## VERBAL DISAGREEMENTS EXPRESSED BY THE KARTINI CELEBRATION COMMITTEE OF SMUK STELLA MARIS SURABAYA

## **A THESIS**

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching



WIDYA MANDALA SURABAYA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGE AND ART EDUCATION ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

JANUARY, 2004

#### APPROVAL SHEET

(1)

This thesis entitled <u>VERBAL DISAGREEMENT EXPRESSED BY</u>
THE KARTINI CELEBRATION COMMITTEE OF SMUK STELLA MARIS
SURABAYA, prepared and submitted by Kwadrani Christina, has been accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Teaching by the following advisor:

Dr. Patrisius Istiario Djiwandono Advisor

# APPROVAL SHEET

(2)

This thesis has been examined by with a grade ofon,	by the committee of an oral examination
with a grade ofon,	
Dr. D. Wagiman	n Adisoetrisno, M.A airman
Dr. Ignatius Harjanto Member	B. Himawan Setyo Wibowo, M.Hum Member
Dr. Patrisius Is	stiarto Djiwandono ember
Dr. Asstinus Ngadiman	Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd.
Deancof the Teacher Training Faculty	Head of the English Department
of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya	of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya
Surabaja	Sarabaya

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, the writer would like to thank God for His blessing to her so that she could finish her study and complete this thesis. The writer would also like to express her gratitude and appreciation to:

- Dr. Patrisius Istiarto Djiwandono, the writer's advisor from the English
  Department of Widya Mandala University who has guided and encouraged
  her in completing her thesis.
- 2. Yohanes Nugroho Widianto, S.S who has encouraged her in completing her thesis.
- 3. Sr. M. Francine Tjandrawati, SPM, the headmaster of SMUK Stella Maris who has welcomed and given the writer a chance to do her study at SMUK Stella Maris Surabaya.
- 4. The administrative personnel of Widya Mandala University who typed some permission letters to visit other university libraries.
- 5. Dra. Susana Teopilus, M.Pd. the head of English Department of Widya Mandala Catolic University Surabaya, who signed the writer's permission letters to visit some other university libraries and encouraged her to finish her thesis.

- 6. Aniek Setiawaty, S.Pd. the writer academic advisor who encouraged her to finish her thesis.
- 7. All teachers and administrative personnel of SMUK Stella Maris, who have helped the writer by providing necessary information.
- 8. The students of SMUK Stella Maris, especially the subjects of this thesis.
- 9. The writer's parents who always remind the writer to get enough rest, especially during finishing her thesis.

K.C

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL SHEET (1)	i
APPROVAL SHEET (2)	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TADI E OF COMPENSO	v
LIST OF TABLES	
A D COTTO A COTTO	viii
	*111
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the problems.	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
1.4 Significance of the Study	5
1.5 Scope and Limitations	6
1.6 Theoretical Framework.	6
1.7 Definitions of Key Terms.	7
1.7 Definitions of Key Terms	/
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	8
2.1 Underlying Theories	8
2.1.1 Sociolinguistics	8
2.1.2 Speech Act	9
2.1.3 Disagreement	11
2.1.4 Status	16
2.1.5 Gender	18
2.2 Previous Related Study.	22
2.2 Flevious Related Study	22
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	23
3.1Research Method	
3.2 The Participants	
3.3 Source of the Data and the Data.	24
3.4 The Research Instruments	
3.5 The Procedures of Collecting the Data.	
3.6 The Procedures of Confecting the Data	
3.0 The Procedures of Alianyzing the Data	43
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF THE FINDINGS	20
4.1 Findings	
4.1.1 First Grade Male Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	50
	20
Disagreement Expressions.	30
4.1.2 Second Grade Male Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal Disagreement Expressions	24
	34
4.1.3 First Grade Female Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	20
Disagreement Expressions.	38
4.13 Second Grade Female Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	40
Disagreement Expressions	42

4.2 Additional Finding	50
CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION.	53
REFERENCES APPENDIXES	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Numbers of Students in the Meetings	.24
Table 4.1 Groups of the Subjects	
Table 4.2 First Grade Male Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	
Disagreement Expressions	31
Table 4.3 Second Grade Male Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	
Disagreement Expressions	.36
Table 4.4 First Grade Female Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	
Disagreement Expressions	.41
Table 4.5 Second Grade Female Students' Stylistic Devices of Verbal	
Disagreement Expressions.	46

#### **ABSTRACT**

Keywords: verbal, disagreement, expression, SMUK STELLA MARIS SURABAYA

Verbal disagreement expression is a spoken word or phrase used as a dispreferred next action across a large diversity of initial assessments.

There are some factors that influence people in expressing disagreement verbally, such as status differences and gender differences between the speaker and the interlocutor. From these factors, the writer is interested in analyzing verbal disagreement expressions, particularly about what kinds of stylistic devices that are used the most in expressing disagreement verbally.

The data of this study were taken from the transcript of SMUK STELLA MARIS KARTINI CELEBRATION COMMITTEE MEETINGS. The data were categorized based on Garcia's categorization concerning challenge, refusing to cooperate, order, prohibition, strong denial, suggestion, giving reason, and willingness to cooperate.

From the analysis, the writer found out that 'giving reason' is used the most by the subjects in expressing disagreements. It is frequently used by the second grade female students. They tend to give reason as it functions to explain why they disagree. The second linguistic choice is 'order'. It is frequently used by the second grade male students to get someone to do what they agree about inspite of what they disagree. The last is 'refusing to cooperate' which is frequently used by the first grade male students to show that their solidarity toward one(s) they disagree with is lacking.