Effect of Peer and Pair Feedback

on Students' Recount Writing Achievement

A THESIS



Fransisca Tuti Rahaju Tanuadji

8212714005

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL

WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY

SURABAYA

2017

Effect of Peer and Pair Feedback

on Students' Recount Writing Achievement

A THESIS

Presented to Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya

in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Arts in Teaching

English as a Foreign Language



Fransisca Tuti Rahaju Tanuadji

8212714005

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL

WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY

Surabaya

2017

Approval Sheet

(II)

This thesis entitled *Effect of Peer and Pair Feedback on Students' Recount Writing Achievement* prepared and submitted by Fransisca Tuti Rahaju Tanuadji/8217214005 has been examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners on 21 January 2017.

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Chair

M.N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D.

Secretary

Lingame

Prof. Dr. Wuri Soedjatmiko

Member



Approval Sheet

(1)

This thesis entitled Effect of Peer and Pair Feedback on Students' Recount Writing Achievement prepared and submitted by Fransisca Tuti Rahaju Tanuadji/8217214005 has been approved to be examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

M.N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D.

Thesis Advisor

Statement of Authenticity

I declare that this thesis is my own writing, and it is true that I did not take any scholarly ideas or work from others deceitfully. All cited works were quoted in accordance with the ethical code of academic writing.

I also declare that I agree to submit my thesis entitled "Effect of Peer and Pair Feedback on Students' Recount Writing Achievement" to Widya Mandala Catholic University library and fully understand that it will be made public via Internet and other uses of online media.

Surabaya, 21 January 2017 4964959

Fransisca Tuti Rahaju Tanuadji

(The writer)

Abstract

Tanuadji, Fransisca Tuti Rahaju. 2017. Effect of Peer and Pair Feedback on Students' Recount Writing Achievement.

Keywords: experimental group, control group, peer feedback, pair feedback, recount writing achievement.

This experimental study investigates the effect of peer and pair feedback on students' recount writing achievement. The subjects of the study consisted of the first semester students of Hotel Management Program in Surabaya. Twenty-six students who were assigned in the Experimental Group received peer feedback treatment while twenty-four students who were assigned in the Control Group received pair feedback treatment. The research used quantitative method with quasi-experiment design. The instruments used in this study were recount writing pre-test and posttest. The data gathered were processed with t-test using SPSS. The result of data analysis showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the recount writing achievement of the Experimental Group and Control Group as the p value is 0.18>0.5. The main reason of the result was that all of the students have the same level of writing ability. The participants, however, revealed improvement in their writing skill. In the Experimental Group, the mean score increased 9.18% (6.92 points) which could be seen from the pre-test average mean score of 75.42 that reached up to 82.16 for the post-test average mean score. In the Control Group, the mean score increased 9.56% (7.33 points) which could be seen from the pre-test average mean score of 76.67 that reached up to 84 for the post-test average mean score.

Acknowledgements

The writer would like to thank Jesus Christ for His guidance and blessing so that the writer managed to finish this thesis.

The writer also wants to express her deepest gratitude and thanks to:

- 1. M.N. Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D., her advisor, for her support, comments, suggestions, and guidance in the process of accomplishing this thesis.
- Dr. Ignatius Harjanto, Head of the Graduate School of English Education Department of Widya Mandala catholic University, who had encouraged the writer and the writer's colleagues to finish MPBI.
- The board of examiners who have given valuable comments, suggestions, and guidance for the sake of the perfection of this thesis.
- All lectures and staff officers of the Graduate School of English Education Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University, who helped her during her study at English Education Department.
- 5. Bunga Ragil M.H, S.S, M.Med.Kom who has been the rater in her study.
- 6. All students who became the subjects of this study. Without their participation, the writer would not have conducted her study successfully.
- 7. Irwan Tanuadji and Maureen Tanuadji, the writer's beloved husband and daughter for their great love, help, advice, support, care, patience, and time.
- 8. Her beloved friends of Batch 21 for the sharing during her study.
- 9. All parties who cannot be mentioned by the writer.

Surabaya, 21 January 2017

| Approval Sheet | iii |
|---|--------|
| Approval Sheet | iv |
| Statement of Authenticity | . V |
| Acknowledgements | vii |
| Table of Contents | . viii |
| List of Tables | xi |
| List of Figures | xii |
| Chapter 1 Introduction | 1 |
| Background of the Study | 1 |
| Statement of the Problems | 4 |
| The Objective of the Study | 5 |
| The Significance of the Study | 5 |
| Theoretical Framework | 5 |
| The Scope of the Study | 6 |
| Assumption | 7 |
| Definition of Key Terms | 7 |
| Chapter 2 Review of Related Literature | 8 |
| Recount Writing | 8 |
| Writing Process | 8 |
| Peer Feedback and Writing | 9 |
| Pair Feedback and Writing | 11 |
| Peer Feedback, Pair Feedback and Cooperative Learning | 12 |
| Previous Studies | 13 |
| Chapter 3 Research Method | 16 |
| Research Design | 16 |
| Hypothesis | 17 |
| Variable | 17 |
| Sample and Population | 18 |
| Sources of Data | .18 |
| The Instruments | 18 |

Table of Contents

| Threats to Internal Validity | 19 |
|---|------|
| Data Collection Procedure | 20 |
| Treatment in the Experimental Group | 20 |
| Treatment in the Control Group | 21 |
| Chapter 4 Findings and Discussion | 26 |
| Research Findings | . 26 |
| Discussion of the Findings | .29 |
| Chapter 5 Conclusion | .32 |
| The Summary | .32 |
| Implication of the Study | 33 |
| References | .35 |
| Appendix 1 Lesson Plan of the Experimental Group Treatment | 40 |
| Appendix 2 Lesson Plan of the Control Group Treatment | 48 |
| Appendix 3 Instruction for the Recount Writing Test | 55 |
| Appendix 4 Scoring Criteria | 56 |
| Appendix 5 The Pre-test Scores from the Experimental Group | 58 |
| Appendix 6 The Post-test Scores from the Experimental Group | 59 |
| Appendix 7 The Pre-test Scores from the Control Group | 60 |
| Appendix 8 The Post-test Scores from the Control Group | .61 |
| Appendix 9 The Pre-test and Post-test Scores from the Experimental Group | 62 |
| Appendix 10 The Pre-test and Post-test Scores from the Control Group | 63 |
| Appendix 11 The Pre-test and Post-test Scores from the Experimental Group and | |
| the Control Group | .64 |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1 Treatment of the Experimental and Control Groups | 23 |
|--|-----|
| Table 3.2 Time schedule of the research | .24 |
| Table 4.1 The pre-test scores from the Experimental and the Control Groups | .28 |
| Table 4.2 The Pre-test and Post-test Scores from the Experimental Group | .28 |
| Table 4.3 The Pre-test and Post-test Scores from the Control Group | .29 |
| Table 4.4 The Post-test Scores from the Experiment and the Control Group | .30 |

List of Figures

Figure 4.1 Results of Analyses the Pre-test and Post-test Scores29