CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusion

Based on the analysis conducted some conclusion can be drawn. First, the results of t-test quasi-experimental method with two group pre-test and post-test design showed that the hypothesis (H1) was accepted. That means there was a difference between the collaborative and individual writing of second graders. It is proven that collaborative writing gets better results than individual writing. Second, collaborative writing gives a chance for students to help the students in thinking new idea, communicating more with others, and expressing what the students were thinking. Beside the improvement of the writing score, collaborative writing technique also can change the class atmosphere. The class became very active and fun because the students had to express their idea to their friends in group. Third, collaborative writing is a bridge for the students to collaborate and contribute among themselves. In conclusion, the writer suggests that collaborative writing technique be applied to teach writing.

5.2 Suggestions

Based on the result of the study, the writer encouraged herself to give suggestions to the two parties, namely: teaching and further study.

For teaching writing in class, it is suggested that collaborative writing method because it can improve students' ability in writing. Teachers can apply collaborative writing method and arrange such an enjoyable atmosphere in class by choosing topic that are related to the students.

For further study, it is suggested that a similar study is not only conducted toward students of junior high schools, but also students of elementary schools, high schools, and also universities. Individual tests in collaborative writing class is recommended to convince the result. The writer also suggests giving more treatments and using inter-rater reliability to score the writing test, so the score will be more objective.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abrami, P. C., Poulsen, C. and Chambers, B. (2004). *Teacher motivation to implement an educational innovation: Factors differentiating users and non-users of cooperative learning*. Educational Psychology, 24, pp. 201-216.
- Andersen, Rebekka. (2011). Building a Collaborative Writing Strategy. *Journal of Information Technology Education*: Vol.(10). 2011. Retrieved from http://www.informanagement.com
- Baker, Collin. 2001. Foundation of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism. Great Britain: Biddles Ltd.
- Barkley, Elizabeth F, Croos, K. Patricia, & Major, Clarie Howell. (2005). Collaborative Learning Techniques. San Fransisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Brown, H. D. 2007. *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. (2nd ed.). New York: Longman.
- Bruffee, Kenneth A. (1984). Collaborative learning and the "conversation of mankind." College English, 46(7), 635–652.
- Byrne, Dorn. 1991. Teaching Writing Skills. London: Longman.
- Caswell, R., & Mahler, B. 2004. "Strategies For Teaching Writing". Virginia: Association For Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Dagher, Joseph P. 1976. Writing a Practical Guide. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.
- Goffman, E. 1956. The presentation of self in everyday life. New York: Anchor.
- Harris, Jeanette. (1994). Toward a working definition of collaborative writing. In James S. Leonard, Christine E. Wharton, Robert Murray Davis, & Jeanette Harris (Eds.), Author-ity and textuality: Current views of collaborative writing (pp. 77–84). West Cornwall, CT: Locust Hill Press.
- Higgins, L., Flower, L., & Petraglia, J. (1992). Planning text together. The role of critical reflection in student collaboration. Written Communication, 9(1), 48–84.

- Kagan, S. (1989). The structural approach to cooperative learning. Educational Leadership, Dec 89/Jan 90, 12-15.
- Kroll, Jeri. 2011. *The Supervisors Student-dynamic: Creative Collaborations*. Adelaide: Flinders University.
- Leki, I. "Teaching Second Language Writing: Where We Seem To Be", English Teaching Forum, 29:2, April 1991.
- Lowry, P.B., Curtis, A. & Lowry, M.R. (2004). Building a taxonomy and nomenclature of collaborative writing to improve interdisciplinary research and practice. Journal of Business Communication, 41(1), 66-99.
- McCrimmon, James M. 1984. Writing With a Purpose. Boston: Houghton Miffin Company.
- Rice, R. P. & Huguley, J. T., Jr. (1994). *Describing collaborative forms: a profile of the teamwriting process*. IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, 37(3), 163-170.
- Rivany, B. A. 2014. The Effect of Collaborative Writing on the Writing Achievement of Senior High School Students of Grade 8 (Undergraduate Thesis). Widya Mandala Catholic University, Surabaya. Retrieved from http://repository.wima.ac.id/6228/
- Thornton, Geoffrey. 1980. *Teaching Writing: The Development of Written Language Skills*. Edward Arnold: 41 Bedford Square, London WC1B 3DQ.
- Siahaan, Sanggam. 2007. Issues in Linguistics. Pematangsiantar: Graha Ilmu
- Sipayung, K. T. 2016. The Implementation of Collaborative Writing Method to Improve Students' Writing of Descriptive Genre at SMP Negeri 3 Percut Sei Tuan Grade VIII at The Academic Year 2015/2016. Retrieved, January 24, 2017from http://akademik.uhn.ac.id/portal/public_html/JurnalSuluhPendidikan/Volume% 203% 20Edisi% 201/11_Kammer.pdf
- Sudarwati, M and Grace, Eudia.2005. *Look Ahead an English Course*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Stahl, G. (2006). *Group cognition: Computer support for building collaborative knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Storch, N. 2005. "Collaborative Writing: Product, Process and Students Reflections", Journal of Second Language Writing, 14, 153–173
- Storch, N. 2013. *Collaborative Writing in L2 Classroom*. UK: New Perspective on Language and Education.
- Widodo, Handoyo Puji. 2013. *Implementing Collaborative Process Based Writing in the EFL Classroom*. Retrieved, January 24,2017 from http://www.academia.edu/2123880/Collaborative Process Based EFL Writing
- Widodo, Handoyo Puji. 2006. *Cooperative EFL Writing*. Retrieved, March 12,2017 from http://www.academia.edu/2119036/Cooperative_EFL_Writing