CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter reveals mainly about the summary of the analysis of the findings, as a matter of fact the researcher figures out and realizes that the findings are far from her pre-assumption that when the teachers always give compliments or at least often give compliments to their students, it will arouse the students' motivation to perform their task eagerly. However, the lecturers of IBM mostly consider compliments unnecessary to be given to their students since compliments may provide either good or bad responses toward the students' learning performances.

Based on the findings, the researcher finds that the lecturers of IBM – Petra Christian University (45%) seldom give compliments due to the fact that compliments are given at the end of the semester to show that the students definitely can perform the best they can. Here, compliments are used as the ultimate rewards and appreciation toward their ultimate work along the semester. If the lecturer gives compliments at the beginning of the semester, the lecturers are afraid it can affect the students' motivation in learning due to their self-satisfaction toward their study performances and underestimate the following tasks given by the lecturers.

Moreover, the researcher figures out that the most favorite topic (55%) that the lecturers deal with the students when complimenting is judging the skills or accomplishments of the students. The lecturers of IBM regard that this topic is a trigger and/or opener to compliment their students. The statement such as "I like your presentation" might be a good introduction for complimenting the students. The least topic that the teacher engages with the students is about personal attributes or possessions

in which the lecturers of IBM consider this topic as a personal topic about choices. Furthermore, the lecturers add that this topic is considered as a phatic expression that is not closely related to the academic topic.

In addition to compliments, the researcher finds out that the nationality of the lecturers also plays an important part in the frequency of giving compliments. Two American lecturers and one Canadian lecturer give more compliments to their students than the Indonesian lecturers who share similar cultural point of view with Chinese and Japanese. This also occurs to the Indonesian lecturers who have experiences staying or continuing their study abroad especially in western countries such as the United States, Australia or Canada. The process of biculturalism affects their perception and point of view if it is still positively in line with the norms. RS and TV graduated from Australia and they perceive that giving compliments as a good side for motivating and tighten up their relationship with the students.

Finally, the researcher discovers that the primary purpose of giving compliments to the students is to judge someone's ability or performance. Thirty-five percent of the lecturers believe that the significant compliments that they give to their students is judging their students skills.

This fact indicates that giving compliments to the students is unnecessary for the lecturers of IBM since they consider that there are still some functional ways to appreciate the students' work and encourage them to keep on doing their best.

Ultimately, the fact that the researcher expects that her study will contribute a valuable information about teachers' compliments to the students is sociolinguistics as well as teaching. This study, moreover, is suggestable to other researchers who would

like to investigate teachers' compliments in different perspectives such as the investigation on the students' opinion about the teachers' compliments whether or not they are motivated or happy with the teachers' compliments, and the research into written compliments given by the teachers to the students. Hopefully, future studies can enhance the study about compliments

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- Amabile, T. (1979). Effects of external evaluations on artistic creativity. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 37, 221-233.
- Ames, C. (1992). Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. Journal of Educational Psychology, 84, 261-271.
- Ames, C. & Ames, R. (1984). Systems of student and teacher motivation: Toward a Qualitative definition. Journal of Educational Psychology, 76, 535-556.
- Ameka, F. (1987). A comparative analysis of linguistic routines in two languages: English and Ewe. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 11, 299-326.
- Antón, M. (1999). The discourse of a learner-centered classroom: Sociocultural perspectives on teacher-learner interaction in the second-language classroom. *The Modern Language Journal*, 83, 303-318.
- Anderson, C.A. (1983). The causal structure of situations: The generation of plausible causal attributions as a function of type of event situation. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 19, 185-203.
- Austin, T. (1998). Cross-cultural pragmatics-building in analysis of communication across cultures and languages: Examples from Japanese. Foreign Language Annals, 31, 326-346.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unified theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, 84, 191-215.
- Bandura, A. (1981). Self-referent though: A developmental analysis of self-efficacy. In J.H. Flavell & L. Ross (Eds), Social cognitive development: Frontiers and possible futures (pp. 200-239). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Bandura, A. (1982b). Self-efficacy mechanism in human agency. American Psychologist, 18, 122-147.
- Benware, C., & Deci, E. (1984). The quality of learning with an active versus passive motivational set. American Educational Research Journal, 21, 755-765.
- Boggiano, A. & Barrett, M.(1985). Performance and motivational deficits of helplessness: The role of motivational orientations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 49, 1753-1761.
- Brophy, J. (1983). Conceptualizing student motivation. Educational Psychologist, 18, 200-215.

- Butler, R. & Nisan, M. (1986). Effects of no feedback, task-related comments, and grades on intrinsic motivation and performance. Journal of Educational Psychology, 78, 210-216.
- Chen, R. (1993). Responding to compliments: A contrastive study of politeness strategies between American English and Chinese speakers. Journal of Pragmatics 20, 49-75.
- Collins, J. (1982). Self-efficacy and ability in achievement behavior. Paper presented in March, The American Educational Research Association, New York, New York.
- Conroy, J. (1977). Enemies of exploration. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 35, 459-477.
- Covington, M. (1984). *The motive for self-worth*. Research on Motivation in Education, 1, 77-113, Orlando, Florida, Academic Press.
- Covington, M., & Omelich, C. (1979). It's best to be able and virtuous too: Student and teachers evaluative responses to successful effort. Journal of Educational Psychology, 71, 688-700. (b)
- Covington, M. & Beery, R. (1976). Self-worth and school learning. New York: Reinhart, & Winston.
- DeCharms, R. (1968). Personal Causation: The internal affective determinants of behavior, New York: Academic Press.
- Deci, E. & Ryan, R. (1987). The support of autonomy and control of behavior. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 53, 1024-1037.
- Diener, C., & Dweck, C. (1980). An analysis of learned helplessness: II. The processing of success. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 39, 940-952.
- Dweck, C., & Elliott, E. (1988). Goals: An approach to motivation and achievement. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54, 5-12.
- Dweck, C. & Leggett, E. (1988). A social-cognitive approach to motivation and personality. Psychology Review 95, 256-273.
- Dweck, C. (1986). *Motivation processes affecting learning*. American Psychologist, 41, 1040-1048.
- Farrell, E., & Dweck, C. (1985). The role of motivational processes in transfer of learning. Manuscript submitted for publication.

- Feather, N. & Simon, J. (1971). Attribution of responsibility and valence of outcome in relation to initial confidence and success and failure of self and other. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 18, 173-188.
- Fisher, C. (1978). The effects of personal control, competence and extrinsic reward systems on intrinsic motivation. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 21, 273-288.
- Harackiewicz, J., Abrahams, S. & Wageman, R. (1987). Performance evaluation and intrinsic motivation: The effects of evaluative focus, rewards, and achievement orientation. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 53, 1015-1023.
- Hoffman, Charlotte (1991). An Introduction to Bilingualism. London: Longman
- Holt, J. (1964). How children fail. New York: Dell.
- Kast, A. (1983). Sex differences in intrinsic motivation: A developmental analysis of the effects of social rewards. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Fordham University, New York.
- Kelly, H. (1971). The process of causal attribution. American Psychology, 28, 107-128.
- Koestner, R., Ryan, R., Bernieri, F., & Holt, (1984). Setting limits in children's behavior: The differential effects of controlling versus informational styles on intrinsic motivation and creativity. Journal of Personality, 52, 233-248.
- Kolb, A. and Kolb D. A. (2001) Experiential Learning Theory Bibliography 1971-2001, Boston, Ma.: McBer andCo, http://trgmcber.haygroup.com/Products/learning/bibliography.htm
- Law, G. (1970). Co-operative Education: Handbook for Teachers-Coordinators. New York: American Technical Society.
- Licht, B., & Dweck, C. (1984). Determinants of academic achievement: The interactions of children's achievement orientations with skill area. Developmental Psychology, 20, 628-636.
- Littlefield, A. (1985). *The power of Co-operation*. Ontario Secondary School Teacher's Foundation Letter, Sept/Oct.
- Locke, E., Shaw, K., Saari, L., & Latham, G. (1981). Goal setting and task performance: 1969-1980, Psychology Bulletin, 90, 125-152.
- Maehr, M., & Stallings, W. (1972). Freedom from external evaluation. Child Development, 43, 177-185.

- Mason, R., & Haines, P. (1972). Co-operative Occupation Education and Work Experience the Curriculum. San Diego: Interstate Printers and Publishers
- Meichenbaum, D. (1971). Examination of model characteristics in reducing avoidance behavior. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 17, 298-307.
- McGraw, K. & McCullers, J. (1979). Evidence of a detrimental effect of extrinsic incentives on breaking a mental set. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 15, 285-295.
- McGraw, K. (1978). The detrimental effects of reward on performance: A literature review and a prediction model. In M. Lepper & D. Greene (Eds.), The hidden costs of rewards (pp. 33-60). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Pintrich, P. & De Groot, E. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. Journal of Educational Psychology, 82, 33-40.
- Pintrich, P., Roesser, R. & De Groot, E. (1992). Classroom influences on student and self-regulated learning. Paper presented in April. The American Educational Research Association Convention, San Francisco, California.
- Roeser, R., Aberton, A. & Anderman, E. (1993). The relations among teacher beliefs and practices and students motivation across the school year. Paper presented in April, The American Educational Research Association Convention, Atlanta Georgia.
- Rose, K. R., & Ng, K. C. (2001). Inductive and deductive teaching of compliments and compliment responses. In K. R. Rose & G. Kasper (Eds.), *Pragmatics in language teaching* (pp. 145-170). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Ryan, R. & Grolnick, W. (1986). Origins and pawns in the classroom: Self-report and projective assessments of individual differences in children's perceptions. Journal of personality and Social Psychology, 50, 550-558.
- Schunk, D. (1985). Self-efficacy and classroom learning. Psychology in the Schools. 22, 208-223.
- Schunk, D. (1983). Reward contingencies and the development of children's skills and self-efficacy. Journal of Educational Psychology, 75, 511-518.
- Schunk, D. (1984). Self-efficacy perspective on achievement behavior. Educational Psychologist, 19, 48-58.
- Silberman, C. (1970). Crisis in the classroom. New York: Random House.

- Seifert, T. (1996). The stability of goal orientations in garde five students: comparison of two methodologies. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 66, 73-82.
- Seifert, T. (1996). Characteristics of ego- and task-orientated students: a comparison of two methodologies. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 65, 125-138.
- Seifert, T. (in press). Academic goals and emotions: results of a structural equation model and a cluster analysis.
- Stipek, D. (1988). *Motivation to Learn from Theory to Practice*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Swann, W., & Pitmann, T. (1977). *Initiating play activity of children: The moderating influences of verbal cues on intrinsic motivation*. Child Development, 48, 1128-1132.
- Weiner, B. (1990). *History of Motivational research in education*. Journal of Educational Psychology, 82, 616-622.
- Weiner, B. (1985). An attributional theory of achievement motivation and emotion. Psychology Review, 92, 548-573.
- White, R. (1959). *Motivation reconsidered: the concept of competence*. Psychological Review, 66, 297-333.
- Winne, P., & Marx, R. (1982). Students' and teachers' views of thinking processes for classroom learning. Elementary School Journal, 82, 459-518.
- Zimmerman, B., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1986). Development of a structured interview for assessing student use of self-regulated learning strategies. American Educational Research Journal, 23, 614-628.
- Zuckerman, M., Porac, J., Lathin, D., Smith, R., & Deci, E. (1978). On the importance of self-determination for intrinsically motivated behavior. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 4, 443-446.