

THE IMPACT DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE, PROCEDURAL JUSTICE, INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE, EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND JOB SATISFACTION ON TURNOVER INTENTION

by Marliana Junaedi

Submission date: 08-Jun-2022 09:35AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1852651940

File name: 6-The_impact_distributive_justice_(Marly-fb).pdf (236.23K)

Word count: 5338

Character count: 30667

THE IMPACT DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE, PROCEDURAL JUSTICE, INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE, EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND JOB SATISFACTION ON TURNOVER INTENTION

Ani Suhartatik ¹
Marliana Junaedi ²
Putri Meidina Novianti ³

Business Faculty
Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya- Indonesia
ani-s@ukwms.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received : 18 Aug 2020

Revised : 28 Aug 2020

Accepted : 6 Sept 2020

Key words:

distributive justice, procedural justice, interactional justice, employee engagement, job satisfaction and turnover intention

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33508/rima.v3i2.3050>

34

ABSTRACT

The research is aimed at investigating the impact distributive justice, procedural justice, interactional justice, employee engagement and job satisfaction on turnover intention.. Hence, it is a causal research. The data are drawn from a sample of 208 bank employees in Surabaya determined using a purposive sampling technique. The collected data are then analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling, LISREL version 8.70. The result of the hypothesis testing indicates that distributive justice and procedural justice does not have any significant impact on employee engagement and job satisfaction, interactional justice have any significantly affect on employee engagement and job satisfaction, employee engagement significantly affect on turnover intention, and job satisfaction significantly affect on turnover intention

INTRODUCTION

Employees play a vital role in a company, including in the banking world, because employees also determine whether or not the company's goals are achieved.

According to Rachmadi (2016), in the research title, The Theory of Justice in Bank BRI Payroll provides the findings of the salary list of the BRI Kramat Office.

Jati that there is an injustice in the amount of salary received from front liners to office assistants. Security with a high school education but has a higher salary than the compensation received by marketing and front-liners even though marketing and frontline education are more elevated, namely, diploma three.

Frontliners with salary for office boys/maids are almost the same, even though the front liner's higher education level is Diploma 3.

Banking is an industry through intense competition and changes in the business environment that are so fast. Currently, banks are not only required to understand their customers but also their employees. Job satisfaction can arise when employees feel a match between the awards received and the expected expectations.

Research results from Lc²⁰ and Pour (2013) state a significant relationship between organizational justice and job satisfaction. It supports a study conducted by Paramitha (2014) that organizational justice has a significant positive effect on employee job satisfaction. The higher the employee's perception of administrative fairness, the higher the level of employee job satisfaction.

Previous research by Elamin and Alor³⁵m (2011) and Khasanah (2015) stated that organizational justice, which consists of

three aspects: distributive, procedural, and interactional justice, has a significant relationship with employee job satisfaction. This study's corporate justice variable means the employee's perception of whether employees are treated fairly or not in the organization. Employees play a vital role in a company, including in the banking world, because employees are also the ones who determine whether the goals of a particular company are achieved or not. Fairness in the company is an essential factor for a company to work by their duties and optimally. Justice in the company makes employees have good relationships with each other, not only with fellow employees but also with superiors. Not only a good relationship, but fairness keeps employees involved in organizational activities. Engaged employees tend to be enthusiastic about their work, remain committed to their organization. Bank employees are bound to their work and the company.

According to Macey et al. (2009), the sense of engagement is a relationship, involvement, commitment, desire to contribute, a sense of belonging, loyalty, and pride in their work and company. According to previous research, if the company has employees who have a high sense of attachment, it will make employees feel at home to work in that place, and their satisfaction will increase (Rachmawati, 2013). Increased job satisfaction results in low turnover rates (Mangkunegara, 2013). According to Baumruk and Gorman (2006), if employees have a high sense of engagement with the company, it will increase general behavior, one of which is to stay. In other words, employees will continue to work in the organization even though there are opportunities to work elsewhere. Park and Gursoy (2012) argue that when employees are engaged with work, employees' psychological characteristics, such as self-confidence and optimism, will push employees further. This will encourage satisfaction and result in lower employee turnover intention.

THEORICAL BASIS

Distributive Justice Relationship with Employee Engagement

Distributive justice focuses on results. Any perceived injustice regarding products tends to influence employee emotions. All of these emotions will affect employee behavior, leading to employee retirement or poor performance.

According to Margaretha and Cintya Santosa (2012), when employees have a high perception of fairness in their organization, it is inevitable that they feel obliged or obliged to act reasonably in playing their role by giving more than the level of engagement. Saks (2006) found that distributive justice fully mediates the relationship between skill variation and job satisfaction and between task identity and turnover intention. This research was previously conducted by Saks (2006), who suspected that procedural and distributive justice were predictors of employee engagement in organizations. Meanwhile, the results of Saks' (2006) research do not provide evidence that distributive justice predicts both job engagement and organizational engagement.

Relationship of Procedural Justice to Employee Engagement

Procedural justice focuses on fairness in the decision-making process. Procedural fairness affects what workers believe about the organization as a whole. If the process is considered fair, employees show greater loyalty and desire to behave by the organization's interests (Cropanzano, Bowen, & Gilliland, 2007).

Saks (2006) found that attributive justice and procedural justice are related to work engagement and organization engagement. This research was previously conducted by Saks (2006), who suspected that procedural and distributive justice were predictors of the formation of employee engagement in organizations. The results showed that procedural justice predicts

organizational meetings but does not predict job engagement.

Relationship of Interactional Justice to Employee Engagement

Interactional justice refers to how a person treats others (Cropanzano, Bowen, & Gilliland, 2007). Charash and Spector's (2001) research shows that perceptions of interactional justice are positively related to the exchange of leaders and members. According to Charash and Spector (2001), interactional justice positively affects work engagement and organization engagement.

Relationship between distributive justice, procedural and interactional justice with job satisfaction

Job satisfaction is related to the general affective assessment of employees regarding work. Empirical research shows that organizational justice is an antecedent of job satisfaction. McFarlin and Sweeney (1992) state that distribution fairness is a stronger predictor of happiness than procedural. Other studies show that procedural justice is related to job satisfaction (Mossholder et al., 1998). Masterson et al. (2000) show procedural fairness is a stronger predictor of job satisfaction than interactional, even though both significantly affect.

Meanwhile, research conducted by Malik et al. (2011) on employees at a university in Pakistan found that there is a positive relationship. The study conducted by Usmani (2013) leads a significant interactional justice on job satisfaction. It found a meaningful positive relationship with interactional justice on job satisfaction. Another study that also showed consistent results found by Sutrisna and Rahyuda (2014) on several paramedics at Udayana Hospital Denpasar found that interactional justice has a significant positive effect on job satisfaction.

Employee Engagement Relationship with Turnover Intention

The research conducted by Lamidi (2010) suggests that employee engagement can reduce the tendency to change jobs. Also supported by Park and Gursoy (2012), the three dimensions of employee engagement (vigor, dedication, and absorption) have a negative effect on turnover intention.

This shows that strong employee engagement will impact reducing employee turnover intention for bank employees in Surabaya.

Relationship between Job Satisfaction and Turnover Intention

The results of Andini's research (2006) show that employees who are not satisfied with their work tend to do things that interfere with organizational performance, namely high turnover, high levels of absenteeism, work lag, complaints, and even strikes. Job satisfaction has a negative effect on turnover intention, meaning that increased job satisfaction can reduce turnover intention. In other words, when employees feel satisfied at work, they are more likely to have low turnover, our employees will remain in the company. Similar to previous research conducted by Park and Gursoy (2012), job satisfaction negatively affects turnover intention.

13. Hypothesis

H1: Distributive justice has a positive effect on Employee Engagement

H2: Procedural justice has a positive impact on Employee Engagement.

H3: Interactional justice has a positive effect on Employee Engagement.

H4: Distributive justice has a positive effect on job satisfaction.

H5: Procedural justice has a positive effect on job satisfaction

H6: Interactional justice has a positive effect on job satisfaction

H7: Employee engagement has a negative effect on turnover intention

H8: Job satisfaction has a negative effect on turnover intention

RESEARCH METHOD

Data

Primary data obtained directly by distributing questionnaires to all permanent employees of banking companies in Surabaya. This type of quantitative data is obtained by analyzing the results of distributing questionnaires. This study used a questionnaire to 208 permanent bank employees in Surabaya.

Population and Sample

The study population was all employees of banking companies in Surabaya. The population characteristics are stated as follows:

1. Permanent employees of a banking company in Surabaya.
2. The period of service is at least one year.

The sampling technique in this study was carried out using a non-random sampling method. The sample in this study was 208 permanent bank employees in Surabaya and had a service period of at least one year. Measurement of research variables based on perceptions or respondent responses to all variable indicators. Respondents' answers to each statement were scored according to a Likert scale, with 1 for the lowest score and a score of 5 for the highest value.

Variable

- 1) Exogenous variables consisting of distributive justice, procedural justice, and interactional justice.

Distributive justice, procedural justice, and interactional justice are measured respectively by using the 5 question items developed by (Niehoff & Moorman, 1993)

- 2) Endogenous variables consisting of employee engagement, job satisfaction, and turnover intention.

Employee engagement is measured by two dimensions: job engagement and organization engagement and is the dependent variable in this study. To

measure job engagement and organization engagement used question items developed by (Schaufeli, Salanova, Gonzalez-Roma, & Bakker, 2002) and Saks (2006), which consisted of 12 question items each for job engagement and 4 question items. For organization engagement. These items are intended to assess the psychological aspects of the respondents towards their work and organization.

Job satisfaction is measured using 5 question items developed by Mason (1995) in Miao (2011), and turnover intention is measured using 3 question items created by Chen & Francesco (2000) in Syafrizal (2011).

Analysis Technique

Analysis of the data in this study using the SEM (Structural Equation Model) test program LISREL (Linear Structural Relations) 8.70. The SEM method is applied in this study because it can comprehensively analyze the relationship between the constructs, answer the formulation of problems, and prove this study's hypothesis.

RESULT

Normality Test

Based on the univariate normality test, most of the data were not normally distributed because the variable indicators had p-value for skewness and kurtosis smaller than $\alpha = 0.05$. While the multivariate normality test also has a p-value for skewness and kurtosis of 0,000, more diminutive than $\alpha = 0.05$, so it can be said that the data is not normally distributed. So that the SEM parameter estimation will be carried out using the maximum likelihood method based on the asymptotic covariance matrix so that the research ended up (Ghozali and Fuad, 2005: 38). If the correlation between independent variables has a correlation coefficient $(r) > 0.9$, it means that multicollinearity occurs. From the LISREL output, the following information is obtained.

Table 1 Correlation Matrix of ETA and KSI

	EE	KK	TI	KD	KP	KI
--	----	----	----	----	----	----

EE	1.00					
KK	0.53	1.00				
TI	-0.44	-0.44	1.00			
KD	0.49	0.59	-0.31	1.00		
KP	0.56	0.75	-0.38	0.66	1.00	
KI	0.61	0.85	-0.42	0.69	0.82	1.00

Source: output SEM

Table 1 shows that the correlation value between the independent variables has a correlation coefficient value <0.9. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity problem among the six

independent variables. Data analysis in this study can be done using SEM analysis.

Validity and Reliability Test

Table 2 Result of Validity and Realibility Test

Latent Variable	Number of Items	T value	Information	Value Construct Reliability	Information
Distributive Justice	5	>1,96	Valid	0.9352	Reliable
Procedural Justice	4	>1,96	Valid	0.9144	Reliable
Interactional Justice	7	>1,96	Valid	0.9387	Reliable
Employee Engagement	16	>1,96	Valid	0.9448	Reliable
Job Satisfaction	5	>1,96	Valid	0.9515	Reliable
Turnover Intention	3	>1,96	Valid	0.9665	Reliable

Source : output SEM

Each item statement for each variable distributive justice, procedural justice, interactional justice, employee engagement, job satisfaction, and turnover intention is valid because it has a calculated t value more significant than the cut-off value (= 1.96) so that all statements in each variable can be used

Based on table 2, it can be seen that the research instruments have CR 0.7, so it can be concluded that all the variable devices of distributive justice, procedural

justice, interactional justice, employee engagement, job satisfaction, and turnover intention are reliable (reliable) to be used as measurement tools.

Model Fit Test

To measure the proposed model's correctness so that it can be decided the model is accepted or rejected, it is necessary to test by using several fit indexes and cut-off values (Ferdinand, 2002: 54). The test results are:

32 Table 3 Model Fit Test

Goodness of Fit Indeks	Result	Cut off Value	Information
RMSEA	0.076	0,09 - 0,1	Good Fit
ECVI	7.81<114.83	ECVI model< ECVI Independence	Good Fit
NNFI	0.98	≥ 0,90	Good Fit
IFI	0.98	≥ 0,90	Good Fit

CFI	0.98	≥ 0,90	Good Fit
RFI	0.95	0,6 - 1 (mendekati 1)	Good Fit
AIC	1391.96 < 24114.2	AIC model < AIC Independence	Good Fit
CAIC	1787.97 < 24288.19	CAIC model < CAIC Independence	Good Fit

Source: output SEM

The results of the model fit test in table 3 show that the criteria used to judge the feasibility of a model are declared good. It can be said that the model is acceptable, which means there is a match between the model and the data.

The fit evaluation of structural models in SEM is intended to test the independent variable's ability to explain or predict or influence the dependent variable. Based on the SEM output and the image of the path analysis results, the structural equation is as follows:

Structural Model Equation

$$EE = 0,071 KD + 0.12 KP + 0.27 KI$$

$$KK = -0,012 KD + 0.15 KP + 0.68 KI$$

$$TI = -0.90 EE - 0.58 KK$$

Information :

- EE : Employee Engagement
- KD : Distributive Justice
- KP : Procedural Justice
- KI : Interactional Justice
- KK : Job Satisfaction
- TI : Turnover Intention

Hypothesis Testing

The hypothesis is to examine distributive justice, procedural justice, interactional justice, employee engagement, and job satisfaction toward the turnover intention.

Table 5 Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis	Variable	T Count	T Table	Information
H1	KD → EE	0.92	±1,96	Not significant
H2	KP → EE	1.05	±1,96	Not Significant
H3	KI → EE	2.86*	±1,96	Significant
H4	KD → KK	-0.15	±1,96	Not Significant
H5	KP → KK	1.76**	±1,96	Significant
H6	KI → KK	6.14*	±1,96	Significant
H7	EE → TI	-2.64*	±1,96	Significant
H8	KK → TI	-2.43*	±1,96	Significant

Source: output SEM

Information: *) Significant at $\alpha = 0,05$

***) Significant at $\alpha = 0,10$

To test the hypothesis, it is significantly affected if it has a t-value greater than t-table (1.96) or - t count smaller than t table (-1.96). Based on the test results in table 5, it can be concluded that there are several unsupported hypotheses, namely H1, H2, and H4. Simultaneously, the

supported assumptions are H3, H5, H6, H7, and H8.

This study shows that distributive justice has a positive and insignificant effect on employee engagement of bank employees in Surabaya. The result was not aligned with the previous research of Margaretha and Intya Santosa (2012), which showed a positive relationship

between distributive justice and employee engagement in the Lecturers of the Faculty of Economics, Management Department, Maranatha Christian University, Bandung. Also, Rudman (2004) said that employees would feel satisfied when receiving rewards, which is considered proportional to their work. Satisfaction regarding distributive justice received by employees is assumed to increase employee engagement in the organization. This study's results are in line with research conducted by Saks (2006) that distributive justice does not provide evidence as a predictor of employee engagement.

This phenomenon shows that although the organization applies fairness in rewards and compensation, its attachment to the organization is low.

Employee engagement is not only influenced by internal factors, such as perceptions of fairness, but also external factors and demographic factors. External factors such as (1) the existence of a job offer from another company or organization, a request with a better salary, better facilities, (2) Poor organizational performance, such as a bad organizational image that influences someone to leave his job. The worse the organization's idea, the lower the employee engagement of bank employees, (3) corporate culture. Companies with less leadership, the lower the level of employee engagement

Procedural justice has no significant effect on employee engagement of bank employees in Surabaya. This study's results are not in line with previous studies (Cropanzano, Bowen, & Gilliland, 2007), which found procedural justice has an impact on employee employees. Based on interviews with several respondents regarding external factors, the absence of other suitable jobs or offers from other organizations caused employees to be reluctant to leave the organization and remain tied to the organization. Also, being the family's backbone causes employees to stay connected to the organization even

though they have a terrible perception of procedural fairness.

Interactional justice has a positive and significant effect on employee engagement of bank employees in Surabaya. This study's results are in line with previous research by Charassh and Spector (2001), which found that interactional justice has a positive effect on work engagement and organization engagement. Bank employees who feel that they are treated with dignity, care, respect, and informational fairness will provide a sense of satisfaction at work.

Distributive justice has a positive and insignificant effect on the job satisfaction of bank employees in Surabaya. This study's results are not in line with previous research conducted by Mc Farlin and Sweeney (1992) in the banking industry, which states that distribution fairness is a stronger predictor of job satisfaction than procedural justice.

This study supports the research of Kristanto, Rahyuda Riana (2014) that distributive justice has a positive but insignificant effect on job satisfaction.

The negligible impact of distributive justice in this study is thought to be due to: 1) There have been several changes in regulations regarding employee income. Every change in the system always occurs where some employees benefit, and others feel disadvantaged. 2) The promotion system is not implemented consistently. In the context of the contribution indicator, some employees feel that there is an injustice because those with higher grades who certainly get higher rewards should also be given a heavier workload, not the other way around. The banking companies' phenomenon is that there is an injustice in the salaries received from front liners to office assistants. Security with higher education but has a higher salary than the compensation received by marketing and frontline. Even though marketing and frontline have advanced knowledge, namely diploma 3. While the front liner's salary with the office boy/maid salary is

almost the same even though the fr¹⁵ liner's higher education level is Diploma 3.

Procedural justice has a positive and significant effect on the job satisfaction of bank employees in Surabaya. This study's results are in line with previous research conducted by Lambert (2003), which states that procedural justice is essential in shaping job satisfaction. The higher the procedural fairness that is felt results in employees feeling happy about their work. Employees who have heightened perceptions of procedural fairness certainly think that they are satisfied with their work. Based on the questionnaire respondents' results, the variable job satisfaction shows that the indicator that gives the highest contribution to procedural justice is "The leader conveys the decision taken and is willing to provide information." This means that bank employees in Surabaya have the perception that transparent leaders are willing to provide sufficient time to convey decisions and information, to avoid misperceptions among employees. Conceptually, fair procedures describe a more professional organizational capability to accommodate the short-term and long-term interests of bank employees in Surabaya.

¹¹ Interactional justice has a positive and significant effect on the job satisfaction of bank employees in Surabaya. The results of this study support the research of Malik et al. (2011), Usmani (2013), Sutrisna, and ²¹hyuda (2014) to find that interactional justice has a significant positive effect on job satisfaction. Employees who feel valued and treated correctly will be more enthusiastic about their work. Several respondents stated that the task did better if their superiors gave orders politely, and their bosses appreciated their work result⁷.

Employee Engagement has a negative and significant effect on the turnover inten¹⁰ of bank employees in Surabaya. The results of this study are in line with research. The results of research conducted¹ by Lamidi (2010), Cook (2008) suggest that employee engagement can

reduce the tendency to change jobs. Also supported by Park and Gursoy (2012), the three dimensions of employee engagement¹ (vigor, dedication, and absorption) have a negative effect on turnover intention. This shows that strong employee engagement on bank employees will impact reducing employee turnover intention for bank employ²³s in Surabaya.

Job satisfaction has a negative and significant effect on the turnover intention of bank employees in Surabaya. This study's results are in line with research by ³andini (2006) and Park and Gursoy (2012) that job satisfaction has a negative effect on turnover intention. Bank employees who have job satisfaction will be loyal so that the choice to move to another workplace will decrease.

²⁴ CONCLUSIONS

Based on data analysis and discussion, it can be concluded that:

Distributive justice has a positive and insignificant effect on employee engagement of bank employees in Surabaya. Even though bank employees are given justice in rewards and compensation by bankir¹⁶ companies, employee engagement is low. Employee engagement is influenced not only by internal factors, such as perceptions of fairness, but also by external factors and demographic factors. There were many external factors such as (1) the existence of a job offer from another company or organization, (2) poor organizational performance, (3) corporate culture.

⁷ Procedural justice has a positive and insignificant effect on employee engagement of bank employees in Surabaya. Even though bank employees are given justice in the procedures used to make banking companies' decisions, employee engagement is low. Because bank employees feel that there are no other suitable jobs or offers from other organizations, it causes employees to be reluctant to leave the organization and remain tied to the organization. Besides, being the backbone of the family also causes

employees to stay connected to the organization even though they have a terrible perception of procedural fairness.

Interactional justice has a positive and significant effect on employee engagement of bank employees in Surabaya. Interactional justice relates to aspects of interaction by delivering interpersonal information that can be used as strategic considerations in employee engagement with the company. A bank employee is treated with dignity, attention, and respect and will increase employee engagement at the company.

Distributive justice has a positive and insignificant effect on job satisfaction of bank employees in Surabaya. Bank employees feel an injustice because those with higher grades who certainly get higher rewards should also be given a heavier workload, not the other way around. The banking companies' phenomenon is that there is an injustice in the salaries received from front liners to office assistants. Security with higher education has a higher salary than the compensation by marketing and front liners, even though marketing and frontline education are more elevated than Diploma 3. While the wages for frontline with the salary for office boy/maid is almost the same even though the level of education is higher for frontline, Diploma 3.

Procedural justice has a positive and significant effect on job satisfaction of bank employees in Surabaya. Bank employees have the perception that transparent bank leaders are willing to provide sufficient time to convey decisions and information, to avoid misperceptions among employees. Conceptually, fair procedures describe a more professional organizational capability to accommodate the short-term and long-term interests of bank employees in Surabaya so that employees feel satisfied at work.

Interactional justice has a positive and significant effect on job satisfaction of bank employees. Employees who feel valued and treated correctly will be more enthusiastic about their work. Interactional

justice between leaders and subordinates will lead to interpersonal relationships. This will increase subordinates' trust and respect for their supervisors so that it will increase subordinates' job satisfaction.

Job satisfaction has a negative and significant effect on turnover intention of bank employees in Surabaya. Strong employee engagement with bank employees will impact reducing the turnover intention of bank employees in Surabaya. Bank employees who are happy and feel satisfied can stop working or leave work will decrease. When the bank employee has job satisfaction, the employee will be loyal so that the choice to move to another workplace will decrease.

REFERENCE

- Andini, R. 2006. Analisis Pengaruh Kepuasan Gaji, Kepuasan Kerja, Komitmen Organisasional Terhadap Turnover Intention. Thesis, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang.
- Baumruk, R., B. Gorman. 2006. Why Managers are Crucial to Increasing Engagement. Strategic HR Review, pp. 24-27
- Ballout, H. I. (2007). The effects of human capital, person-environment fit and organizational support. 741-765.
- Cohen-Charash, Y., & E. Spector, P. (2001). The Role of Justice in Organizations: A-Meta Analysis . 278-321.
- Cropanzano, R., Bowen, D. E., & Gilliland, S. W. (2007). The Management of Organizational Justice. 34-48.
- Davis, Keith., & Newstrom, John W. (2000). Perilaku Dalam Organisasi, Alih Bahasa Agus Dharma. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Dewi, I. A., & Sudibya, I. G. (2006). Pengaruh Keadilan Distributif, Keadilan Prosedural, dan Keadilan Interaksional Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Karyawan. 3821-3848.
- Elamin, A.M. & Alomaim, N. (2011). Does Organizational Justice Influence

- Job Satisfaction And Self-Perceived Performance In Saudi Arabia Work Environment? *International Management Review*, Vol. 7, No.1, pp. 38-49.
- Endres, Grace, M., & Mancheno-Smoak, L., (2008), *The Human Resource Craze: Human Performance Improvement and Employee Engagement*, *Organization Development Journal*; Spring 26 (1), 69-78.
- Ferdinand, Augusty, 2002, *Structural Equation Modelling in Management Research*, 4thed, BP UNDIP, Semarang
- Forret, M., & Love, M. S. (2007). Employee Justive Perceptions and Coworker Relationship. 248-260.
- Ghosh, P., Rai, A., & and Sinha, A. (2014). Exploring the linkage in public sector banks in India. *Organizational justice and employee engagement*, 628-652.
- Ghozali, I. (2006). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS*. . Semarang : Universitas Diponegoro.
- Greenberg, J., & Bies, R. J. (1992). Establishing the Role of Empirical Studies of Organizational Justice in Philosophical Inquiries into Business Ethics. 433-444.
- Hart, A. L., Thomson, N. F., & Huning, T. M. (2016). The Mediating Role Of Distributive, Procedural, And Interactional Justice On The Relationship Between Downsizing And Organizational Citizenship Behavior.
- He, H., Zhu, W., & Zheng, X. (2013). Procedural Justice And Employee Engagement: Roles Of Organizational Identification And Moral. 681-695.
- Judge, T. A., & Klinger, R. (2008). *Job satisfaction: Subjective well-being at work*. In M. Eid, & R. Larsen (Eds.), *The Science of Subjective Well-Being* (Ch. 19, pp. 393-413). New York: Guilford
- Khan, T. I., Jam, F. A., Akbar, A., Khan, M. B., & Hijazi, S. T. (2011). Job Involvement as Predictor of Employee Commitment: Evidence From Pakistan . 252-262.
- Khan, W. A. (1990). Psychological Conditions Of Personal Engagement And Disengagement At Work. 692-724.
- Khasanah, Rusdiana. (2015). Pengaruh Keadilan Distributif, Keadilan Prosedural, dan Keadilan Interaksional terhadap Kepuasan Kerja dan Kinerja Pegawai Puskesmas. *Thesis*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta.
- Konovsky, M. A. (2000). Understanding Procedural Justice and Its Impact on Business Organization. 489-511.
- Kuncoro, M. (2003). *Metode Riset Untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi: Bagaimana Meneliti dan Menyusun Tesis?* Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Lotfi, Moh Husein, & Pour, Moh Shirazi. (2013). The relationship between Organizational Justice and Job Satisfaction among the employees of Tehran Payame Noor. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 93 (2013) 2073 - 2079
- Macey, W.H., B. Schneider., K.M Barbera., S.A Young. 2009. *Employee Engagement: Tools for Analysis, Practice, and Competitive Advantage*. United States: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Mangkunegara, A. A. 2013. *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Nazir, M. (2014). *Metode Penelitian* . Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Niehoff, B. P., & Moorman, R. H. (1993). Justice As A Moderator Of The Relationship Between Method Of Monitoring And Organizational Citizenship Behavior. 527-556.
- Park, Y., Song, J. H., & Lim, D. H. (2016). Organizational Justice And Work Engagement: The Mediating Effect Of Self-Leadership. 711-729.

- Paramitha, Deandra P. (2014). Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi, Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Keadilan Organisasi terhadap OCB dengan Kepuasan Kerja dan Komitmen Organisasi sebagai Variabel Mediasi pada Karyawan BNI KCU UGM Yogyakarta. *Skripsi*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta.
- Ram, D. P., & Prabhakar, D. G. (2011). The role of employee engagement in work-related outcomes. 47-61
- Rachmawati, M. 2013. Employee Engagement Sebagai Kunci Meningkatkan Kinerja Karyawan. *Among Makarti*, Vol.6 No.12, p.52-65.
- Mangkunegara, A. A. 2013. *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Margaretha, Meily & Santosa, T. Elisabeth Cintya. 2012. Keadilan Prosedural dan Keadilan Distributif Sebagai Prediktor Employee Engagement, *Jurnal Manajemen*, Vol. 12. No.1
- Miao RT, 2011, *Perceived Organizational Support, Job Satisfaction, Task Performance and Organizational Citizenship Behavior in China*, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol 39.
- Robbins, S. P. 2001. *Organizational Behavior*, 9th Ed. Upper Saddle River New Jersey: Prentice Hall International.
- Rusli, M. (2014). *Pengelolaan Statistik yang menenangkan* . Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents And Consequences Of Employee Engagement. 600-619.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). *Job Demands, Job Resources, And Their Relationship With Burnout And Engagement: A Multi-Sample Study*, 293-315.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., Gonzalez-Roma, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The Measurement Of Engagement And Burnout: A Two Sample Confirmatory Factor Analytic Approach. 71-92.
- Soegandhi, V.M., E.M Sutanto., R. Setiawan. 2013. Pengaruh Kepuasan Kerja Dan Loyalitas Kerja Terhadap Organizational Citizenship Behavior Pada Karyawan PT. Surya Timur Sakti Jatim. *AGORA*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.1-12.
- Sugiyono. 2010. *Statistika Untuk Penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- Bungin, B. 2010. *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif* (cetakan ke empat). Jakarta: Kencana Prenanada Media.
- Suliman, A., & Kathairi, M. A. (2013). Organizational Justice, Commitment And Performance In Developing Countries. 98-115.
- Wang, X., Liao, J., Xia, D., & Chang, T. (2010). The Impact Of Organizational Justice on Work Performance: Mediating Effects of Organizational Commitment and Leader-Member Exchange. 660-677
- Weiss, H. M., Suckow, K., & Cropanzano, R. (1999). Effects Of Justice Conditions on Discrete Emotions. 786-794.
- Yilmaz, K., & Tasdan, M. (2009). Organizational Citizenship and Organizational Justice in Turkish Primary School . 108-126.
- Yusuf, M. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan Penelitian Gabungan*. . Padang: Prenadamedia Group.
- <http://www.infobanknews.com>
<http://trikbanovan.wordpress.com>

THE IMPACT DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE, PROCEDURAL JUSTICE, INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE, EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND JOB SATISFACTION ON TURNOVER INTENTION

ORIGINALITY REPORT

20%

SIMILARITY INDEX

15%

INTERNET SOURCES

14%

PUBLICATIONS

10%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Rachmat Suhendra. "Role of Transactional Leadership in Influencing Motivation, Employee Engagement, and Intention to Stay", KnE Social Sciences, 2021 Publication	1%
2	Submitted to School of Business and Management ITB Student Paper	1%
3	www.ajhssr.com Internet Source	1%
4	Submitted to Universitas Negeri Jakarta Student Paper	1%
5	Submitted to National Economics University Student Paper	1%
6	journal.unnes.ac.id Internet Source	1%
7	Ni Made Dwi Puspitawati, I Wayan Gede Supartha, I Gusti Ayu Manuati Dewi, I Gede	1%

Riana. "Choosing for leaving a job: what is the most important consideration of married woman?", Problems and Perspectives in Management, 2020

Publication

8	bircu-journal.com Internet Source	1 %
9	eprints.ucm.es Internet Source	1 %
10	Submitted to Universitas Brawijaya Student Paper	1 %
11	I Gusti Gede Ngurah Bimantya Dyananta Arya, Wayan Gede Supartha. "Role of Job Satisfaction in Mediation of Organizational Communication on Hotel Employee Performance", European Journal of Business and Management Research, 2022 Publication	1 %
12	Submitted to University of Exeter Student Paper	1 %
13	Governance and Citizenship in Asia, 2016. Publication	1 %
14	proceedings.itltrisakti.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
15	www.trijurnal.lemlit.trisakti.ac.id Internet Source	1 %

16	Meily Margaretha, Susanti Saragih, Sri Zaniarti, Bena Parayow. "Workplace spirituality, employee engagement, and professional commitment: A study of lecturers from Indonesian universities", Problems and Perspectives in Management, 2021 Publication	1 %
17	Piyali Ghosh, Alka Rai, Apsha Sinha. "Organizational justice and employee engagement", Personnel Review, 2014 Publication	<1 %
18	Submitted to Royal University for Women Student Paper	<1 %
19	Hossam M. Abu Elanain. "Job characteristics, work attitudes and behaviors in a non - western context", Journal of Management Development, 2009 Publication	<1 %
20	digitalcommons.fiu.edu Internet Source	<1 %
21	e-journal.unmas.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
22	Andarias Pong Bija. "Influence of Leadership Style, Work Culture, and Job Satisfaction on Employee Engagement", KnE Social Sciences, 2020 Publication	<1 %

23	Submitted to University of Durham Student Paper	<1 %
24	123dok.com Internet Source	<1 %
25	Evi Widowati, Wahyudi Istiono, Adi Heru Husodo. "The development of Disaster Preparedness and Safety School model: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis", International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 2021 Publication	<1 %
26	Submitted to Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM Student Paper	<1 %
27	garuda.ristekbrin.go.id Internet Source	<1 %
28	ejournal3.undip.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
29	Submitted to Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore Student Paper	<1 %
30	Min-Seong Kim, Dong-Woo Koo. "Linking LMX, engagement, innovative behavior, and job performance in hotel employees", International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 2017 Publication	<1 %

31

Submitted to Trident University International

Student Paper

<1 %

32

Submitted to Universitas Katolik Widya
Mandala

Student Paper

<1 %

33

etd.umy.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

34

jurnal.upi.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

35

Mohammad Reza Sadeghi, Seyyed
Mohammad Mahdi Musavi, Saied Samiie,
Amirhesam Behrooz. "Developing Human
Resource Productivity through Organizational
Justice", Journal of Public Administration and
Governance, 2013

Publication

<1 %

36

Submitted to Universiti Sains Malaysia

Student Paper

<1 %

37

Submitted to Universiti Tenaga Nasional

Student Paper

<1 %

38

Yukyung Park, Ji Hoon Song, Doo Hun Lim.
"Organizational justice and work engagement:
the mediating effect of self-leadership",
Leadership & Organization Development
Journal, 2016

Publication

<1 %

39

Submitted to University of Leicester

Student Paper

<1 %

40

bradscholars.brad.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

41

coek.info

Internet Source

<1 %

42

jurnal.feb.unila.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 10 words

Exclude bibliography On