron, regardless of the ages of participants. The GMTs of the neutralizing antibody after the booster vaccination in the younger, intermediate, and older age groups were 143 (95% CI, 114-180), 141 (95% CI, 108-186), and 134 (95% CI, 90-200), respectively, against the D614G variant; 82 (95% CI, 60-112), 79 (95% CI, 56-110), and 55 (95% CI, 31-81), respectively, against the Delta variant; and 44 (95% CI, 32-59), 44 (95% CI, 32-59), and 30 (95% CI, 23-41), respectively, against the Omicron variant.

Adverse Effect-Related Differences in Neutralizing Activity and Recipient Age

In the comparison of neutralizing antibody titers at 2 months after 2 doses of the vaccine against the D614G variant, there was no significant difference between the participants with and the participants without adverse effects, including fever, injection site pain, and fatigue (eFigure 1A in the Supplement). However, the comparison of participants' ages and adverse effects revealed that the median age of the participants with fever was significantly younger than that of the participants without fever (37 years [range, 28-64 years] vs 46 years [range, 29-68 years]; $P = .02$). There were

Figure 3. Changes in the Neutralizing Antibody Against 3 SARS-CoV-2 Variants After Booster Vaccination



The serum samples obtained from the 72 participants who received the booster vaccination were tested for neutralizing activity against the D614G, Delta, and Omicron variants at 2 and 7 months after 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine and after the booster (3 total doses of vaccine). A, Changes in the positivity rates for neutralizing antibodies against each variant. Serum samples with neutralizing activity at 2-fold

dilution (detection limit) or higher were considered positive. B, Changes in the neutralizing antibody titers against each variant. The limit of detection is indicated by the horizontal dotted black line, and the short horizontal solid black lines indicate the geometric mean titer. The titers were compared using the Friedman test and the Dunn test for multiple comparisons; 2-tailed P values were calculated.


no significant differences in the median age between the participants with and the participants without fatigue (44 years [range, 28-68 years] vs 39 [range, 28-64 years]; $P = .97$) or regarding the degrees of injection site pain (none: 41 years [range, 31-68 years]; mild: 50 years [range, 30-64 years]; moderate: 42 years [range, 28-64 years]; severe: 39 years [range, 36-51 years]; $P = .29$) (eFigure 1B in the Supplement). In the same comparison after the booster vaccination, however, there was no significant correlation between adverse effects and neutralizing antibody titers or the age of recipients (eFigure 2A and 2B in the Supplement).

Discussion

We evaluated neutralizing activities against authentic SARS-CoV-2 variants in the serum samples obtained from adults vaccinated with 2 or 3 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. We also analyzed the changes in the neutralizing antibody titers after the booster vaccination in different age groups, as well as the associations between adverse effects, the age of participants, and the neutralizing antibody titers.

Our results demonstrated that only 28% of the participants had neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant at 2 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, and the titer against the Omicron

Figure 4. Comparison of Changes in the Neutralizing Antibody Titer After Booster Vaccination Among the 3 Age Groups



The 72 recipients who received the booster vaccination were divided into 3 age groups: 31 participants who were 38 years or younger, 27 participants who were 39 to 58 years, and 14 participants who were 59 years or older. The neutralizing antibody titers against the D614G variant (A), the Delta variant (B), and the Omicron variant (C) at 2 and 7 months after 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine and after the booster vaccination

were compared in each age group. The limit of detection is indicated by the horizontal dotted black line, and the short horizontal solid black lines indicate the geometric mean titer. The Friedman test and the Dunn test for multiple comparisons were performed; 2-tailed P values were calculated.

variant was 11.8-fold lower than that against the D614G variant and the lowest among the variants tested, suggesting that the neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant cannot be sufficiently induced by 2 doses of the vaccine. Recently, other groups have reported similar results of a large (eg, 22-fold³⁰ or 23-fold³⁹) reduction in the neutralization of the Omicron variant compared with the ancestral virus induced by vaccine or previous infection, and our results reflected these reports despite our use of a different neutralization method based on 100% inhibition criteria.^{28-30,39-41} At 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, that tendency was even more pronounced, with only 6% of the participants showing neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant, suggesting a high risk of breakthrough infection or reinfection. This finding was consistent with a recent report in which serum samples showed that the vaccine barely inhibited the Omicron variant at 5 months after 2 doses.⁴²

Regarding the potential cause of this finding, it has been reported that mutations on the spike protein of the Omicron variant, especially the K417N, N440K, G446S, S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R, G496S, Q498R, N501Y, and Y505H mutations, were responsible for the Omicron variant's broad evasion from antibodies.⁴³ In addition, the Omicron variant also has multiple mutations at the proximal to N343 glycan and N-terminal domain, which could be the target of potent neutralizing antibodies.^{29,35,44} In the case of the Delta variant, the L452R, T478K, and P681R mutations have been reported to promote the interaction between the spike and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor by inducing structural changes in the binding domain, resulting in immune evasion and increased transmissibility.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ In our study, 67% of the participants had neutralizing antibodies against the Delta variant at 7 months after 2 doses of the vaccine, suggesting that neutralizing antibodies against the Delta variant could be more easily induced and retained by 2 doses of the vaccine compared with the Omicron variant.

We also observed much higher levels of neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant after the booster vaccination compared with those after 2 doses of the vaccine. This finding may indicate that the booster vaccination achieves a sufficient induction of immunity against the Omicron variant and would likely achieve sufficient immunity against other future variants by inducing neutralizing antibodies that recognize common epitopes and by promoting affinity maturation of the antibody.⁴⁸⁻⁵¹

Our comparison of neutralizing antibody titers among the 3 age groups at 2 months after 2 doses of the vaccine showed that neutralizing activity against all 7 tested variants tended to be lower in older participants (with significantly lower activity being observed only for the Delta and Kappa variants). This result was consistent with previous reports showing that people older than 60, 65, or 80 years of age vaccinated with a 2-shot series produced significantly fewer neutralizing antibodies than similarly vaccinated individuals in other age groups.^{33,52,53} However, our finding of no significant difference in the neutralizing antibody titers against the Omicron variant among these age groups reflects the universally low neutralizing activity for the Omicron variant, unlike that for the Delta variant.

In contrast, the increase in the neutralizing antibody titers after the booster vaccination did not show a large difference among the 3 age groups, and the neutralizing antibody titer against the Omicron variant was sufficiently high in all age groups, suggesting that the booster vaccination could be recommended for all individuals regardless of age.

In this study, the frequency of systemic adverse effects, including fever and fatigue, increased with each additional dose of the vaccine, with such effects being most frequent after the booster vaccination. This finding indicates that the systemic adverse effects may increase as a consequence of recall responses for the 2 and 3 doses.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ However, we did not detect a correlation between the neutralizing antibody titers and the adverse effects of each vaccination, suggesting the necessity of investigating the association between humoral immunity and immunologic memory.⁵⁸

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, most participants were male, which may have somewhat weakened the universality of our results. Second, because information about the medical histories, comorbid conditions, and medications of each participant could not be obtained, it is possible that any of these factors might have affected the results of neutralizing antibody titers.⁵⁹

Conclusions

Our cohort study of vaccinated participants showed that a sufficient neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant could not be induced by 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine, suggesting a high risk of breakthrough infection or reinfection, especially for those 59 years of age or older. Although systemic adverse effects, such as fever and general fatigue, may increase, the booster vaccination was associated with a strong induction of neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant regardless of the age of the recipients. The booster vaccination could therefore be recommended to prevent the further spread of COVID-19.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: March 19, 2022.

Published: May 9, 2022. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.10780

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2022 Furukawa K et al. JAMA Network Open.

Corresponding Author: Yasuko Mori, MD, PhD, Division of Clinical Virology, Center for Infectious Diseases, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, 7-5-1 Kusunoki-cho, Chuo-ku, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0017, Japan (ymori@med.kobe-u.ac.jp).

Author Affiliations: Division of Clinical Virology, Center for Infectious Diseases, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan.

Author Contributions: Dr Mori had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: All authors.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Furukawa, Tjan, Mori.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Tjan, Kurahashi, Sutandhio, Nishimura, Arii, Mori.

Statistical analysis: Furukawa, Tjan, Kurahashi, Sutandhio, Nishimura, Arii.

Obtained funding: Mori.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Kurahashi, Mori.

Supervision: Mori.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by the Hyogo Prefectural Government.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank Kazuro Sugimura, MD, PhD, Hyogo Prefectural Hospital Agency and Kobe University, for his full support in promoting this study; he was not compensated for his contribution. We thank BIKEN Innovative Vaccine Research Alliance Laboratories for providing the SARS-CoV-2 B2 strain. We thank the National Institute of Infectious Diseases Japan for providing SARS-CoV-2 Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Kappa, and Omicron variants.

REFERENCES

1. Maruki T, Iwamoto N, Kanda K, et al. Two cases of breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infections caused by the Omicron variant (B.1.1.529 lineage) in international travelers to Japan. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2022;ciab1072. doi:10.1093/cid/ciab1072
2. Abbasi J. Omicron has reached the US—here's what infectious disease experts know about the variant. *JAMA*. 2021;326(24):2460-2462. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.22619
3. Graham F. Daily briefing: Omicron was already spreading in Europe. *Nature*. Published online December 1, 2021. doi:10.1038/d41586-021-03610-3
4. Gu H, Krishnan P, Ng DYM, et al. Probable transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in quarantine hotel, Hong Kong, China, November 2021. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2022;28(2):460-462. doi:10.3201/eid2802.212422
5. Torjesen I. Covid restrictions tighten as Omicron cases double every two to three days. *BMJ*. 2021;375(3051):n3051. doi:10.1136/bmj.n3051
6. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(27):2603-2615. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
7. Challen R, Brooks-Pollock E, Read JM, Dyson L, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Danon L. Risk of mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/1: matched cohort study. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n579. doi:10.1136/bmj.n579
8. Davies NG, Jarvis CI, Edmunds WJ, et al. Increased mortality in community-tested cases of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7. *Nature*. 2021;593(7858):270-274. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03426-1
9. Leung K, Shum MH, Leung GM, Lam TT, Wu JT. Early transmissibility assessment of the N501Y mutant strains of SARS-CoV-2 in the United Kingdom, October to November 2020. *Euro Surveill*. 2021;26(1):2002106. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.26.1.2002106
10. Cele S, Gazy I, Jackson L, et al. Escape of SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 from neutralization by convalescent plasma. *Nature*. 2021;593(7857):142-146. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03471-w
11. Zhou D, Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, et al. Evidence of escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.351 from natural and vaccine-induced sera. *Cell*. 2021;184(9):2348-2361. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.037
12. Tegally H, Wilkinson E, Giovanetti M, et al. Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. *Nature*. 2021;592(7854):438-443. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03402-9
13. Bian L, Gao F, Zhang J, et al. Effects of SARS-CoV-2 variants on vaccine efficacy and response strategies. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. 2021;20(4):365-373. doi:10.1080/14760584.2021.1903879
14. Faria NR, Mellan TA, Whittaker C, et al. Genomics and epidemiology of the P1 SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. *Science*. 2021;372(6544):815-821. doi:10.1126/science.abb2644
15. Dejnirattisai W, Zhou D, Supasa P, et al. Antibody evasion by the P1 strain of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell*. 2021;184(11):2939-2954. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.055
16. Singh J, Rahman SA, Ehtesham NZ, Hira S, Hasnain SE. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern are emerging in India. *Nat Med*. 2021;27(7):1131-1133. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01397-4
17. Karim SSA, Karim QA. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet*. 2021;398(10317):2126-2128. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02758-6
18. Saxena SK, Kumar S, Ansari S, et al. Characterization of the novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern and its global perspective. *J Med Virol*. 2022;94(4):1738-1744. doi:10.1002/jmv.27524
19. Chakraborty C, Sharma AR, Bhattacharya M, Lee SS. A detailed overview of immune escape, antibody escape, partial vaccine escape of SARS-CoV-2 and their emerging variants with escape mutations. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:801522. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.801522
20. Chen RE, Zhang X, Case JB, et al. Resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants to neutralization by monoclonal and serum-derived polyclonal antibodies. *Nat Med*. 2021;27(4):717-726. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01294-w
21. Wang P, Nair MS, Liu L, et al. Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. *Nature*. 2021;593(7857):130-135. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03398-2
22. Jangra S, Ye C, Rathnasinghe R, et al; Personalized Virology Initiative study group. SARS-CoV-2 spike E484K mutation reduces antibody neutralisation. *Lancet Microbe*. 2021;2(7):e283-e284. doi:10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00068-9
23. Chen RE, Winkler ES, Case JB, et al. In vivo monoclonal antibody efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 variant strains. *Nature*. 2021;596(7870):103-108. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03720-y
24. Li Q, Wu J, Nie J, et al. The impact of mutations in SARS-CoV-2 spike on viral infectivity and antigenicity. *Cell*. 2020;182(5):1284-1294. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.012

25. Johnson BA, Xie X, Bailey AL, et al. Loss of furin cleavage site attenuates SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. *Nature*. 2021;591(7849):293-299. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03237-4
26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Science brief: Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant. Accessed January 21, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/scientific-brief-omicron-variant.html>
27. Zhang L, Li Q, Liang Z, et al. The significant immune escape of pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2022;11(1):1-5. doi:10.1080/22221751.2021.2017757
28. Muik A, Lui BG, Wallisch AK, et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron by BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine-elicited human sera. *Science*. 2022;375(6581):678-680. doi:10.1126/science.abn7591
29. Hoffmann M, Krüger N, Schulz S, et al. The Omicron variant is highly resistant against antibody-mediated neutralization: Implications for control of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cell*. 2022;185(3):447-456. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.032
30. Cele S, Jackson L, Khoury DS, et al. Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. *Nature*. 2022;602(7898):654-656. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04387-1
31. Altawalrah H. Antibody responses to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection or after COVID-19 vaccination. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2021;9(8):910. doi:10.3390/vaccines9080910
32. Tré-Hardy M, Cupaiolo R, Wilmet A, et al. Immunogenicity of mRNA-1273 COVID vaccine after 6 months surveillance in health care workers; a third dose is necessary. *J Infect*. 2021;83(5):559-564. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2021.08.031
33. Levin EG, Lustig Y, Cohen C, et al. Waning immune humoral response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine over 6 months. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(24):e84. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2114583
34. Bar-On YM, Goldberg Y, Mandel M, et al. Protection of BNT162b2 vaccine booster against Covid-19 in Israel. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(15):1393-1400. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2114255
35. Deginritsai W, Huo J, Zhou D, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from neutralizing antibody responses. *Cell*. 2022;185(3):467-484. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.046
36. Furukawa K, Arai J, Nishimura M, et al. Seroepidemiological survey of the antibody for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 with neutralizing activity at hospitals: a cross-sectional study in Hyogo Prefecture, Japan. *JMA J*. 2021;4(1):41-49.
37. Furukawa K, Tjan LH, Sutandhio S, et al. Cross-neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 variants in COVID-19 patients: comparison of 4 waves of the pandemic in Japan. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2021;8(10):ofab430. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofab430
38. Nagano T, Arai J, Nishimura M, et al. Diligent medical activities of a publicly designated medical institution for infectious diseases pave the way for overcoming COVID-19: a positive message to people working at the cutting edge. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;72(4):723-724. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa694
39. Carreño JM, Alshammery H, Tcheu J, et al. Activity of convalescent and vaccine serum against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron. *Nature*. 2022;602(7898):682-688. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04399-5
40. Peiris M, Cheng S, Mok CKP, et al. Neutralizing antibody titres to SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant and wild-type virus in those with past infection or vaccinated or boosted with mRNA BNT162b2 or inactivated CoronaVac vaccines. *Res Sq*. Preprint posted online January 5, 2022. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-120707/v1
41. YangYang, Gong X, Yang L, et al. Regular and booster vaccination with inactivated vaccines enhance the neutralizing activity against Omicron variant both in the breakthrough infections and vaccinees. *J Infect*. Published online January 10, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2022.01.004
42. Planas D, Saunders N, Maes P, et al. Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. *Nature*. 2022;602(7898):671-675. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04389-z
43. Cao Y, Wang J, Jian F, et al. Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. *Nature*. 2022;602(7898):657-663. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04385-3
44. Pinto D, Park YJ, Beltramello M, et al. Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. *Nature*. 2020;583(7815):290-295. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2349-y
45. Deng X, Garcia-Knight MA, Khalid MM, et al. Transmission, infectivity, and neutralization of a spike L452R SARS-CoV-2 variant. *Cell*. 2021;184(13):3426-3437. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.025
46. Muecksch F, Weisblum Y, Barnes CO, et al. Affinity maturation of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies confers potency, breadth, and resilience to viral escape mutations. *Immunity*. 2021;54(8):1853-1868. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2021.07.008
47. Saito A, Irie T, Suzuki R, et al. Enhanced fusogenicity and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Delta P681R mutation. *Nature*. 2022;602(7896):300-306. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04266-9

48. Gaebler C, Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, et al. Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. 2021;591(7851):639-644. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03207-w
49. Wang Z, Muecksch F, Schaefer-Babajew D, et al. Naturally enhanced neutralizing breadth against SARS-CoV-2 one year after infection. *Nature*. 2021;595(7867):426-431. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03696-9
50. Schmidt F, Muecksch F, Weisblum Y, et al. Plasma neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386(6):599-601. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2119641
51. Garcia-Beltran WF, St Denis KJ, Hoelzemer A, et al. mRNA-Based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *Cell*. 2022;185(3):457-466. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.033
52. Xia S, Zhang Y, Wang Y, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2021;21(1):39-51. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30831-8
53. Müller L, Andree M, Moskorz W, et al. Age-dependent immune response to the BioNTech/Pfizer BNT162b2 coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;73(11):2065-2072. doi:10.1093/cid/ciab381
54. Tré-Hardy M, Cupaiolo R, Papeux E, et al. Reactogenicity, safety and antibody response, after one and two doses of mRNA-1273 in seronegative and seropositive healthcare workers. *J Infect*. 2021;83(2):237-279. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2021.03.025
55. Chapin-Bardales J, Gee J, Myers T. Reactogenicity following receipt of mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccines. *JAMA*. 2021;325(21):2201-2202. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.5374
56. Naaber P, Tserel L, Kangro K, et al. Dynamics of antibody response to BNT162b2 vaccine after six months: a longitudinal prospective study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur*. 2021;10:100208. doi:10.1016/j.lanpe.2021.100208
57. Liu Y, Zeng Q, Deng C, et al. Robust induction of B cell and T cell responses by a third dose of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *Cell Discov*. 2022;8(1):10. doi:10.1038/s41421-022-00373-7
58. Modenese A, Paduano S, Bargellini A, et al. Neutralizing anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer and reported adverse effects, in a sample of Italian nursing home personnel after two doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine administered four weeks apart. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2021;9(6):652. doi:10.3390/vaccines9060652
59. Lee ARYB, Wong SY, Chai LYA, et al. Efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in immunocompromised patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2022;376:e068632. doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-068632

SUPPLEMENT.

eFigure 1. Comparison of Adverse Effects and Neutralizing Antibody or the Age of Recipients After Two Doses of Vaccine

eFigure 2. Comparison of Adverse Effects and Neutralizing Antibody or the Age of Recipients After the Booster Vaccine

Assessment of Neutralizing Antibody Response Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants After 2 to 3 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine

ORIGINALITY REPORT

10%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

9%

PUBLICATIONS

2%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1

Wang-Huei Sheng, Si-Man leong, Pin-Hung Lin, Ming-Ju Hsieh et al. "Immunogenicity and safety of third-dose mRNA COVID-19 vaccines in healthy adults previously vaccinated with two doses of the ChAdOx1 vaccine", Journal of the Formosan Medical Association, 2022

2%

Publication
- 2

Danielle Hitch, Joanne Wrench. "The Rehabilitation and Management of Long COVID - A Handbook for Clinical Practice", Routledge, 2025

2%

Publication
- 3

Submitted to Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro

1%

Student Paper
- 4

Mizue Saita, Yan Yan, Kanami Ito, Hiroshi Sasano, Kuniaki Seyama, Toshio Naito. "Reactogenicity following two doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine: Real-world evidence from healthcare workers in Japan", Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy, 2021

1%

Publication
- 5

Nianyi Hong, Noelia Duchovny, Ru Ding. "Differences in Medicaid Enrollment and Spending Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic", JAMA Network Open, 2025

1%

Publication

- | | | |
|---|--|-----|
| <div style="background-color: #c08040; color: white; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 30px; text-align: center; line-height: 30px;">6</div> | <p>Ronen Shavit, Ramit Maoz-Segal, Mona Iancovici-Kidon, Irena Offengenden et al. "Prevalence of Allergic Reactions After Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccination Among Adults With High Allergy Risk", JAMA Network Open, 2021</p> <p>Publication</p> | 1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: #804020; color: white; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 30px; text-align: center; line-height: 30px;">7</div> | <p>Akiho Yoshida, Shinya Okamura, Shiho Torii, Sayuri Komatsu et al. "Versatile live-attenuated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine platform applicable to variants induces protective immunity", iScience, 2022</p> <p>Publication</p> | 1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: #205080; color: white; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 30px; text-align: center; line-height: 30px;">8</div> | <p>Parisa Shamsesfandabadi, Mostafa Shams Esfand Abadi, Yue Yin, David J. Carpenter et al. "Resistance Training and Lymphedema in Breast Cancer Survivors", JAMA Network Open, 2025</p> <p>Publication</p> | 1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: #802080; color: white; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 30px; text-align: center; line-height: 30px;">9</div> | <p>Ranjan K. Mohapatra, Nahed A. El-Shall, Ruchi Tiwari, Firzan Nainu et al. "Need of booster vaccine doses to counteract the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants in the context of the Omicron variant and increasing COVID-19 cases: an update", Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 2022</p> <p>Publication</p> | 1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: #608020; color: white; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 30px; text-align: center; line-height: 30px;">10</div> | <p>Natsumi Hasegawa, Mitsuhiro Nishimura, Rei Takamiya, Hanako Ishimaru, Yasuko Mori. "SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 is susceptible to the neutralizing antibody MO11 targeting subdomain 1 despite the E554K mutation near the epitope", Journal of Virology, 2025</p> <p>Publication</p> | 1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| <div style="background-color: #203080; color: white; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 30px; text-align: center; line-height: 30px;">11</div> | <p>Zhonghao Chen, Ying Zhang, Meng Wang, Md Sahidul Islam, Ping Liao, Yuanjia Hu, Xin Chen. "Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses of</p> | 1 % |

COVID-19 vaccines against SARS-Cov-2
Omicron variant: a systemic review",
International Journal of Biological Sciences,
2022

Publication

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 1%
Exclude bibliography	On		