

turnitin Originality Report

81 Trends on the Development of Hybrid Supercapacitor Electrodes from the Combination of Graphene and Polyaniline by Shella Santoso

From Similarity check (check paper Jakad SPS)

< 1% match (publications)

9

Similarity Index

16%

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources: Publications: Student Papers:

N/A 16% N/A

ID: 1773588505 Word Count: 12200	sources:
	2% match (publications) Pitchaimani Veerakumar, Arumugam Sangili, Shaktivel Manavalan, Pounraj Thanasekaran, King on Porous Carbon Supported Metal/Metal Oxide Nanomaterials for tions", Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2020
2% match (publications) "Handbook of Graphene", \	
1% match (publications) Shella Permatasari Santos "Utilization of Nanocrystalli hase", Fine Chemical Engineeri	o, Artik Elisa Angkawijaya, Alfin Kurniawan, Maria Yuliana et al. ne Cellulose for Adsorption of Divalent Cobalt Ions in the Aqueous ng, 2020
1% match (publications) "Flexible Supercapacitor N	anoarchitectonics", Wiley, 2021
Schmidt Mende, Ananthak	nthaPrabu Jaihindh, Yen-Pei Fu, Satyaprasad P. Senanayak, Lukas umar Ramadoss. "An extensive review on three dimension eworks towards supercapacitor application", Journal of Power
	jiao Zhu, Jingjing Ma, Qingjie Guo. "Effect of Biomass-Based olypropylene to BTX", Fine Chemical Engineering, 2021
Punia, Kuldeep Singh, Anil	Jasvir Dalal, Sushma Lather, Anup S. Maan, Rahul Tripathi, Rajesh Ohlan. " Nanostructured Polyaniline/Graphene/Fe O Composites Flexible Supercapacitor Electrode Material ", ACS Applied Energy
	ra, Nam Hoon Kim, and Joong Hee Lee. "Graphene-Based Materials ations", Handbook of Carbon Nano Materials, 2014.

Rao, Chintamani N.R, K Gopalakrishnan, and Urmimala Maitra. "A comparative study of the potential applications of graphene, MoS2 and other 2D materials in energy devices, sensors 10 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Huaqiang Tan, Jingjiang Liu, Guangjie Huang, YunXian Qian, Yuanfu Deng, Guohua Chen.

"Understanding the Roles of Sulfur Doping for Enhancing of Hydrophilicity and

Electrochemical Performance of N,S-Codoped Hierarchically Porous Carbon", ACS Applied Energy

Materials, 2018

< 1% match (publications)</p>

Karan Kamleshbhai Patel, Tushar Singhal, Varun Pandey, T.P. Sumangala, M.S. Sreekanth.

"Evolution and recent developments of high performance electrode material for supercapacitors: A review", Journal of Energy Storage, 2021

< 1% match (publications)</pre>

Shashank Sundriyal, Vishal Shrivastav, Harmeet Kaur, Sunita Mishra, Akash Deep. "High-Performance Symmetrical Supercapacitor with a Combination of a ZIF-67/rGO Composite Electrode and a Redox Additive Electrolyte", ACS Omega, 2018

< 1% match (publications)</pre>

Rajesh Kumar, Sumanta Sahoo, Ednan Joanni, Rajesh Kumar Singh et al. "A review on synthesis of graphene, h-BN and MoS2 for energy storage applications: Recent progress and perspectives", Nano Research, 2019

14 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Zhang, You, Lei Zu, Huiqin Lian, Zhongkai Hu, Yanhua Jiang, Yang Liu, Xiaodong Wang, and Xiuguo Cui. "An ultrahigh performance supercapacitors based on simultaneous redox in both electrode and electrolyte", Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2017.

15 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Zhang, Jintao, and X. S. Zhao. "Conducting Polymers Directly Coated on Reduced Graphene Oxide Sheets as High-Performance Supercapacitor Electrodes", The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2012.

16 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Peng Lv, Xun Tang, Ruilin Zheng, Xiaobo Ma, Kehan Yu, Wei Wei. "Graphene/Polyaniline Aerogel with Superelasticity and High Capacitance as Highly Compression-Tolerant Supercapacitor Electrode", Nanoscale Research Letters, 2017

< 1% match (publications)</pre>

Anindita Bora, Kiranjyoti Mohan, Simanta Doley, Swapan Kumar Dolui. "Flexible Asymmetric Supercapacitor Based on Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide Aerogels with Wide Working Potential Window", ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2018

< 1% match (publications)

18

<u>Li Wang, Jie Yu, Xuantong Dong, Xia Li, Yingzhen Xie, Shouhui Chen, Ping Li, Haoqing Hou, Yonghai Song. "Three-Dimensional Macroporous Carbon/Fe O -Doped Porous Carbon Nanorods for High-Performance Supercapacitor ", ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2016</u>

19 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Pengcheng Du, Yuman Dong, Hongxing Kang, Xi Yang, Qi Wang, Jingye Niu, Sihan Wang,
Peng Liu. "Graphene-Wrapped Polyaniline Nanowire Array Modified Functionalized of Carbon
Cloth for High-Performance Flexible Solid-State Supercapacitor", ACS Sustainable Chemistry &
Engineering, 2018

< 1% match (publications) 20

Meng Wang, Xidong Duan, Yuxi Xu, Xiangfeng Duan. "Functional Three-Dimensional Graphene/Polymer Composites", ACS Nano, 2016

< 1% match (publications) 21

Qichong Zhang, Weiwei Xu, Juan Sun, Zhenghui Pan et al. " Constructing Ultrahigh-Capacity Zinc-Nickel-Cobalt Oxide@Ni(OH) Core-Shell Nanowire Arrays for High-Performance

Coaxial Fiber-Shaped Asymmetric Supercapacitors ", Nano Letters, 2017

< 1% match (publications) 22

Zhe Zhao, Siliang Liu, Jixin Zhu, Jingsan Xu, Le Li, Zhaoqi Huang, Chao Zhang, Tianxi Liu. "Hierarchical Nanostructures of Nitrogen-Doped Porous Carbon Polyhedrons Confined in Carbon Nanosheets for High-Performance Supercapacitors", ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2018

< 1% match (publications) 23

Abdallah Ramadan, M. Anas, Shaker Ebrahim, Moataz Soliman, Aly Abou-Aly. "Effect of Codoped graphene quantum dots to polyaniline ratio on performance of supercapacitor", Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics, 2020

< 1% match (publications) 24

> Kang Li, Xuanli Liu, Song Chen, Wei Pan, Jintao Zhang. "A flexible solid-state supercapacitor based on graphene/polyaniline paper electrodes", Journal of Energy Chemistry, 2018

< 1% match (publications) 25

Zhihua Liu, Zhiyong Zhao, Aizhen Xu, Wen Li, Yujun Qin. "Facile preparation of graphene/polyaniline composite hydrogel film by electrodeposition for binder-free all-solidstate supercapacitor", Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2021

< 1% match (publications) 26

Xuli Chen, Rajib Paul, Liming Dai. "Carbon-based supercapacitors for efficient energy storage", National Science Review, 2017

< 1% match (publications) 27

Saha, Sanjit, Milan Jana, Partha Khanra, Pranab Samanta, Hye Young Koo, Naresh Chandra Murmu, and Tapas Kuila. "Band gap engineering of boron nitride by graphene and its application as positive electrode material in asymmetric supercapacitor device", ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces

< 1% match (publications) 28

29

< 1% match (publications)

Shuo Hu, Lei Ding, Yueying Shen, Zhenjun Dou, Lifeng Yang, Xian Xu, Zongyi Qin. "Promoting Oriented Growth of a Polyaniline Array on Carbon Cloth through in Situ Chemical Polymerization under a High Voltage Electric Field for a Flexible Supercapacitor with High Areal Capacity and Stability", ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2020

< 1% match (publications) 30

31 < 1% match (publications)</p>

Panbo Liu, Jing Yan, Xiaogang Gao, Ying Huang, Yiqing Zhang. "Construction of layer-by-layer sandwiched graphene/polyaniline nanorods/carbon nanotubes heterostructures for high performance supercapacitors", Electrochimica Acta, 2018

32 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Waikhom Somraj Singh, Bikash Debnath, Kuntal Manna. "Recent Trends in the Development of Benzimidazole Hybrid Derivatives and Their Antimalarial Activities", Fine Chemical Engineering, 2021

< 1% match (publications)</pre>

Choi, Hojin, and Hyeonseok Yoon. "Nanostructured Electrode Materials for Electrochemical Capacitor Applications", Nanomaterials, 2015.

< 1% match (publications)

Fatemeh Rastmanesh, Soraya Ghazalizadeh, Fatemeh Shalbaf, Alireza Zarasvandi.

"Investigation of micronutrients and heavy metals in rice farms of Ahvaz and Bawie Counties,
Khuzestan Province, Iran", Research Square Platform LLC, 2022

35 < 1% match (publications)</pre>

Ishita Chakraborty, Nilanjan Chakrabarty, Asim Senapati, Amit K. Chakraborty.

"CuO@NiO/Polyaniline/MWCNT Nanocomposite as High-Performance Electrode for Supercapacitor", The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2018

paper text:

32Fine Chemical Engineering http://ojs.wiserpub.com/index.php/FCE/ Review Trends on the Development of Hybrid

Supercapacitor Electrodes from the Combination of Graphene and Polyaniline Shella Permatasari Santoso1,2*, Harry Kasuma (Kiwi) Aliwarga3, Livy Laysandra2, Artik Elisa Angkawijaya4, Felycia Edi Soetaredjo1,2, Jindrayani Nyoo Putro1, Maria Yuliana1, Felix Pasila5, Kuan- Chen Cheng6,7,8,9, Hsien-Yi Hsu10,11,12, Suryadi Ismadji1,2 1Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of

3Engineering, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

2Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taipei, Taiwan 3UMG IdeaLab, Myanmar 4Graduate

3Institute of Applied Science and Technology, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taipei, Taiwan 5Electrical Engineering Department

, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia 6Institute of Food Science and Technology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 7Institute of Biotechnology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 8Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan 9Department of Optometry, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan 10School of Energy and Environment.

28City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China 11Department of Materials Science and Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong

3China 12Shenzhen Research Institute of City University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

3E-mail: shella_p5@yahoo.com, shella@ukwms.ac.id Received: 2 August 2021; Revised: 8 December 2021; Accepted: 27 December 2021 Abstract

: The high

4demand for efficient energy devices leads to the

rapid development of

2energy storage systems with excellent electrochemical properties, such as long life cycles, high

cycling stability, and high power density. SC is postulated as a potential candidate to fulfill this demand. The combination of graphene and polyaniline can create SC electrodes with excellent

2electrical conductivity, high specific surface area, and high capacitance. The graphene

/ polyaniline hybrid electrodes represent an attractive means to overcome the major drawbacks of graphene or polyaniline non-hybrid (single) electrode materials. In this review article, the trend in the development of various graphene/ polyaniline hybrid electrodes is summarized, which includes the zero-dimension graphene-quantum-dots/polyaniline hybrid, one-dimension graphene/polyaniline hybrid, two-dimension graphene/polyaniline hybrid, and three-dimension hydrogel-shaped graphene/polyaniline hybrid. Several strategies and approaches to enhance the capacitance value and cycling stability of graphene/polyaniline hybrid electrodes are discussed in this review article, such as the addition of transition metal oxides and metal-organic frameworks, and modification of graphene into functionalized-graphene. The performance of the electrodes prepared from the combination of graphene with other conducting polymers (i.e., polypyrrole,

polythiophene, and polythiophene-derivatives) is also discussed. Keywords: hybrid electrode, nanocomposite, graphene polyaniline, conducting polymer, asymmetric supercapacitor Copyright ©2022

3Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al. DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/fce.3120221077 This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License) https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

/ Graphical abstract 1D fiber 0D quantum dots Graphene-based/PANI hybrid electrode 2D planar 3D foam Nomenclature Abbreviation Definition 0D zero-dimension 1D one-dimension 2D two-dimension 3D threedimension AAm acrylamide AEESC active electrolyte-enhanced supercapacitor ANI aniline APS ammonium persulfate ATRGO amino triazine functionalized-reduced graphene oxide BTC 1,3,5-benzene tricarboxylic BET Brunauer-Emmett-Teller BDC 1,4-benzene dicarboxylic CC carbon cloth CFC carbon fiber cloth CNT carbon nano tube CP conducting polymer CSA camphorsulfonic acid CT cotton CV cyclic voltammogram CVD chemical vapor deposition EDLS electrical double-layer supercapacitor EMITFSI/PVDF-HFP ionic liquid/poly (vinylidenefluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) ESD energy storage device F-GnO fluorofunctionalized graphene oxide FS Faradaic supercapacitor Gn or G graphene GCE glassy carbon electrode G-PC graphene-grafted PANI copolymer GFP graphene/Fe2O3/PANI GH graphene hydrogel GO GNS GP G/PANI GNS GOF GQD Gt HS LbL LiB MOF MWCNT NH2-Gn NH2-GNS NSA NW OMC PAAm PAG PAN PANI PCBM PDMS PEDOT PET PMMA PPDA PPy PS PTA PTh PVA PVDF RFC RGO RGOH SC SEM S-Gn S,N:GQD SS SSA TCTA TEM Th THF TMO TPA XPS graphene oxide graphene nanosheet graphene paper graphene/PANI graphene nanosheet fluoro-functionalized graphene oxide graphene quantum dot graphite hollow sphere layer-by-layer lithium battery metal-organic framework multi-walled carbon nano tube aminated graphene amine-functionalized graphene nanosheets 1,5-naphthalene sulfonic acid nanowhiskers ordered-mesoporous-carbon polyacrylamide p-aminophenol functionalized-graphene polyacrylonitrile polyaniline phenyl-C60-butyric acid methyl ester polydimethylsiloxane poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polyester fabric poly (methyl methacrylate) p-phenylenediamine polypyrrole polystyrene p-benzene dicarboxylic acid polythiophene polyvinyl alcohol polyvinylidene difluoride resorcinol-formaldehyde carbon

2reduced graphene oxide reduced graphene oxide hydrogel supercapacitor scanning electron microscopy

sulfonated graphene sulfur, nitrogen-functionalized graphene quantum dot solid state specific surface area 2,4,6-trichloro-[1,3,5] triazine transmission electron microscopy thiophene tetrahydrofuran transition metal oxide triphenylamine X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy XRD X-ray diffraction 1. Introduction SCs, also referred to as ultracapacitors, are a type of ESD with

22high power density, fast charge-discharge rate, and long life-cycle.1-3 SCs are

constructed from a sandwich of

4two electrodes and are separated by a porous membrane

imbibed by the electrolyte in a solid, liquid, or quasi-solid state.4-7 SCs are considered safer than batteries, owing to their lower heating rate, better mechanical properties, and higher stability.8 The lightweight and flexible structure of SC also adds to its appeal as an ESD.9 SCs are widely used as regenerative-braking systems in hybrid cars and electric vehicles, energy storage, and power delivery for wearable or portable electronic devices.10-11 Despite their remarkable abilities, the relatively low energy density of SCs remains a persistent challenge in the field and their development. SCs

2can be classified into two categories based on the energy storage

mechanisms, FS and EDLS.2,12 The charging mechanism of an EDLS is based on the reversible electrostatic attraction between the charged surface of electrodes and the electrolyte ions. In this regard, electrode materials with high SSA and controlled-pore sizes are required to establish EDLSs with high energy storage capacity and specific capacitance values, wherein hierarchical-porous activated carbons are generally employed.13-14 Alternatively, FS stores charges based on the fast and reversible Faradaic (redox) reactions on the electrode surface.15 Combining transition metals and CPs is one of the most commonly used approaches to construct working electrode materials for FSs.16 However, FSs often suffer from poor electrical conductivity than EDLSs since Faradaic reactions are generally slower than the non-Faradaic process, which eventually leads to poor cycling stability.12,15 The combination of carbon-based materials and CPs in preparing the hybrid SC electrodes creates an asymmetric behavior, leading to high cycling stability and energy storage capacity.17 The electrochemical

31performance of SCs is strongly dependent on the electrode materials

.18 An ideal SC electrode should possess the following criteria: high SSA, controlled porosity, high electrical conductivity, high thermal and chemical stability, environmental friendliness, and low cost.18-19 Gn, a carbon-based material with a honeycomb monolayer structure, represents a promising candidate as the electrode material for SCs.20-21 Gn consists of all-sp2- hybridized carbon, contributing to its electron delocalization and high thermal and electrical conductivity. Gn is also known for its other superior properties, which includes its lightweight, high mechanical strength (~1 TPa), good physical and chemical stability,

1 and high surface area (~2,630 m2/g).21-23 The

fresh-single layer of Gn is known to have

1a high theoretical specific capacitance of ~21 uF/cm-2

.12 However, it is still a major challenge to achieve such the maximum capacitance of Gn since its layers tend to encounter severe agglomeration during their preparation and utilization; thus, the expected capacitance value is far from being achieved.12,24 The addition of CPs can prevent the agglomeration of Gn (and vice versa), especially during the preparation step. CPs themselves have been widely utilized in the preparation of ESDs. Among the CPs, PANI

2has received considerable attention due to its good electrical properties and thermal

stability that contribute to high capacitance. PANI can produce electrode materials with high specific capacitance values, often higher than EDLSs; however, the resulting SCs exhibit poor cycling stability and low mechanical stability. Therefore, the hybridization of Gn and PANI, to result in G/PANI, has been actively pursued to construct electrode materials to overcome the major drawback of using PANI or Gn alone. In this context, the G/PANI hybrid electrodes can achieve 2-5 times higher specific capacitance values than those of corresponding individual components. Furthermore, the G/PANI hybrid electrodes possess increased electrochemical active sites, facilitating the electrochemical reactions in SCs.25 The performance of several G/PANI-based electrodes is given in Table 1. Although G/PANI hybrid electrodes have been extensively developed with potential commercial applications, research is still widely conducted to enhance electrochemical properties. This review summarizes the trend in the development of G/PANI hybrid electrodes and reviews the strategies to improve the electrocapacitive properties of G/ PANI hybrid electrodes. This review begins by discussing the performance of various G/PANI electrodes, followed by the developed fabrication methods of enhancing the electrode capacitance. Next, several strategies to improve the G/ PANI capacitance are discussed, including adding functional groups to Gn and incorporating TMOs and MOFs. The hybrid electrodes based on the combination of Gn and other CPs are summarized to compare their electrocapacitive performances with G/PANI. Table 1. Electrocapacitive energy storage properties of Gn/CP-based hybrid electrodes Material Electrolyte Discharge Specific Capacitance Retention % Rate (F/g) (Cycle) U (Wh/kg) S (W/kg) Ref. GQD/PANI G/PANI G/PANI G/PANI G/PANI

@Cloth G/PANI@CC Holey-G/PANI/G GO/PANI GO/PANI/paper fiber RGO/PANI RGO/PANI RGO/PANI RGO/PANI RGO/PANI RGO-HT/PANI RGOHG/PANI RGO-Foam/PANI RGO/PANI/RFC G/PANI hybrid

140.5 M H2SO4 1 A/g

~1,044 EMITFSI/PVDF-HFP* 0.22

5mA/cm2 87.8 mF/cm2 0.5 M H2SO4 0.2 mA/cm2

176 mF/cm2

14**2 M H2SO4 0.1 A/g**

500 1

10**M KOH 0.1 A/g**

578.8

10**1 M H2SO4 1 A/g**

101 M H2SO4 1 A/g 789.9 1 M H2SO4 1 A/cm2 790 F/cm2 141 M H2SO4 5 mV/s 246 mF/cm2 331 M H2SO4 0.5 A/g 793 1 M H2SO4 1 A/g 437 1 M H2SO4 1 mA 429 140.5 M H2SO4 1 A/g 937 1 M H2SO4 2 mV/s 159.62 141 M H2SO4 1 A/g 432 141 M H2SO4 0.5 A/g 438.8 PVA/H2SO4* 0.5 A/g 424.4 331 M H2SO4 0.2 A/g 420 AEESC 2.6 A/g 1,120 101 M H2SO4 1 A/g 790 6 M KOH 1 A/g 523 ~80.1 (3,000) 10,000 74.8 (500) 82 (1,000) 90 (5,000) 88.9 (5,000) 98 (3,800) 81

790 6 M KOH 1 A/g 523 \sim 80.1 (3,000) 10,000 74.8 (500) 82 (1,000) 90 (5,000) 88.9 (5,000) 98 (3,800) 81 (10,000) 84 (5,000) 1,000 74.5 (2,000) 82.02 (1,000) 85 (10,000) 76.5 (2,000) \sim 80 (2,500) 80 (6,000) 91.4 (4,000) 80 (5,000) 87.6 (5,000) 117.45 12.2 μ W h/cm2 17.1 μ W h/cm2 448.8 226.4 μ W/cm2 0.25 mW/cm2 32.2 793.3 28.21 μ W h/cm2 0.12 mW/cm2 9.7 μ W h/cm2 840.9 μ W/cm2 11.38 199.8 55 900 10.12 456 0.31 1,178.78 25 681 9.3 11,600 17.6 98 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 10 43 44 45 S,N:GQD/PANI@Ni-Foam GO/PANI/eCFC RGO/PANI/eCFC N-doped RGO/PANI

2**2 M** KOH **1 M** H2SO4 **1 M** H2SO4 1 **M**

8**A/g** 940 **1 A/g 1**,145 0.1 **A/g**

590 100 (1,000) 84 (5,000) 100 (200) 71 450 25 92,200 46 47 47 48 G/CoFe2O4/PANI G/Fe2O3/PANI GO/Mn3O4/PANI RGO/Fe2O3/PANI@CC RGO/MnO2/PANI/Cotton RGO/RuO2/PANI RGO/NiPbTiO3/PANI RGO/LaMnO3/PANI 1 M KOH 1 M KOH

71 M H2SO4 1 M H2SO4 1 M

H2SO4 1 M H2SO4 PVA-KOH* G/PANI hybrid with the addition of TMOs 0.1 A/g 716.4 638 96 (5,000) 92 (5,000) 0.3

15**A/g 0**.25 **A/g** 15 **A/g 2**

mV/s 0.5 A/g 2.5 A/g 829 1,124 888 723.09 1,180 111 94 (1,800) 82 (10,000) 70 (3,000) 85.14 (1,000) ~91 (10,000) 117 (100,000) 79.7 17 121 14.4 1.29 40 35 178.2 351 891 58 215.35 18,000 30 49 25 50 51 39 52 53 GO/PPy GO/PPy@MWCNT RGO/PPy RGO/PPy@CNT PPy/RGO/PPy@Cu:Ni PET RGO-hydrogel/PPy Carboxyl-G/PPy@CNT G/PPy/MnOx@Cu(OH)2 Co9S8/PEDOT:PSS/RGO S-doped GO/PTh RGO/PTh/AgNPs RGO/PEDOT/PANI PAN/PANI/GQD *Solid-state electrolyte 1 M KCI 1 M Na2SO4 PVA-H2SO4* PVA-H2SO4* 1 M Na2SO4

21 M KNO3 1 M KCI 6 M KOH 2 M KOH 2 M KOH 1 M H2SO4 1 M

H2SO4 0.1 M H2SO4 Gn hybrid with other CPs 1 A/g 100 mV/s 1 A/g 10 A/g 2 mA/cm2 3 A/g 0.5 mA/cm2

16**10 mV/s 1** A/g **0**.3 **A/g**

4

16mV/s 1 A/g 0.67 A/g

271 64 (>2,000) 358.69 88.69 (2,000) 454 65 (10,000) 443 94.3 (10,000) 684 mF/cm2 4,000 340 87.4 (10,000) 196 mF/cm2 98.1 (5,000) 370 1,000 788.9 100 (10,000) 296 91.86 (4,000) 953.13 91.99 (1,000) 535 99 (10,000) 589.3 40.45 48.3 7 95 μ W h/cm2 46.9 10.9 μ W h/cm2 12/85 19.6 148 28.8 26.89 441 750 8.2 mW/cm2 1 mW/cm2 2,400 8.1 mW/cm2 6610 400.9 41.6 2,840 800 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 2. G/PANI-based hybrid electrodes 2.1 0D GQD/PANI electrodes GQDs are a 0D form of Gn with a particle size of fewer than 10 nm, which possesses several O-containing functional groups such as -COOH and -OH.67 GQDs are produced through physical or chemical exfoliation of carbon materials (e.g., fullerenes, Gt, Gn, etc.); wherein, a method such as oxidation, hydrothermal treatment, CVD, laser ablation, or plasma treatment is often employed.68-69 The usage of GQDs alone as the electrode materials has been reported to produce SC with a

26specific capacitance ranging from 6 F/g to 296.7 F/g

.67,70 Hybridization of PANI with GQDs can result in an SC electrode with enhanced specific capacitance. The presence of GQDs, in an adequate concentration, allows the homogeneous polymerization of ANI into PANI and uniform distribution of the particle size.68-71 As an example of GQD/PANI hybridization, Arthisree and Madhuri reported that the hybridization of PANI/GQD with PAN results in PAN/PANI@GQD, and this hybrid electrode can achieve a

7specific capacitance value of 587 F/g at a current density of 670 mA/g in 0.1 M H2SO4 electrolyte.66 The

8highest specific capacitance of the GQD/PANI hybrid was

reported by Mondal et al.;26 wherein, the electrochemical characterizations

23showed a high specific capacitance of 1044 F/g at 1 A/g

and 0.5 M H2SO4. This group also showed that the addition of GQDs could control the agglomeration of the PANI particles. As revealed by the TEM characterization, agglomeration of PANI particles was observed in the electrode materials prepared without GQDs; the formation of homogeneous PANI nanofibers can be achieved with the addition of GQDs (5-15 wt%). The retardment of PANI polymerization was encountered at a higher number of GQDs addition (i.e., 20 wt%). The highest specific capacitance (1,044 F/g) can be achieved at 10 wt% of GQDs addition, while lower capacitance values (205.7-776.3 F/g) were achieved at other loading amounts of GQDs. The combination of fullerene and PANI has also been reported to produce SC electrodes with high specific capacitances.72-74 For instance, outstanding SCs

11with a specific capacitance of 2201 F/g (at a current density of 2 A/g

) have been reported by Ramadan et al. by integrating fullerene with PANI.73 The hybrid electrode also exhibits superior

4cycling stability up to 1,000 cycles

while maintaining the capacity retention at 96%. In their study, the fullerene/PANI electrode was prepared by mixing 5 mg of PCBM (a fullerene derivative) and 200 µL ANI in 0.5 M H2SO4 solution, followed by the addition of ammonium persulfate to polymerize ANI into PANI. They further observed that higher loading amounts of PCBM in the hybrid electrodes led to the formation of large-size PCBM and consequently a decrease in specific capacitance. It also reported that the specific capacitance was lower at high PCBM addition of 10 mg (1,313

1F/g, at 2 A/g) and

at low PCBM addition of 2.5 mg (1,582

35F/g, at 2 A/g). A considerable decrease of

specific capacitance at high PCBM addition is due to the formation of large-size PCBM, leading to the reduction of surface area. Utilizing biomass as a renewable carbon source represents an attractive means to reduce raw material costs in preparing a product. For instance, the utilization of carrot juice as the carbon source in preparing carbon dots has been reported by Oskueyan et al.75 The carbon dots from carrot juice were mixed with PANI, PPy, and Gn to prepare the composite electrodes. Although biomass-derived material can reduce the raw material cost, the resulting SC electrodes exhibited relatively low stability; wherein, the retention stability is reduced to 62% after only 1,000

1 cycles. The highest specific capacitance that the electrode

composite can reach is 296

5F/g (at a current density of 5 A/g). Furthermore, the drawback of

using biomass-derived materials is that they may contain some organic impurities, lowering the retention stability.76 GQDs show the synergistic effects on the electrochemical performance of CP-based (e.g., PANI) electrodes by controlling the agglomeration of the CPs. Furthermore, the superior electrochemical properties of GQDs emerge due to their high SSA, good electrical conductivity, and plenty of O-containing active groups. 2.2 1D and 2D G/PANI electrodes Flexible, small, thin, and durable are the desired specifications for modern electronic devices, pushing many researches toward developing thin, flexible, and lightweight SCs to substitute conventional bulky and heavy SCs. Flexible SCs typically consist of highly flexible thin-electrodes (e.g., 1D fiber or 2D planar shapes) and are usually supported by soft substrates. In this regard, the flexibility and mechanical robustness of the flexible SCs can be achieved through strong integration between the flexible substrate and the active electrode material.77-78 The 1D fiber or yarn-shaped SC electrodes have a promising application for portable and wearable devices due to their flexibility and tiny volume.12 A flexible SC based on the G/PANI hybrid fibers obtained from wet spinning has been reported to deliver a high specific

5capacitance of 87.8 mF/cm2 at a current density of 0.22 mA/cm2

. This G/PANI hybrid fiber was assembled on a PDMS substrate and then coated with a solid-state electrolyte prepared from the drying of polymer gel to construct a flexible electrode. Zhang et al.27 showed that Gn to PANI mass ratio plays a crucial factor in determining the capacitance of SC electrodes. For example, G/PANI composite fibers prepared with 0.1 M ANI show a uniform distribution of PANI nanomaterials on the surface of graphene fibers, and the resulting SC has a large

5capacitance of 55.8 mF/cm2 at a current density of 0.22 mA/cm2

. On the contrary, the G/PANI composite fibers prepared using 0.3 M ANI resulted in the aggregation of PANI on Gn and thus caused a reduction in the capacitance to 19.3 mF/ cm2. Changing the solid-state electrolyte from PVA/H3PO4 into ionic liquid EMITFSI/PVDF-HFP can also be adapted as a strategy to increase the areal capacitance of G/PANI fiber-based electrode. The specific capacitance was increased to 87.8 mF/cm2 in the presence of an ionic liquid electrolyte. CC has been widely utilized to prepare flexible two-dimensional SC electrodes, owing to its fibrous characteristic. The preparation method of the composite SC electrodes comprising PANI, Gn, and CC commonly refers to a chemical/ electrochemical co-deposition method, as illustrated in Figure 1, and consists of several steps: (1) dipping of CC into aqueous GO dispersion, (2)

reduction of GO to RGO (optional), and (3) polymerization of ANI into PANI.33,35 For example, Wen et al. utilized a cyclic voltammetry to deposit Gn and PANI nanowires on CC to fabricate G/PANI@CC electrode composite exhibiting

1a specific capacitance of 793 F/g at 0.5 A/g .35 Lin 2et al. also reported the preparation of solid-stated SC electrodes through electrodeposition of G/PANI on carbon woven fabric, where 2a high capacitance of 790 F/cm2 at 1 A /cm2 can be achieved by SC.33 Cotton cloth (CC) GO/CC RGO/CC PANI/RGO/CC GO GO reduction Aniline polymerization GO RGO RGO PANI CC CC CC Figure 1. Preparation of flexible SC electrode composites composed of PANI/RGO growth on CC As another example of a flexible 2D SC electrode, Jin et al.34 reported a high-performance electrode material from the combination of cotton, Gn, and PANI. In this regard, the G/PANI@cotton electrode was prepared by coating cotton yarns with Gn sheets and PANI nanowire arrays. A combination of PVA/H3PO4 was introduced as the doping agent. The resulting solidstate G/PANI@cotton electrode displayed 13excellent cycling stability up to 3,800 cycles with a loss of capacitance retention of 2%. This flexible electrode has an 29areal-specific capacitance of 246 mF/cm2 and 29an energy density of 9.7 µW h/cm2 at a power density of 840 µW/cm2 . The flexible 2D electrode can also be prepared by utilizing commercial paper as the substrate 13reported by Li et al.28 The G/PANI@paper 12shows an areal capacitance of 176 mF

24at a current density of 0.2 mA/cm2, which is ~10 times larger than that of Gn-paper

. Jin et al.34 also reported a hybrid electrode using the same material combination of Gn, PANI, and paper. This group shows that the amount of PANI deposited on paper

24can be controlled by controlling the polymerization time; wherein, the electrochemical polymerization time of

900s resulted in a high PANI mass density. The prepared hybrid electrode can achieve a

19capacitance of 123 mF/cm2 at a current density of 0.5 A/cm2

. However, the resulting electrode material exhibited a relatively low cycling stability of 500 cycles with capacitive retention of 74.8%. Another work worth mentioning is from Xiao et al.79; this group reported the synthesis of RGO/PANI@paper through the deposition of GO and PANI nanofibers on the A4 paper through the printing technique. The RGO was formed through chemical reduction of GO@ paper using HI solution at an electrical conductivity of 340 S/m. The PANI nanofibers were then deposited on the flexible RGO paper by employing electropolymerization of ANI. The resulting flexible thin RGO/PANI@paper can achieve a

11specific capacitance of 522 F/g at 1 A/g. The addition of

another RGO layer on top of RGO/PANI@paper to produce a sandwich-like RGO/PANI/RGO@paper can further

26improve the specific capacitance to 581 F/g

. Meanwhile, RGO@paper achieved a much lower

2specific capacitance of 55 F/g at the same current density

. The resulting RGO/ PANI/RGO@paper also demonstrated an enhanced long-term cycling stability (85% capacitive retention) compared to PANI/RGO paper (57% retention) after 10,000 charge-discharge cycles. The establishment of flexible electronic devices is closely related to the development of stretchable and wearable electrodes. An excellent flexible electrode should be able to withstand mechanical deformation without undergoing electrical failure after bending or twisting. The critical factor in developing an excellent flexible electrode with high SSA is the precise interlayer thickness and uniform distribution of PANI and/or Gn on the substrate, which can be achieved by controlling the polymerization process. 2.3 3D G/PANI electrodes Hydrogel or aerogel represents a 3D, lightweight, and hierarchically porous material with interconnected structure, beneficial for ion transport in SCs.80-82 Furthermore, hydrogel also has high SSA, which allows the loading of a high number of active materials.81 Hydrogels from CPs possess high conductivity and excellent stretch-ability, which enables them to withstand structural collapse and extreme volume change during cycling.82-83 However, CPs-based hydrogels are not sufficiently tolerant of large and

complex mechanical deformation. Hybridization of CPs with highly stretchable, compressible, and bendable polymer was postulated as a strategy to overcome this drawback. As an example of this strategy, high mechanical strength and super-elastic hydrogel electrode were successfully produced through cryopolymerization of anisotropic PVA/PANI. This hydrogel has a specific capacitance of 260 F/g and an energy density of 27.5 Wh/kg, and is able to fully recover after undergoing 100% stretching-compressing strain.83 Cellulose can serve as natural and low-cost 3D aerogel electrodes;81,84 the combination of cellulose/GO/PANI can result in an aerogel electrode with

21an areal specific capacitance of 1,218 mF/cm2 (at a current density of 1 mA/cm2

).81 The cellulose/GO/PANI aerogel preparation was initiated by the dissolution of cotton linter pulp in LiBr, followed by the dispersion of GO nanosheets. The PANI nanoclusters were then coated onto the cellulose/GO aerogel through in situ polymerization of ANI.81 Zhou et al.85 reported another stretchable Gn-containing hydrogel electrode, wherein PAAm was used as the copolymer of PANI. The resulting PAAm/G/PANI hydrogel shows an

19areal capacitance of 500.13 mF/ cm2 (at 0.5 mA/cm2) and

100%

7capacitance retention after 10,000 cycles. Meanwhile, the PAAm/PANI

hydrogel shows four times lower

19areal capacitance of 114.5 mF/cm2

. Another method for preparing hydrogel electrode is by fabricating the Gn particles into the 3D hydrogel instead of using a polymer. As an example of this approach, Liu et al.80 reported the preparation of RGO/PANI hydrogel film. The RGO hydrogel film was prepared by first treating GO with NaOH aqueous solution. The GO-containing solution was then dropped onto

25a platform stacked with 7 glass sheets in an autoclave

reactor surrounded by a few milliliters of water. The reaction then proceeded hydrothermally

25to obtain the RGO hydrogel film

. Subsequently, PANI was deposited on the RGO hydrogel film through electropolymerization of ANI. The RGO/PANI hydrogel film can

18achieve a specific capacitance of 853.7 F/g (at 1 A/g), and

the electrode is able to maintain 92.6% of the capacitance after undergoing 8,000 cycles. Chi et al.86 have reported the preparation of 3D hierarchical porous RGO/PANI foam. The foam electrode was prepared by the dipping and dry method, as illustrated in Figure 2a. In this context, a low-density three-dimensional metal foam (e.g., Ni- or Cu-foam) was utilized as the template. The alignment of

19**PANI nanowire arrays on the** RGO- **foam**

substrate

15was achieved by the in situ polymerization reaction. The

resulting composite can drastically increase the electrocapacitive properties, fulfilling

4a specific capacitance of 864 F/g at 1 A/g with capacitive retention of 85.6% after 5,000 charge/discharge cycles. The preparation of

3D electrodes in the absence of support materials (known as free-standing electrodes) is another unique approach to preparing lightweight electrodes. Dissolvable polymers, such as PS and PMMA, were commonly used as sacrificial templates. The PS sphere was first employed as a rigid template for molding PANI-HS in such a process. PS was then removed by introducing a dissolving solvent (i.e., THF) to form PANI-HS particles. The schematic illustration showing the stepwise synthesis of PANI-HS is depicted in Figure 2b. For the GO hybridization step, the

2negatively charged GO nanosheets were wrapped on the surface of PANI

-HS particles and then electrochemically reduced to form PANI-HS@ERGO.

1The specific capacitance of the resultant composite electrode

was 614

5F/g at 1 A/g with a 90% capacitance retention after

500 galvanostatic charge-discharge cycles.87 PMMA is another type of dissolvable polymer that can be utilized as a sacrificial template to prepare PANI-HS.88 (a) Foam-scaffold Foam-scaffold/GO RGO-foam RGO-foam/PANI GO Scaffold removal GO-reduction GO Scaffold PANI PANI RGO (b) positive charge PANI negative charge Scaffold/GO/PANI Scaffold removal GO reduction 3D/RGO/PANI Scaffold material GO-sheet (c) PANI RGO PANI RGO PANI RGO Scaffold removal Scaffold material Scaffold(RGO/PANI)n (RGO/PANI)n (d) Scaffold material RGO/Scaffold PANI/RGO/Scaffold GO or RGO Aniline complex Polymerization RGO Aniline PANI Scaffold nanowire removal PANI/RGO Figure 2. Various techniques to prepare 3D composite electrodes (a) hydrogels or 3D foams as a template to form free-standing electrodes; (b) sacrificial polymer templates to produce hollow composite electrode particles; (c) LbL deposition of PANI and RGO on the sacrificial template; (d) ordered-mesoporous silica to create an ordered-mesoporous

carbon In another study, Luo et al.89 reported the fabrication of a novel three-dimensional G/PANI hybrid HS by using an LbL assembly technique. The

2schematic diagram of the LbL preparation method is illustrated in Figure 2c.

The

prepared composite was utilized as the electrode for SC, yielding a

27specific capacitance of 381 F/g at a current density of 4 A/g. The resulting SC electrode

also exhibits a promising long-term

13**cycling stability with** a capacity **retention of** 83% **after** 1,**000 cycles**. Furthermore, **the**

authors claimed

7that the specific capacitance of the composite

could be tailored by changing the assembly cycle number. Combining 3D graphene with a porous structure and conducting PANI possibly still attracts many researchers in the next several years. A hybrid electrode decorated with well-ordered PANI nanowhiskers has been reported by Yan et al.90 In their study, the ordered-mesoporous silica (SBA-15) impregnated with sucrose/H2SO4 solution was used as a template to produce OMC via carbonization at 900 °C. The vertically aligned PANI nanowhiskers were then deposited on the OMC through an oxidative chemical polymerization of ANI. The preparation route of the PANI nanowhiskers/OMC composite is illustrated in Figure 2d. PANI nanowhiskers were first doped with CSA, resulting in an SC device with a specific capacitance of 470 F/g. 3. Strategies to enhance electrochemical properties of G/PANI hybrid electrodes 3.1 Incorporation of TMOs The incorporation of TMO particles has been actively pursued as an effective means

35to improve the specific capacitance of the

G/PANI hybrid electrodes. TMOs consist of transition metals from the d-block element with partially- filled d-subshell, rendering them good electrical conductivity properties.91-95 Several TMOs that have been used to enhance the specific capacitances of SCs are Fe2O3, Mn3O4, RuO2, MnO2, and so forth. The usage of nanosized TMOs can improve the electrochemical performance of SCs compared to their bulk counterpart, which can be ascribed to the higher surface area of nanosized TMOs.96-97 A ternary nanostructured PANI/RGO/Fe2O3 on CC (hereinafter referred to as PANI/RGO/Fe2O3@CC) composite hydrogels is reported to produce flexible SC electrode

4with a specific capacitance of 1124 F/g (at 0.25 A/g).50 The

```
2prepared via in situ polymerization of
```

ANI using ammonium peroxydisulphate, in the presence of binary G/Fe2O3. The presence of Fe2O3 nanoparticles within the Gn layers can help in preventing the agglomeration of PANI nanoparticles, and thus increasing the

4electrochemical performance of the electrode. Moreover, the

presence of Fe2O3 nanoparticles also inhibits the restacking of the carbon sheets and subsequently improves the faradaic movement. The PANI nanoparticles themselves act as pseudocapacitance, which contributes to the improvement in the total capacitance. Further, they showed that

30the synergistic effect of the three components in the

PANI/RGO/Fe2O3@CC is perceptible

4compared to other electrode materials consisting of

G/PANI or Fe2O3/RGO. The G/PANI@CC electrode only yielded a

1specific capacitance of 793 F/g at 0.5 A/g in 1 M

H2SO4 electrolyte,35 while the Fe2O3/RGO@CC only achieved a

1specific capacitance of 255 F/g at 0.5 A/g in 1 M

Na2SO4.98 The low specific capacitance of Fe2O3/RGO is also partly attributed to the electrolyte solution; for example, in a similar material of study with PANI/RGO/Fe2O3@CC, the SC electrode from G/Fe2O3/PANI (Gn was used instead of RGO) was only able to

2achieve a specific capacitance of 638 F/g using KOH electrolyte

.49 Yasoda et al.25 demonstrated that using Mn3O4 nanoflakes to fabricate GO/PANI could result in the high- performance SC electrode with

1a specific capacitance of 829 F/g at 0.3 A/g in

201 M H2SO4. Meanwhile, only a specific capacitance of 335 F/g

can be achieved without the presence of Mn3O4 in the electrode matrix. The inclusion of mechanical stirring was reported to cause a decrease

```
26in specific capacitance to 643 F/g. The usage of
```

rare transition metal oxides, such as RuO2, to fabricate SC electrodes is reported to produce electrodes with theoretical high specific capacitance values between 1400 and 2000 F/g. However, the major drawbacks of using RuO2 lie in its expensive cost, low abundance, and high agglomeration tendency. Although the hybridization of RuO2 with carbon-based materials can help address the agglomeration issue, the high cost of this TMO remains the main barrier for its commercial application.23 The addition of PANI into RuO2/G composites can

22further improve the capacitance of the resultant electrodes

2For example, Rakhi et al.99 showed that the

electrode material prepared from chemically anchored RuO2 particles onto Gn nanosheets could achieve

1a specific capacitance of 365 F/g under a scan rate of 20 mV/s. Ates and

Yildrim39 also reported an almost 2-fold

17increase in the specific capacitance of the RGO/RuO2 electrode upon the incorporation of

PANI. In this case, the hybrid electrode prepared from RuO2/RGO/PANI achieved a specific capacitance of 723.09 F/g at 2 mV/s and maintained 85.14% of its initial capacitance after 1,000 cycles. On the other hand, the SC electrodes fabricated from RGO/RuO2, RGO/PANI, and RuO2/PANI all displayed lower specific capacitances of 347.28 F/g, 159.62 F/g, and 40.2 F/g, respectively, while pure RGO-based electrode only reached 37.5 F/g. The usage of binary metal oxide of Co/Fe has been shown to produce hybrid electrode materials with a superior specific capacitance value. Xiong et al.30 demonstrated that a ternary combination of CoFe2O4/G/PANI results in an electrode material possessing

1a specific capacitance of ~1,133.3 F/g at a scan rate of 1 mV/s and 767.7 F/g at a current density of 0.1 A/g

. By comparison, the binary electrode comprising G/PANI only achieved ~716.4 F/g (at 1 mV/s) and 329.3 F/g (at 0.1 A/g).

7Moreover, the ternary composite electrode exhibits a

superior long-term cycling performance, maintaining 96% capacitance after 5,000 cycles. Similar results were obtained by Mousa et al.,100 which reported a slightly higher

```
1specific capacitance value (i.e., 1,123 F/g at 1
```

mV/s) of CoFe2O4/G/PANI electrode but slightly inferior cycling stability performance (98.2% retention over 2,000 cycles). The hybridization of G/PANI electrodes with other TMOs has been extensively investigated; however, the resultant electrode materials possess much lower specific capacitance, namely 325 F/g (Fe3O4/G/PANI) and 645 F/g (NiFe2O4/G/PANI). Very recently, Shaheen et al.52 reported that the utilization of ternary TMOs of NiPbTiO3 could dramatically

27increase the specific capacitance of the RGO/PANI hybrid. The

NiPbTiO3/RGO/PANI hybrid can achieve

9a specific capacitance of 1,180 F/g (at 0.5 A/g), while pure PANI and

NiPbTiO3 only afforded 800

17F/g (at 13 A/g) and 384 F/g (at 5 A/g), respectively. The

major drawback of using TMOs

11in the fabrication of the SC electrodes

is the poor cycling stability. The free- standing TMOs and G/PANI/TMO electrodes commonly have cycling stability between 200 and 5,000 cycles, due to the volume change of TMOs in the electrolyte solution during the electrochemical process.96 The addition of carbon- based substrates, such as CC, represents an effective means to address this issue. For instance, growing the PANI/RGO/ Fe2O3@CC substrate can result in 10,000 cycling stability. By contrast, the free-standing G/Fe2O3/PANI and Mn3O4/GO/ PANI without CC only exhibited 5,000 and 1,800 cycling stability, respectively. Although the combination of TMOs/ G/PANI can be promising for preparing

7energy storage devices with high specific capacitance, the

high cost and low abundance of several TMOs, and the possibility of metal toxicity to the environment, need to be further considered for practical applications. The utilization of MOFs for preparing SC electrodes has started to emerge. Since introduced in 1995 by Yaghi et al.,101 MOFs have gained significant interest from scientific communities in various fields. MOF possesses a

22large specific surface area and high porosity as an electrode

material, accommodating the electrolyte ions and acting as the redox-active sites. MOF materials prepared from the combination of H3BTC, H2BDC, and PTA with various transition metals (e.g., Ni, Co, Mn, and Fe) have been widely used for preparing the SC electrode. The MOFs are usually combined

11with carbon-based material or CPs, and the reported

electrodes can reach a specific

4capacitance up to 1,800 F/g and cycling stability up to 10,000 cycles

.102 Several high specific capacitance MOF-contained electrodes have been reported.

2For example, Saraf et al.103 reported that a combination of

Cu-MOF/RGO/GCE can result in a hybrid electrode with a

20specific capacitance of 685 F/g (in 1 M Na2SO4 at a current density of 1.6 A/g

). Meanwhile, the Cu-MOF/GCE and RGO/GCE electrodes are only able to achieve specific capacitance of 85 F/g, 410 F/g and 685 F/g, respectively. The hybridization of CNT with Ni-MOF is reported to result in an electrode

10with a specific capacitance of 1,765 F/ g (in 6 M KOH, at a current density of 0.5 A/g

), which is higher than the Ni-MOF (1,080 F/g).104 Composite electrode from the combination of MOF with carbon-based materials is shown to have higher specific capacitance than the electrode prepared from MOF alone. The enhancement of the specific capacitance can be related to the better

1diffusion of electrolyte ions and electron transport in the

composite electrode. To date, there is still no study that shows the electrochemical performance of the combination of MOF/G/PANI, and therefore, no information can be gathered. 3.2 Surface functionalization approaches The combination of functionalized-Gn and PANI has been reported to produce SC electrodes with great specific capacitance values. In this regard, N- and S-contained functional groups, such as amine and sulfonate, are frequently used to modulate the surface chemical characteristics of Gn nanomaterial.105 The incorporation of such functional groups has been demonstrated in several studies to play a role in controlling the morphology of PANI nanostructures anchored on the Gn plane. In this section, the preparation and performance of several functionalized-Gn/PANI hybrid electrodes are summarized and discussed, along with the

11**critical factors that influence the** electrocapacitive properties **of the** resultant hybrid **electrodes**

. The usage of S,N:GQDs along with PANI (PANI/S,N:GQDs) resulted in the SC electrode with

12an ultrahigh specific capacitance of 2,524 F/g at a current density of 2 A/g, showing a

2.5-fold enhancement in the capacitance compared to that of GQDs/PANI electrode.46 In this study, the S,N:GQD was prepared via a

23hydrothermal method using citric acid as a carbon precursor and thiourea (CH4N2S) as the S,N-source

. The PANI/S,N:GQDs composite materials are then obtained by mixing S,N:GQDs with ANI and followed by ANI polymerization in the presence of APS. A conductive mixture composed of 80 wt% PANI/S,N:GQDs, 10 wt% carbon black, 10 wt% PVDF, 0.3 mL N -methyl-2-pyrrolidone, and 0.3 mL ethanol was then painted on 1 × 4.5 cm2 Ni-foam to prepare the SC electrode. Ramadan et al.46 also reported that the aggregation of GQDs in the composites tends to occur at high GQDs content, causing the formation of many void spaces and decreasing the electrochemical performance of the electrode. The combination of 10 mg S,N:GQDs/PANI resulted in an SC electrode with the highest specific capacitance. Interestingly, the addition of 10 mg PANI/ S,N:GQDs resulted in an electrode with the lower surface area than pure PANI, which is 21.9 and 30.8 m2/g for PANI/ S,N:GQDs and PANI, respectively. Although pure PANI has a higher BET surface area, its specific capacitance only reached 1080 F/g, which is 2.3 times lower than PANI/S,N:GQDs. The reduction in the BET surface area of PANI/ S,N:GQDs can be attributed to the intercalation of the S,N:GQDs into the PANI matrix, which can

25facilitate the mobility of electrons and ions and thus enhance the electrochemical performance of the

electrode. In an earlier study, Liu et al.106 demonstrated a comparison study toward the specific capacitance values of SC hybrid electrodes prepared from the combination of PANI with GO, RGO, N-Gn, and S-Gn. The composite electrode materials are constructed of multilayer stacking of PANI nanorods grown on micron-sized graphene sheets. More homogeneous growth of PANI nanorods were observed when the aniline monomers were paired with S-Gn. The sulfonate groups have higher electronegative intensities than the hydroxylamine groups in GO and Gn, thus stimulating the nucleation and uniform growth of PANI nanorods. The results showed that PANI/S-Gn composites exhibit the largest BET surface of 49.0 m2/g, while other composites possess a lower BET surface area ranging from 20.2 to 36.6 m2/g. According to XPS analysis, pure PANI and PANI/S-Gn show a high total content of -N= and -NH+ (i.e., ~50%), giving rise

21to the electrical conductivity of the electrode. The

4F/g at 5 A/g) can be

achieved by using PANI/S-Gn composites. In another work, Ke et al.107 reported a PANI/ATRGO hybrid electrode with a flower-like morphology that displays an impressive

1specific capacitance of 1,510 F/g at a current density of 1 A/g and 89% cycling stability after 1,500 cycles

. ATRGO was prepared through modification of GO with TCTA and p-phenylenediamine. Then, 2-10 wt% of ATRGO was mixed with ANI in 1 M H2SO4 to produce PANI/ATRGO composite. The highest specific capacitance of this composite material was obtained at 5 wt% ATRGO loading, resulting in the formation of homogenous flower-like PANI nanosheets anchored on graphene. In this regard, the homogenous growth of PANI nanosheets was not able to achieve at lower ATRGO. Higher loading amounts of ATRGO than 5 wt% gave disordered stacking of PANI nanosheets on the Gn surface. Such the morphologies and non-homogenous distribution of PANI nanosheets on Gn lead to the lower

18specific capacitance values of 697 and 1,216 F/g for

PANI/ATRGO 2 wt% and PANI/ATRGO 10 wt%, respectively. The preparation of a GOF/PANI hybrid electrode

13has been reported by Y. Li et al. 108 The

GOF was prepared by mixing carboxylated-GO with Selectfluor (1-chloromethyl-4-fluoro-1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane, a fluorine donor chemical) and AgNO3 in water. Then, 3% of freeze-dried GOF

12was mixed with ANI at a weight ratio of

3 : 100, followed by an oxidation polymerization to produce GOF/PANI composites. The as-synthesized GOF/PANI can achieve a

12specific capacitance of 502 F/g at 1.0 A/g and maintain 95% cycling stability after 600 cycles. The

GOF/PANI nanocomposite is constructed of densely packed granular PANI on the GOF plane. Furthermore, agglomeration of the PANI particles was also found in some regions of the nanocomposites; the PANI particles that are not nanosized are likely to cause the reduction of the specific capacitance and poor cycling cycles. In this regard, an SC electrode material with smoother morphology and nanosized PANI particles has been demonstrated to deliver enhanced cycling stability and higher specific capacitance, as proven in the recent study by Li et al.109 The combination of PAG/PANI resulted in an SC electrode

9with a specific capacitance of 1,092.3 F/g under a scan rate of 5 mV/s

.109 This amine group functionalized electrode was constructed by growing the PANI nanoarrays on the PAG. In this regard, PAG

16was prepared from the oxidation of graphite through the Hummers method

2followed by chemical reduction using hydrazine. The resulting

Gn nanosheets were then mixed with 1,4-benzenediamine in NaNO2/ H2SO4 solution to obtain PAG. The PAG/PANI active material was then prepared by mixing 20 wt% PAG with 0.91 mL ANI and ~1.4 g NSA in 100 mL of 1 M HCl solution. Polymerization of ANI into PANI was performed by using APS for 8 h. Another hybrid composite, namely PAG/PANI-w, uses water instead of 1 M HCl solution. However, the resultant PAG/PANI-w composite only achieved a specific capacitance of 585.0 F/g, much lower than PAG/PANI (1,092.3 F/g) and pure PANI (898.5 F/g). The higher specific capacitance values of pure PANI and PAG/PANI electrodes than PAG/PANI-w can be attributed to the doping effect of PANI by HCl. A solid-state SC, namely G-PC,

9with a tremendous specific capacitance of 1,701.1 F/g at a scan rate of 5 mV/s

, has been reported in another study by Li et al.110 The G-PC has an

5energy density of 15.3 Wh/kg (at a power density of 50 W/kg) and outstanding capacitance retention of 94.9% after 5,000 cycles. Such a

remarkable specific capacitance is achieved by combining NH2-GNS, ANI, PPDA, and TPA. The NH2-GNS were prepared by using the same previously reported method.109 The resultant NH2-GNS was then mixed with ANI, PPDA, and TPA to synthesize the G-PC nanocomposite. It was shown that incorporating the amine functional group causes an increase in the C and N contents while lowering the O content of Gn; the lower O content can help to reduce the moisture of Gn. The authors also showed that the loading content of NH2-GNS significantly affects the surface morphology of the resulting nanocomposites. The PANI particles form a nanofibrous structure, resulting in a smoother surface than previously reported PAG/PANI.109-110 In this regard, a quite uniform distribution of PANI-copolymer on the GNS was obtained at GNS-NH2 weight loadings ranging from 10 to 30 wt%. Higher NH2-GNS loadings of ca. 50 wt% resulted in the formation of the disordered nanofibrous structure of PANI with some large cavities, making it not suitable for efficient diffusion of the electrolyte ions and charge transport.

30The highest specific capacitance of 1,701.1 F/g was achieved with

an NH2-GNS mass loading of 20%, whereas higher and lower loading amounts result in lower capacitance values of 1,141.9-1,242.7 F/g. The electrode materials prepared without the addition of NH2-GNS and unfunctionalized GNS exhibited an evenly low

1specific capacitance of 859.2 F/g and 882.6 F/g

, respectively. 3.3 Gn-based hybrid composites with other CPs 3.3.1 G/PPy nanocomposites PPy is one of the most widely used p-type CPs for preparing composite electrodes due to its high conductivity (10- 1,000 S/cm) and ease of synthesis by chemical and electrochemical methods. Similar to other PANI cases, the grafting of carbon-derived nanomaterials to PPy can

2improve the cycling stability of the

resultant hybrid electrodes during charge and discharge cycles.

2For instance, Wang et al.111 reported a novel technique to produce a

composite RGO/PPy porous electrode, based on the in situ galvanostatic polymerizations. The resultant composite exhibits a BET surface area of 108 m2/g and outstanding electrochemical performance, including

1high specific capacitance (224 F/g at 240 A/ g

), energy and power densities of 2.5 Wh/kg and 38.6 kW/kg, respectively,

13and good cycling stability (17% capacitance loss after

5000 charge-discharge cycles). In another study, Zhang and Zhao112 demonstrated the

15direct coating of PPy on RGO sheets

through

15in situ polymerization process to produce PPy/RGO

composite. The authors found that ethanol as a solvent can improve the

15dispersion of RGO sheets and subsequently promote the diffusion and growth of PPy over the RGO surface. The specific capacitance of

8the PPy/RGO composite electrode was 248 F/g at 0.3 A

/g with capacitance retention of 80% over 1,000 cycles of charge/discharge. The hydrothermal method has also been utilized by Gu et al.113 to prepare a 3D RGO/PPy composite hydrogel. In this study, KMnO4 was used as the oxidant in the polymerization of pyrrole monomers to form PPy on the surface of GO sheets at 95 °C for 24 h. Under this polymerization condition, GO sheets are

. The SSA of the PPy/RGO composite hydrogel was 331 m2/g, yielding

2a specific capacitance of 356 F/g. The capacitance properties of

the as-prepared composites were influenced by the uniformity and thickness of the PPy coating on Gr

the as-prepared composites were influenced by the uniformity and thickness of the PPy coating on Gn. Sun et al.114 employed a spontaneous assembly or in situ redox process without an external oxidizing or reducing agent to produce 3D RGO/PPy hybrid aerogels for SC electrodes. The composite with excellent electrochemical performance (

18 specific capacitance of 230.8 F/g at 1 A/g, and retention of 58.26% after 50 cycles

) and mechanical properties was obtained using their method. The

2strong π-π interaction between PPy and

RGO is responsible for the excellence of the mechanical property of the composite. A recent study by Zhang et al.59 shows a clear correlation between the specific surface area and the specific capacitance of the resulting electrode made of freestanding PPy@RGO hydrogel.

17The specific surface area of the

PPy@RGO hydrogel (hereinafter referred to as PPy@ RGOH) can be modulated by varying the electropolymerization time of PPy from 0 to 30 s. The PPy@RGOH obtained at an electrochemical polymerization time of 20 s (i.e., PPy@RGOH-20 s) possesses the highest specific surface area of 231.2 m2/g, while the PPy@RGOH-10 s and PPy@RGOH-30 s only exhibited 164.7 and 152.0 m2/g, respectively. The specific surface area values of PPy@RGOH composites are influenced by the formation of aggregates of the active electrode materials (e.g., RGO and PPy). A severe aggregation of these active materials can lead to the destruction of the electrode network structure, thus reducing the rate of charge transfer and decreasing the specific capacitance of the electrode. Furthermore, the aggregation of CPs is generally observed to be more at excessive loading amounts.112,115-116 3.3.2 Gn nanocomposites with PTh and their derivatives The combination of PTh and GNS as SC electrode materials has been demonstrated in several studies, although the number of publications is relatively more minor compared to those using PANI and PPy. Among various PTh derivatives, highly conductive PEDOT is of particular interest for preparing high-performance SC electrode materials.

2For example, Lee et al. reported the fabrication of a

thin multilayer G/PEDOT film

4using an in situ electrochemical deposition method

8The specific capacitance value of the composite thin film

with six Gn layers was found to be 154 F/g, and this value decreased slightly to 132.44 F/g (86%

17capacitance retention) after 1,000 charge and discharge cycles. Zhang and

Zhao also employed PEDOT to fabricate RGO/PEDOT composite for high-performance SC electrodes. In their study, PEDOT was directly coated on RGO sheets via an in situ polymerization process.112 Ates

8et al. demonstrated the fabrication of a ternary composite

material from the combination of RGO, Ag, and PTh (RGO/Ag/PTh).64 The electrode with

1a specific capacitance of 953.13 F/g (at a scan rate of 4 mV/s) was achieved at a GO/Th ratio of

0.2. The prepared ternary nanocomposite also displayed

13excellent cycling stability (91.88%) after 1,000

charging/discharging cycles. Azimi et al.118 investigated the electrochemical properties of several PTh nanocomposites with GO and RGO. They found that incorporating GO and RGO to PTh nanoparticles could

4enhance the electrochemical properties of the resultant electrodes

. Specifically,

9the specific capacitance of GO/PTh, RGO/PTh, and

PTh

8at a scan rate of 5 mV/s was 16.39 F/g

, 28.68 F/g, and 3.5 F/g, respectively. 4. Summary and future perspective In summary, the development and breakthrough of the researches in the improvement of the electrochemical properties and cycling stability of the G/PANI hybrid electrodes have been reviewed. Incorporating Gn can facilitate the homogeneous distribution of PANI nanoparticles, thereby resulting in an SC electrode with better electrochemical properties. The synergistic effect of G/PANI comes from the π - π stacking interaction between the aromatic rings or the two compounds (Figure 3a), which boosts the electrode conductivity by facilitating the electron donor-acceptor transfer (Figure 3b). Through detailed analysis on the properties of G/PANI hybrid electrode, it can be postulated that three factors should be considered to produce electrodes with enhanced

capacitance and cycling stability, including 1) composition and the ratio of Gn and PANI; 2) morphology, structure, and size of the materials; 3) hybridization with other materials. The proper amount of Gn and PANI is a crucial aspect to prevent the aggregation of the electrodes particles, and to control the morphologies and size of the particles. This effect

2is reported in work by Xia et al.49 The

SC electrodes prepared at a low amount of PANI, i.e., (G/Fe2O3/PANI)0.5 prepared from G/Fe2O3 to ANI

1at the ratio of 2:1, can achieve a specific capacitance of 638 F/g

(Figure 4a). Meanwhile, the specific capacitance is decreased for 1.7-3.2 times at high G/Fe2O3 to ANI at the ratio of 1:1, 1:5, and 1:10, repectively; where the resultant electrode coded as (G/Fe2O3/PANI)1, (G/Fe2O3/PANI)5, (G/Fe2O3/PANI)10, respectively.49

1The significant decrease in specific capacitance was due to the occurrence of

aggregation, which inhibits the smooth ion transfer within the electrode matrix. Higher specific capacitance also can be achieved by combining several conducting materials. The usage of single electrode particles, such as Gn, PANI, and Fe2O3, only

1resulted in a low specific capacitance of 33-198 F/g

(Figure 4a). Meanwhile, combining the three materials can significantly enhance the specific capacitance to 638 F/g.49 (a) (b) NH NH π - π stacking n n e - π - π stacking OH n e - i n g N H OH n d H o n N H - b Figure 3. (a) Schematic illustration showing the bonding interaction between PANI and RGO. (b) Charge transfer process between PANI and RGO The establishment of material with nanosize, homogenous structure, and controlled morphologies is a crucial point in producing SC with excellent properties. The introduction of conductive materials (e.g., TMOs and MOFs) and/ or functionalization of the materials are approaches that can be employed as a strategy to enhance the electrochemical properties of SC. The usage of TMOs may result in higher specific capacitance value and better electron transport for the electrode; however, their high cost, low availability, and high toxicity risk are major challenges for their application. The type of TMOs also

2plays a vital role in affecting the electrochemical properties of the

resulting electrode. As shown in Figure 4b, a different value of specific capacitance was obtained by using a different type of single TMOs. The usage of binary TMOs (instead of single TMOs) can enhance the specific capacitance of the electrode. Nevertheless, many TMO combinations are available and waiting to be studied. Therefore, a complete understanding of the properties and conductivity of each TMO will help in determining the best binary combination. Other

10strategies to enhance the specific capacitance of

the electrode include the incorporation of MOFs and surface functionalization of Gn. The incorporation of MOFs can assist in increasing the SSA and porosity of the electrodes, thereby facilitating the diffusion of electrolyte ions; however, the high cost and complexity of the MOFs production process is still a challenge. Surface functionalization of Gn was also postulated as one of the strategies for achieving high-performance electrodes. However, the poor cycling cycle and the tendency of particles agglomeration often hindered their industrial-scale application. While each strategy has its advantages and disadvantages, one should review the intended use of SC to determine the best electrode composition. Numerous promising methods and approaches to improve the performance of G/PANI hybrid electrodes have been demonstrated; however, there are still many challenges and research gaps awaiting to be answered. Although thousands of works related to G/PANI hybrid electrodes have been published, only hundreds of them demonstrated SC with cycling stability >10,000 cycles. While studies of SC preparations with various combinations of materials (including materials not discussed in this review) are progressing rapidly, the knowledge about the fundamental charge-discharging mechanism for these new SC combinations is still limited. Therefore,

21it is still a challenge to optimally design SCs materials with excellent

electrochemical properties and should be the focus of future research. (a) 800 Single Binary Ternary Specific

2capacitance (F/g) 700 600 500 400 300 200 100

0 (b) Specific capacitance (F/g) 1400 1200 1000 800 600 400 200 0 Fe2O3 I G n G/PA G/Fe2O3 N I Fe2O3/PA(NG/Fe2O3/PANI)10Fe2O3/PANI)5Fe2O3/PAN(GI)/1Fe2O3/PANI)0.5 I P A N (G / (G / Fe2O3 M n3O4 R uO2 Fe3O4 C oFe2O4 NiFe2O4 TiO3 N i P b Single TMOs Binary/Ternary TMOs Figure 4. (a)

16Comparison of the specific capacitance of some G/PANI electrodes

with various TMOs added, adapted from Ref. 49 (b)

16Comparison of the specific capacitance of

single, binary, and ternary hybrid electrodes comprised of Gn, PANI, and Fe2O3, adapted from Refs. 25, 30, 50, 52, 98-100 Acknowledgment This project was supported by

3Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University through an internal research grant

34Conflict of interest statement The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest. References

[1] Simon, P.; Gogotsi, Y.; Dunn, B. Science 2014, 343, 1210-1211. [2] Winter, M.; Brodd, R. J. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 4245-4269. [3] Zhang, L. L.; Zhao, X. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2520-2531. [4] Shao, Y.; Li, J.; Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhang, Q.; Kaner, R. B. Mater. Horiz. 2017, 4, 1145-1150. [5] Dubal, D. P.; Chodankar,

```
N. R.; Kim, D.-H.; Gomez-Romero, P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 2065-2129. [6] Liu, L.; Zhao, Z.; Hu, Z.; Lu,
X.; Zhang, S.; Huang, L.; Zheng, Y.; Li, H. Front. Chem. 2020, 8, 371-371. [7] Tian, Z.; Tong, X.; Sheng, G.;
Shao, Y.; Yu, L.; Tung, V.; Sun, J.; Kaner, R. B.; Liu, Z. Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 4913-4913. [8]
Forouzandeh, P.; Kumaravel, V.; Pillai, S. C. Catalysts 2020, 10, 969. [9] Palchoudhury, S.; Ramasamy, K.;
Gupta, R. K.; Gupta, A. Front. Mater. 2019, 5, 83. [10] Moyseowicz, A.; Gryglewicz, G. Compos. B. Eng.
2019, 159, 4-12. [11] Wang, M.; Xu, Y.-X. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2016, 27, 1437-1444. [12] Ke, Q.; Wang, J. J.
Materiomics. 2016, 2, 37-54. [13] Lekakou, C.; Moudam, O.; Markoulidis, F.; Andrews, T.; Watts, J. F.; Reed,
G. T. Nanocomposites 2011, 2011, 409382. [14] Zhang, L.; Yang, X.; Zhang, F.; Long, G.; Zhang, T.; Leng,
K.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, M.; Chen, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5921-5929. [15]
Viswanathan, B. Supercapacitors, In Energy Sources: Fundamentals of Chemical Conversion Processes
and Applications, John Fedor: Elsevier B.V., 2017; pp 315-328. [16] Meng, Q.; Cai, K.; Chen, Y.; Chen, L.
Nano Energ. 2017, 36, 268-285. [17] Muzaffar, A.; Ahamed, M. B.; Deshmukh, K.; Thirumalai, J. Renew.
Sustain, Energy Rev. 2019, 101, 123-145, [18] Sinha, P.; Kar, K. K. Introduction to Supercapacitors, In
Handbook of Nanocomposite Supercapacitor Materials II, Kar, K. K., Ed. Springer Nature Switzerland AG:
Switzerland, 2020; Vol. 302. [19] Liu, Z.; Zhang, S.; Wang, L.; Wei, T.; Qiu, Z.; Fan, Z. Nano. Select. 2020, 1,
244-262. [20] Balli, B.; Savk, A.; Sen, F. Graphene and polymer composites for supercapacitor applications.
In Nanocarbon and its Composites: Preparation, Properties and Applications, Khan, A.; Jawaid, M.;
Inamuddin; Asiri, A. M., Eds. Woodhead Publishing Series in Composites Science and Engineering:
Elsevier, 2019; pp 123-151. [21] Sur, U. K. Int. J. Chem. 2012, 2012, 237689. [22] Drieschner, S.; Weber, M.;
Wohlketzetter, J.; Vieten, J.; Makrygiannis, E.; Blaschke, B. M.; Morandi, V.; Colombo, L.; Bonaccorso, F.;
Garrido, J. A. 2D Mater. 2016, 3, 045013. [23] Majumdar, D.; Majyalagan, T.; Jiang, Z. Chem. Electro. Chem.
2019, 6, 4343-4372. [24] Liu, C.; Yu, Z.; Neff, D.; Zhamu, A.; Jang, B. Z. Nano. Lett. 2010, 10, 4863-4868.
[25] Yasoda, K. Y.; Kumar, M. S.; Batabyal, S. K. Ionics 2020, 26, 2493-2500. [26] Mondal, S.; Rana, U.;
Malik, S. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 12365. [27] Zhang, M.; Wang, X.; Yang, T.; Zhang, P.; Wei, X.; Zhang,
L.; Li, H. Synth. Met. 2020, 268, 116484. [28] Li, K.; Liu, X.; Chen, S.; Pan, W.; Zhang, J. J. Energy Chem.
2019, 32, 166-173. [29] Gomez, H.; Alvi, F.; Villalba, P.; Ram, M. K.; Kumar, A. In Supercapacitor Based on
Graphene-Polyaniline Nanocomposite Electrode, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc., Materials Research Society,
2011. [30] Xiong, P.; Huang, H.; Wang, X. J. Pow. Sour. 2014, 245, 937-946. [31] Cong, H.-P.; Ren, X.-C.;
Wang, P.; Yu, S.-H. Energy Environ. Sci. 2013, 6, 1185. [32] Zhang, T.; Yue, H.; Gao, X.; Yao, F.; Chen, H.;
Lu, X.; Wang, Y.; Guo, X. Dalton Trans. 2020, 49, 3304-3311. [33] Lin, Y.; Zhang, H.; Deng, W.; Zhang, D.;
Li, N.; Wu, Q.; He, C. J. Power Sources 2018, 384, 278-286. [34] Jin, C.; Wang, H.-T.; Liu, Y.-N.; Kang, X.-
H.; Liu, P.; Zhang, J.-N.; Jin, L.-N.; Bian, S.-W.; Zhu, Q. Electrochim. Acta 2018, 270, 205-214. [35] Wen, L.;
Li, K.; Liu, J.; Huang, Y.; Bu, F.; Zhao, B. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 7688-7693. [36] Chen, N.; Ni, L.; Zhou, J.; Zhu,
G.; Kang, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, S.; Zhou, W.; Lu, C.; Chen, J.; Feng, X.; Wang, X.; Guo, X.; Peng, L.; Ding,
W.; Hou, W. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2018, 10, 5189-5197. [37] Mitchell, E.; Candler, J.; Souza, F. D.;
Gupta, R. K.; Gupta, B. K.; Dong, L. F. Synth. Met. 2015, 199, 214-218. [38] Xu, H.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, M.; Li,
Q.; Zuo, S.; Chen, Y. Ionics 2020, 26, 5199-5210. [39] Ates, M.; Yildrim, M. Polym. Bull. 2020, 77, 2285-
2307. [40] Yang, Y.; Xi, Y.; Li, J.; Wei, G.; Klyui, N. I.; Han, W. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 2017, 12, 394. [41]
Hong, X.; Zhang, B.; Murphy, E.; Zou, J.; Kim, F. J. Pow. Sour. 2017, 343, 60-66. [42] Hu, R.; Zhao, J.; Zhu,
G.; Zheng, J. Electrochim. Acta 2018, 261, 151-159. [43] Luo, Y.; Zhang, Q. E.; Hong, W.; Xiao, Z.; Bai, H.
Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2018, 20, 131-136. [44] Yu, P.; Zhao, X.; Huang, Z.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Q. J. Mater.
Chem. A 2014, 2, 14413-14420. [45] Wang, H.; Zhang, Y.; Li, Z.; Wu, Y.; Wu, L.; Jin, Z. Ionics 2020, 26,
4031-4038. [46] Ramadan, A.; Anas, M.; Ebrahim, S.; Soliman, M. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 2020, 31,
7247-7259. [47] Yu, P.; Li, Y.; Zhao, X.; Wu, L.; Zhang, Q. Langmuir 2014, 30, 5306-5313. [48] Wang, L.; Ye,
Y.; Lu, X.; Wen, Z.; Li, Z.; Hou, H.; Song, Y. Sci. Rep. 2013, 3, 3568. [49] Xia, X.; Hao, Q.; Lei, W.; Wang, W.;
Sun, D.; Wang, X. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 16844-16850. [50] Gupta, A.; Sardana, S.; Dalal, J.; Lather, S.;
Maan, A. S.; Tripathi, R.; Punia, R.; Singh, K.; Ohlan, A. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2020, 3, 6343-6446. [51]
Etana, B. B.; Ramakrishnan, S.; Dhakshnamoorthy, M.; Saravanan, S.; Ramamurthy, P. C.; Demissie, T. A.
Mater. Res. Express 2020, 6, 125708. [52] Shaheen, F.; Ahmad, R.; Sharif, S.; Habib, M.; Sharif, R.; Fatima,
M.; Wang, C. Mater. Lett. 2021, 284, 129031. [53] Shafi, P. M.; Ganesh, V.; Bose, A. C. ACS Appl. Energy
Mater. 2018, 1, 2802-2812. [54] Prasankumar, T.; Vigneshwaran, J.; Abraham, S.; Jose, S. P. Mater. Lett.
```

```
2019, 238, 121-125. [55] Abdah, M. A. A. M.; Razali, N. S. M.; Lim, P. T.; Kulandaivalu, S.; Sulaiman, Y.
Mater. Chem. Phys. 2018, 219, 120-128. [56] Han, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, M.; Li, T.; Wang, Y.; Cao, A.; Chen,
Z. Electrochim. Acta 2018, 289, 238-247. [57] Kwon, H.; Han, D. J.; Lee, B. Y. RSC Adv. 2020, 10, 41495-
41502. [58] Bhargava, P.; Liu, W.; Pope, M.; Tsui, T.; Yu, A. Electrochim. Acta 2020, 358, 136846. [59]
Zhang, X.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Y.; Cheng, K.; Yan, J.; Zhu, K.; Ye, K.; Wang, G.; Zhou, L.; Cao, D. J. Colloid
Interface Sci. 2019, 536, 291-299. [60] Zhou, H.; Zhai, H.-J.; Zhi, X. Electrochim. Acta 2018, 290, 1-11. [61]
Miankushki, H. N.; Sedghi, A.; Baghshahi, S. J. Solid State Electrochem. 2018, 22, 3317-3329. [62] Yao, T.;
Li, Y.; Liu, D.; Gu, Y.; Qin, S.; Guo, X.; Guo, H.; Ding, Y.; Liu, Q.; Chen, Q.; Li, J.; He, D. J. Power Sources
2018, 379, 167-173. [63] Alabadi, A.; Razzaque, S.; Dong, Z.; Wang, W.; Tan, B. J. Power Sources 2016,
306. 241-247. [64] Ates. M.; Caliskan, S.; Ozten, E. Fuller, Nanotub, Car. N 2018. 26. 360-369. [65] Liu, F.;
Xie, L.; Wang, L.; Chen, W.; Wei, W.; Chen, X.; Luo, S.; Dong, L.; Dai, Q.; Huang, Y.; Wang, L. Nano-Micro.
Lett. 2020, 12, 17. [66] Arthisree, D.; Madhuri, W. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 2020, 45, 9317-9327. [67] Zhang,
S.; Li, Y.; Song, H.; Chen, X.; Zhou, J.; Hong, S.; Huang, M. Scientific Reports 2016, 6, 19292. [68] Chen,
W.; Lv, G.; Hu, W.; Li, D.; Chen, S.; Dai, Z. Nanotechnol. Rev. 2018, 7, 157-185. [69] Ray, S. C. Application
and Uses of Graphene. In Applications of Graphene and Graphene-Oxide Based Nanomaterials, 2015; pp.
1-38. [70] Zhang, S.; Sui, L.; Dong, H.; He, W.; Dong, L.; Yu, L. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2018, 10,
12983-12991. [71] Qing, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Lin, H.; Wang, L.; Liu, A.; Cao, Y.; Sheng, R.; Guo, Y.; Fan, C.; Zhang,
S.; Jia, D.; Fan, Z. J. Mater. Chem. 2019, 7, 6021. [72] Ali, B. A.; Biby, A. H.; Allam, N. K. Chem. Electro.
Chem. 2020, 7, 1672-1678. [73] Ramadan, A.; Anas, M.; Ebrahim, S.; Soliman, M.; Abou-Aly, A. I. Int. J.
Hydrog. Energy 2020, 45, 16254-16265. [74] Wang, H.; Yan, X.; Piao, G. Electrochim. Acta 2017, 231, 264-
271. [75] Oskueyan, G.; Lakouraj, M. M.; Mahyari, M. Carbon Lett. 2021, 31, 269-276. [76] Nita, C.; Zhang,
B.; Dentzer, J.; Ghimbeu, C. M. J. Energy Chem. 2021, 58, 207-218. [77] Han, Y.; Ge, Y.; Chao, Y.; Wang,
C.; Wallace, G. G. J. Energy Chem. 2018, 27, 57-72. [78] Ko, S. H. Nature Electronics 2021, 4, 95-96. [79]
Xiao, F.; Yang, S.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, H.; Xiao, J.; Wan, L.; Luo, J.; Wang, S.; Liu, Y. Sci. Rep. 2015, 5, 9359.
[80] Liu, Z.; Zhao, Z.; Xu, A.; Li, W.; Qin, Y. Journal of Alloys and Compounds 2021, 875, 159931. [81] Li, Y.;
Xia, Z.; Gong, Q.; Liu, X.; Yang, Y.; Chen, C.; Qian, C. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 1546. [82] Shi, Y.; Peng, L.;
Yu, G. Nanoscale 2015, 7, 12796-12806. [83] Li, L.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, H.; Wang, Y.; Xu, J.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, C.;
Liu, T. Nat Commun 2020, 11, 62. [84] Tong, R.; Chen, G.; Tian, J.; He, M. Polymers 2019, 11. [85] Zhou, X.;
Chen, W.; Jiang, S.; Xiao, H.; Xu, X.; Yang, J.; Siddique, A. H.; Liu, Z. ChemSusChem 2021, 14, 938-945.
[86] Chi, K.; Zhang, Z.; Xi, J.; Huang, Y.; Xiao, F.; Wang, S.; Liu, Y. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2014, 6,
16312- 16319. [87] Fan, W.; Zhang, C.; Tjiu, W. W.; Pramoda, K. P.; He, C.; Liu, T. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5,
3382-3391. [88] Trung, N. B.; Tam, T. V.; Kim, H. R.; Hur, S. H.; Kim, E. J.; Choi, W. M. Chem. Eng. J. 2014,
255, 89-96. [89] Luo, J.; Ma, Q.; Gu, H.; Zheng, Y.; Liu, X. Electrochim. Acta 2015, 173, 184-192. [90] Yan,
Y.; Cheng, Q.; Wang, G.; Li, C. J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 7835-7840. [91] Abu-Dief, A. M. Journal of
Nanotechnology and Nanomaterials 2020, 1, 5-10. [92] Mackenzie, J. D.; Nasu, H. The Electrical
Conductivity of Transition Metal Oxide-Based Glasses. In Physics of Disordered Materials. Institute for
Amorphous Studies Series, Adler, D.; Fritzsche, H.; Ovshinsky, S. R., Eds. Springer: Boston, MA, 1985. [93]
Biswas, D.; Das, A. S.; Mondal, R.; Banerjee, A.; Dutta, A.; Kabi, S.; Roy, D.; Singh, L. S. Journal of Physics
and Chemistry of Solids 2020, 144, 109505. [94] Ibrahim, E. M. M.; Abdel-Rahman, L. H.; Abu-Dief, A. M.;
Elshafaie, A.; Hamdan, S. K.; Ahmed, A. M. Materials Research Bulletin 2018, 107, 492-497. [95] Ibrahim,
E. M. M.; Abdel-Rahman, L. H.; Abu-Dief, A. M.; Elshafaie, A.; Hamdan, S. K.; Ahmed, A. M. Materials
Research Bulletin 2018, 99, 103-108. [96] De, B.; Banerjee, S.; Verma, K. D.; Pal, T.; Manna, P. K.; Kar, K.
K. Transition Metal Oxides as Electrode. In Handbook of Nanocomposite Supercapacitor Materials II, Kar, K.
K., Ed. Springer Nature: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; Vol. Springer Series in Materials Science Volume 302, pp.
89-112. [97] Ibrahim, E. M. M.; Abu-Dief, A. M.; Elshafaie, A.; Ahmed, A. M. Materials Chemistry and
Physics 2017, 192, 41- 47. [98] Zhu, Y.; Cheng, S.; Zhou, W.; Jia, J.; Yang, L.; Yao, M.; Wang, M.; Zhou, J.;
Wu, P.; Liu, M. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2017, 5, 5067-5074. [99] Rakhi, R. B.; Chen, W.; Cha, D.;
Alshareef, H. N. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 16197-16204. [100] Mousa, M. A.; Khairy, M.; Shehab, M. J.
Solid State Electrochem. 2017, 21, 995-1005. [101] Yaghi, O. M.; Li, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117,
10401-10402. [102] Huang, S.; Shi, X.-R.; Sun, C.; Duan, Z.; Ma, P.; Xu, S. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 2268.
[103] Saraf, M.; Rajak, R.; Mobin, S. M. Journal of Materials Chemistry A 2016, 4, 16432-16445. [104] Wen,
```

P.; Gong, P.; Sun, J.; Wang, J.; Yang, S. Journal of Materials Chemistry A 2015, 3, 13874-13883. [105] Hong, X.; Fu, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, S.; Wang, X.; Dong, W.; Yang, S. Materials (Basel) 2019, 12, 1451. [106] Liu, X.; Zheng, Y.; Wang, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 10408-10415. [107] Ke, F.; Liu, Y.; Xu, H.; Ma, Y.; Guang, S.; Zhang, F.; Lin, N.; Ye, M.; Lin, Y.; Liu, X. Compos. Sci. Technol. 2017, 142, 286-293. [108] Li, Y.; Xing, R.; Zhang, B.; Bulin, C. Polym. Polym. Compos. 2019, 27, 76-81. [109] Li, T.; Liu, P.; Gao, Y.; Diao, S.; Wang, X.; Yang, B.; Wang, X. Mater. Lett. 2019, 244, 13-17. [110] Li, T.; Wang, X.; Liu, P.; Yang, B.; Diao, S.; Gao, Y. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2020, 860, 113908. [111] Wang, J.; Xua, Y.; Zhu, J.; Ren, P. J. Power Sources 2012, 208, 138-143. [112] Zhang, J.; Zhao, X. S. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 5420-5426. [113] Gu, X.; Yang, Y.; Hu, Y.; Hu, M.; Huang, J.; Wang, C. J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 5866-5874. [114] Sun, R.; Chen, H.; Li, Q.; Song, Q.; Zhang, X. Nanoscale 2014, 6. [115] Gupta, S.; Price, C. Compos. B. Eng. 2016, 105, 46-59. [116] Wang, H.; Lin, J.; Shen, Z. X. J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices 2016, 1, 225-255. [117] Lee, S.; Cho, M. S.; Lee, H.; Nam, J.-D.; Lee, Y. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 1899-1903. [118] Azimi, M.; Abbaspour, M.; Fazli, A.; Setoodeh, H.; Pourabbas, B. J. Electron. Mater. 2017, 47, 2093-2102.

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 47 Fine Chemical Engineering

3Fine Chemical Engineering 48 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 49 Fine Chemical Engineering

3Fine Chemical Engineering 50 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 51 Fine Chemical Engineering

3Fine Chemical Engineering 52 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

3Fine Chemical Engineering 54 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 55 Fine Chemical Engineering

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 53 Fine Chemical Engineering

3Fine Chemical Engineering 56 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

.

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 57 Fine Chemical Engineering

3Fine Chemical Engineering 58 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

. Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 59 Fine Chemical Engineering Fine Chemical Engineering 60 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al.

6Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 61 Fine Chemical Engineering

3Fine Chemical Engineering 62 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al

. Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 63 Fine Chemical Engineering Fine Chemical Engineering 64 | Shella Permatasari Santoso, et al. Volume 3 Issue 1|2022| 65 Fine Chemical Engineering