**CHAPTER V** 

CONCLUSION

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION

This chapter is the conclusion of the study. It prsents the summary and some suggestions on how to overcome the problems.

## 5.1. Summary

Being interested in the students's errors in using tenses, the writer decided to make a research the errors in using Simple Present Tense, Simple Past Present Tense. Present Perfect Tense, Tense, Past Perfect Tense, Past Continuous Continuous and Simple Future Tense made by the second Tense semester students of the English Department of Mandala University Surabaya. They still difficulties in using correct forms in their structure. As a result, the writer decides to conduct a research on it.

First of all, she got the final structure II test of the second semester students from their teachers, than she noted down all of the errors made by these students. After that, she classified those errors according to their types occurences, then she put them in the tables.

The number of items in the test is 16 consisting of 15 items of Simple Present Tense, 28 items of Simple Past Tense, 4 items of Present Perfect Tense, 2 items of Present Perfect Continuous Tense 3 items of Past Perfect Tense, 4 items of Past Continuous Tense and 5 items of Simple Future Tense.

be valid Since the test was proved to and reliable, the writer used them as the real test in order to get the data for this study. The answer of the real test were then analyzed to find out the students' errors. These errors were grouped into three types, namely errors of addition, errors of omission and the last is errors of substitution. Those types of errors, we countend in number and in percentage and ranked from the most to the fewest occurences.

The result showed us that the error type with highest number made by the students is Present Perfect Continuous Tense (20,72%), the error type which second in number is errors of Past Perfect Tense (19,57%). The third is errors of Presnt Perfect (16,92%). The fourth is errors of Past Continuous Tense (13,81%). The Sixth is errors of Simple Past Tense (9,74%). The fifth is errors of Simple Future Tense (9,94%) and the one having the fewest number is errors of Simple Present Tense (9,30%).

types of errors are further divided sub-error types, namely errors of addition, errors omission and errors of substitution. Errors in Present Perfect Continuous Tense is divided in substitution (98,33%), omission (1,66%) and addition there are errors. Errors in Past Perfect Tense is divided in substitution (54,12%), omission (43,53%) and the addition (2,35%). Next, errors in Present Perfect Tense divided into substitution (68,37%), omission and addition (2,04%). Errors into (29,59%)Continuous Tense is divided into substitution (98,75%), omission (1,25%) and addition there are no errors. in Simple Future Tense is also divided Errors substitution (80,55%), omission (16,67%) and addition (2,78%). The last errors in Simple Present Tense divided into subtitution (87,13%), addition (6,93%) and the last omission (5,94%).

Having found the errors in this study, the writer interpreted that most of the students have not mastered Present Perfect Continuous Tense. They were still confused in giving the correct form. They did not know how to put "ing" in the right order.

# 5.2. Suggestion

Based on the findings, the writer would like to give some suggestions that might be useful for the readers particularly the English teachers as follows:

- The teacher should emphasize their teaching on the tenses. By doing so, the students can be more about the tenses that they should use in correct forms.
- The teachers should emphasize the students to memorize the regular and irregular verbs and this makes them more when they used Past Tense and Past Participle.
- The teachers should give clear explanation about the uses of the Present Perfect Continuous Tense. First, they have to know how to put "ing" in the right place and make correct sentences in this tense.
- The teachers should give more exercises and homework on the Present Perfect Continuous Tense, so that the students can learn by themselves. The exercise should not only focus on the form, but more on how to make correct sentences and apply these sentences in the appropriate situations.
- The teachers finally should give a test on the usage of Present Perfect Continuous Tense.

Hopefully, these suggestions will give some contributions to the teaching of English in general and

particularly in teaching tenses. Besides that, it can used as an input for English teachers to help their students minimize making errors in constructing English sentences using the tenses.

The writer realized that this study is far from being perfect and, therefore, she hopes that there will be some other researchers doing similar studies by using better instruments and research techniques to get better result.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY** 

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abott, Gerry and Wingard ed, <u>The Teaching English As An International Language a Practical Gurde</u>, William Collins Son and Co., Great Britain, 1981.
- Allen, W. Stannard, <u>Living English Structure</u>, Longman, London, 1970.
- Brown, Douglas, <u>Principles of Language Learning and Teaching</u>, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, 1987.
- Brown, H. Douglas, <u>Principles of Language Learning and Teaching</u>, Second Edition, Englewood Cliffs; Prentice Hall, 1980.
- Corder, S.P., "IdiosyncRatic DialeCts and Error Analysis", In Jack C. Richards, ed., <u>Error Analysis</u>, Longman Group Limited, London, 1974.
- Dulay, Heidy, Marina Burt and Krashen, Stephen, Language Two, Oxford University Press, New York, 1982.
- Ellis, Rod, <u>Understanding Second Language Acquisition</u>, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- Hornby, As, A Guide to Patterns and Usage in English, Oxford Univ, Press, London, 1975.
- Johanna Maria Sunartini, Soewono, <u>Error Analysis: A Theoretical Overview and Its Application to Language Teaching, Unpublished Paper</u>, IKIP Surabaya, 1981.
- Richards, Jack C., <u>A non-Constrastive Approach to Error Analysis</u>: Perspectives on Second Language Acquisition, Longman Group Limited, London, 1974.
- Selinder, Larry, "Interlanguage", In J.H. Schumamm and Nancy Stenson (eds), New Frontiers in L2 Learning, Newburry-House Publishers, 1974.
- Sharma, S.K., "Errors Analysis: Why and How?", Forum, July 1981.

- Sridhar, S.N., "Contrastive Analysis, Errors Analysis and Interlanguage", In Kenneth Croft, Reading on English As A Second Language for Teachers and Teacher Trainess, Little Brown and Company Inc., Boston Toronto, 1980.
- Tukan, S. Laga, 'L2 Learners' Errors: Nature, Types, Sources and Treatment Strategies, Unpublished Paper, The English Department of Widya Mandala University, Surabaya, June 1988.