GRAMMATICAL DEVICES IN THE THESES WRITTEN BY GRADUATE STUDENTS

A THESIS

Presented to Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master in the Teaching English as a Foreign Language



By Fransiskus Jemadi 8212714006

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
GRADUATE SCHOOL
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
SURABAYA
2017

Advisor's Approval

This thesis entitled Grammatical Devices in the Theses
Written By Graduate Students prepared and submitted by Fransiskus
Jemadi 8212714006 has been approved to be examined by the Thesis
Board of Examiners.

Prof. Eugenius Sadtono, Ph.D.

In Salto

Thesis Advisor

Thesis Examination Board's Approval

This thesis entitled Grammatical Devices in the Theses Written By Graduate Students prepared and submitted by Fransiskus Jemadi 8212714006 has been approved and examined by the Thesis Board of Examiners.

Prof. Dr. Wuri Soedjatmiko

Chair

Prof. Eugenius Sadtono, Ph.D.

Secretary

Dr. Ignatius Harjanto

Member

Prof. Dr. J. S. Ami Soewandi, Apt

Director

Statement of Authenticity

I declare that this thesis is my own writing, and it is true and correct that I did not take any scholarly ideas or work from others dishonestly. That all the cited works were quoted in accordance with the ethical code of academic writing.

Surabaya, February 27, 2017

IETERAL (1)

A22AEF491366004

Fransiskus Jemadi

8212714006

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to several people whom I am indebted for their great help in completing this thesis. First and foremost, my heartfelt thanks go to Prof. Eugenius Sadtono, Ph.D for his endless support and constructive advice in completing this thesis.

Second, great thanks to Dr. Ignatius Harjanto for his valuable advice and helpful feedbacks during the writing process of this thesis. My third gratitude goes to all the lectures of the English Educational Department, Graduate School of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya in particular Prof. Wuri Soedjatmiko, the thesis examiner, who inspired me and helped me to accomplish this thesis. Fourth, my sincere thanks also go to all of my classmates, MPBI 21, for making my days of studying in English Education at the Widya Mandala more colorful and for supporting me in finishing this thesis.

Finally, my heartfelt thanks go to my caring and loving wife for her endless support of love, to my family and to STKIP St. Paulus Ruteng for their unceasing support during my study and in finishing this thesis.

Abstract

Jemadi, Fransiskus. 2017. *Grammatical Devices Written By Graduate Students*. S2 Thesis. The English Department of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya.

The objective of this present study was to analyze the type cohesive devices in the theses of graduate students at Widya Mandala Catholic University. This study adopted discourse analysis method. The source of the data was taken from the 21 graduate students' background of the study section upon considering some set criteria. Additionally, to ensure the reliability of the study the researcher used investigator triangulation to analyze how the cohesive devices employed by the graduate students in their background of the study section would ensure the cohesive relation between sentences in the text. the results indicated that the graduate students employed grammatical cohesive devices. Reference was the most frequently used which was 80.14%, followed by conjunction 19.22%, ellipsis 0.47% and substitution 0.15%.

As far as the finding of this present study is concerned, the graduate students' introduction especially the background of the study seemed to be very cohesive through the use of reference and conjunction. The use of these two cohesive devices would serve not only to link the sentences together but also enable the readers to understand the text. Therefore, in the light of this finding, some pedagogical implications should be taken into consideration

especially to teach explicitly about cohesive devices to students so that they would be able to compose a cohesive writing.

Key words: cohesion, cohesive devices, background of the study

Table of Contents

| Insid | le Title Page | •••• |
|--|---------------------------------------|------|
| Advisor's Approval | | |
| Thes | is Examination Board's Approval | iii |
| State | ement of Authenticity | iv |
| Ackr | nowledgment | V |
| Abst | ract | V |
| Chap | oter 1 Introduction | 1 |
| | Background of the study | 1 |
| | Statements of the problems | 7 |
| | The objectives of the study | 7 |
| | The scope and limitation of the study | 8 |
| | Significance of the study | 9 |
| | Assumptions | .10 |
| | The theoretical framework | . 10 |
| | Definition of the key terms | . 12 |
| Chapter 2 Review of the Related Literature | | . 13 |
| | Concept of discourse analysis | . 13 |
| | Text and discourse | .14 |
| | Texture and textuality | 16 |
| | Spoken versus written language | .19 |
| | Discourse analysis and grammar | .22 |
| | Cohesion | .24 |
| | Textuality and grammatical cohesion | .26 |
| | Types of grammatical cohesion | .27 |
| | ::: | |

| References | 28 |
|---|----|
| Substitution | 31 |
| Ellipsis | 33 |
| Conjunction | 36 |
| Chapter 3 Research Method | 44 |
| Research method and design | 44 |
| The subjects of the study | 45 |
| Source of data, data and unit of analysis | 45 |
| Instruments | 46 |
| Data collection procedures | 46 |
| Data analysis | 47 |
| Triangulation | 49 |
| Chapter 4 Findings and Discussion | 51 |
| Findings of the study | 51 |
| Reference | 54 |
| Substitution | 56 |
| Ellipsis | 57 |
| Conjunction | 59 |
| Discussion | 73 |
| Chapter 5 Conclusion and suggestion | 78 |
| Conclusion | 78 |
| Suggestion | 79 |
| References | |
| Appendixes | |