

**STUDENT INTERACTION  
IN THE IMPLEMENTATION  
OF THE JIGSAW TECHNIQUE  
IN LANGUAGE TEACHING**

**Siti Mina Tamah**



Groningen Dissertations in Linguistics 91

ISSN 0928-0030

ISBN 978-90-367-4902-2

© 2011 by Siti Mina Tamah

Cover design by Agung Asalie

Printed by Wöhrmann Print Service, Zutphen, the Netherlands

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

**STUDENT INTERACTION  
IN THE IMPLEMENTATION  
OF THE JIGSAW TECHNIQUE  
IN LANGUAGE TEACHING**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de  
Letteren  
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
op gezag van de  
Rector Magnificus, dr. E. Sterken,  
in het openbaar te verdedigen op  
donderdag 19 mei 2011  
om 13:15 uur

door

Siti Mina Tamah  
geboren op 25 juni 1962  
Lombok, Indonesië

Promotor: Prof. dr. C.L.J. de Bot

Copromotor: Dr. H. I. Hacquebord

Beoordelingscommissie: Prof. dr. C.M. de Glopper

Prof. dr. H.P.M. Creemers

Prof. dr. W.J.C.M. van de Grift

To  
Billy Asalie  
Arini Asalie  
Agung Asalie



## TABLE OF CONTENT

Table of Content .....	vii
List of Appendices .....	xii
List of Tables .....	xii
List of Figures .....	xiii
Acknowledgements .....	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Research Questions .....	5
1.3 Significance of the Study .....	6
1.4 Preview of the Thesis Chapters .....	7
<b>CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Constructivism .....	9
2.2 Social Interactionism .....	11
2.3 Interaction Components in Language Teaching .....	13
2.4 Initiation-Response-Feedback in Classroom Discourse .....	18
2.5 Cooperative Learning .....	20
2.5.1 Benefits of Cooperative Learning .....	22
2.5.2 Drawbacks of Cooperative Learning .....	23
2.5.3 Essential Components of Cooperative Learning .....	25
2.5.4 Grouping Considerations .....	30
2.5.5 Cooperative Learning Techniques .....	31
2.6 Jigsaw .....	32
2.7 Previous Related Studies .....	34
2.8 Summary .....	36
<b>CHAPTER 3 PILOT STUDIES .....</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	37
3.2 Pilot Study 1 .....	39
3.2.1 Research Questions of Pilot Study 1 .....	39
3.2.2 Research Method for the First Question of Pilot Study 1 .....	39
3.2.2.1 Research Design .....	39
3.2.2.2 Variables .....	39
3.2.2.3 Population and Sample .....	40

3.2.2.4	Treatments .....	40
3.2.2.5	Research Instrument .....	43
3.2.2.6	Data Collection Procedure .....	44
3.2.2.7	Data Analysis Procedure .....	44
3.2.3	Research Method for the Second Question of Pilot Study 1 .....	45
3.2.3.1	Subjects .....	45
3.2.3.2	Data .....	45
3.2.3.3	Research Instruments .....	45
3.2.3.4	Data Collection Procedure .....	46
3.2.3.5	Data Analysis Procedure .....	47
3.2.4	Research Method for the Third Question of Pilot Study 1 .....	47
3.2.4.1	Subjects .....	47
3.2.4.2	Data .....	48
3.2.4.3	Research Instrument .....	48
3.2.4.4	Data Collection Procedure .....	48
3.2.4.5	Data Analysis Procedure .....	49
3.2.5	Findings of Pilot Study 1 .....	49
3.2.5.1	Findings Related to the First Research Question .....	49
3.2.5.2	Findings Related to the Second Research Question .....	50
3.2.5.3	Findings Related to the Third Research Question .....	55
3.2.6	Summary .....	58
3.3	Pilot Study 2 .....	60
3.3.1	Research Questions of Pilot Study 2 .....	60
3.3.2	Research Method for the First Question of Pilot Study 2 .....	61
3.3.2.1	Research Design .....	61
3.3.2.2	Variables .....	61
3.3.2.3	Population and Sample .....	61
3.3.2.4	Treatments .....	61
3.3.2.5	Research Instrument .....	63
3.3.2.6	Data Collection Procedure .....	64
3.3.2.7	Data Analysis Procedure .....	65
3.3.3	Research Method for the Second Question of Pilot Study 2 .....	65
3.3.3.1	Subjects .....	65
3.3.3.2	Data .....	65
3.3.3.3	Research Instruments .....	65

3.3.3.4 Data Collection Procedure .....	66
3.3.3.5 Data Analysis Procedure .....	66
3.3.4 Research Method for the Third Question of Pilot Study 2 .....	66
3.3.4.1 Subjects .....	66
3.3.4.2 Data .....	67
3.3.4.3 Research Instrument .....	67
3.3.4.4 Data Collection Procedure .....	68
3.3.4.5 Data Analysis Procedure .....	68
3.3.5 Findings of Pilot Study 2 .....	68
3.3.5.1 Findings Related to the First Research Question .....	68
3.3.5.2 Findings Related to the Second Research Question ...	69
3.3.5.3 Findings Related to the Third Research Question .....	74
3.3.6 Summary .....	77
3.4 The Main Study under Report .....	78
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESEARCH METHOD .....</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1 Nature of the Study .....	81
4.2 Ethical Considerations .....	81
4.3 Research Design .....	82
4.4 Data Collection .....	82
4.4.1 Setting .....	82
4.4.2 Subjects and Key Informants .....	83
4.4.3 Research Instruments .....	84
4.4.4 Cooperative Learning Techniques Implemented .....	86
4.4.5 Group Size .....	86
4.4.6 Group Composition .....	87
4.4.7 Role Assigning .....	89
4.4.8 Materials .....	90
4.4.9 Task Structure .....	91
4.4.10 Models of Group Work .....	93
4.4.11 Procedure of Data Collection .....	96
4.4.11.1 Reading Course Access .....	96
4.4.11.2 Main Data Collection Procedure .....	100
4.5 Data Analysis Procedure .....	102
4.6 Summary .....	105

<b>CHAPTER 5 INTERACTION ANALYSIS CLASSIFICATION AND CATEGORIES</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1 Macro Interaction Analysis Classification	107
5.2 Micro Interaction Analysis Categories	109
5.2.1 First Micro Interaction Analysis Categories	109
5.2.2 Second Micro Interaction Analysis Categories	110
5.3 Interaction Analysis Exemplified	113
5.3.1 Macro Interaction Analysis Exemplified	113
5.3.2 First Micro Interaction Analysis Exemplified	116
5.3.2.1 Initiation Exemplification	116
5.3.2.2 Response Exemplification	117
5.3.2.3 Feedback Exemplification	118
5.3.2.4 IRF Exemplification	119
5.3.3 Second Micro Interaction Analysis Exemplified	120
5.3.3.1 Discrete Exemplification	120
5.3.3.2 Integrated Exemplification	122
5.4 Summary	126
<b>CHAPTER 6 DATA ANALYSIS, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>127</b>
6.1 Obtained Data	127
6.2 Students' Involvement in Group Interaction	127
6.2.1 Data Analysis	127
6.2.2 Findings and Discussions	134
6.3 Types of Information Used to Understand a Text	138
6.3.1 Data Analysis	138
6.3.2 Findings and Discussions	139
6.4 Helping Behavior in Group Interaction	143
6.4.1 Data Analysis	143
6.4.2 Findings and Discussions	146
6.5 Scaffolding Categories Related to Different Ability Levels	152
6.5.1 Data Analysis	152
6.5.2 Findings and Discussions	154
6.6 Summary	160
<b>CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>161</b>
7.1 Summary	161

7.2 Theoretical Implications .....	166
7.3 Pedagogical Implications .....	167
7.4 Limitations of the Study .....	169
7.5 Recommendations for Further Research .....	169
References .....	173
Appendices .....	185
Dutch Summary .....	253
Grodil .....	255

### List of Appendices

Appendix	1	K-W-L .....	185
Appendix	2	Individual Worksheet 1 (For Expert Team) .....	186
Appendix	3	Group Worksheet (For Expert Team) .....	187
Appendix	4	Individual Worksheet (For Home Team) .....	188
Appendix	5	Group Worksheet (For Home Team) .....	189
Appendix	6	Course Outline .....	190
Appendix	7	Individual Worksheet (Non-Jigsaw) .....	195
Appendix	8	Group Worksheet (Non-Jigsaw) .....	196
Appendix	9	Table of Grouping and Role Assigning .....	197
Appendix	10	Reading Materials Discussed .....	199
Appendix	11	Forms and Notes Used in Cooperative Learning Implementation ....	205
Appendix	12	Research Data .....	207
Appendix	13	An Instance of Forming a Cohesive and Heterogeneous Group .....	237
Appendix	14	Data and Research Instruments of Pilot Studies .....	238

### List of Tables

Table 3.1	Treatment in the Experimental Group .....		41
Table 3.2	Treatment in the Control Group .....		42
Table 3.3	Scenario During Data Collection for the Second Question .....		47
Table 3.4	Self-perceptions on Sharing Ideas .....		50
Table 3.5	Self-perceptions on Listening to Others .....		51
Table 3.6	Self-perceptions on Helping Others .....		51
Table 3.7	Group-perceptions on Sharing Ideas .....		51
Table 3.8	Group-perceptions on Listening to Others .....		52
Table 3.9	Group-perceptions on Helping Others .....		52
Table 3.10	Self-perceptions on Explaining Ability .....		52
Table 3.11	Group-perceptions on Explaining Ability .....		52
Table 3.12	Preference for Jigsaw .....		53
Table 3.13	Willingness to Be Taught Using Jigsaw .....		53
Table 3.14	Perceptions on One's Own Role .....		74
Table 3.15	Perceptions on One's Own Role Related to the Other Roles (1) .....		75
Table 3.16	Perceptions on One's Own Role Related to the Other Roles (2) .....		75

Table 3.17	Preference for Role Assigning .....	76
Table 3.18	Perceptions on Role Assigning for Better Discussion .....	76
Table 4.1	Predetermined Roles for Key Informants .....	90
Table 4.2	Roles Executed by Key Informants .....	90
Table 5.1	Interaction Analysis Classification .....	108
Table 5.2	Micro Interaction Analysis Categories .....	110
Table 5.3	Scaffolding Interaction Analysis Categories .....	111
Table 6.1	IRF Moves in Group Interaction .....	130
Table 6.2	Students' Involvement .....	130
Table 6.3	Students' Involvement With Regard to Different Ability Levels .....	131
Table 6.4	Types of Information in Text Understanding Interaction .....	139
Table 6.5	Scaffolding Categories .....	144
Table 6.6	Scaffolding Categories Revealing Need of Assistance .....	145
Table 6.7	Scaffolding Categories Revealing Support of Assistance .....	145
Table 6.8	Students' Scaffolding Categories Revealing Requests for Assistance ..	152
Table 6.9	Students' Scaffolding Categories Revealing Assistance Providing .....	152

### List of Figures

Figure 6.1	Data Classification (in percentages) .....	128
Figure 6.2	Students' Moves in Group Interaction .....	129
Figure 6.3	Group Interaction by Roles (Data 1) .....	132
Figure 6.4	Group Interaction by Roles (Data 2) .....	132
Figure 6.5	Group Interaction by Roles (Data 3) .....	132
Figure 6.6	Group Interaction by Roles (Data 4) .....	132
Figure 6.7	Students in Need and Support of Assistance .....	153
Figure 6.8	Students in Their Overall Need and Support of Assistance .....	154



## **Acknowledgements**

The composing process of this thesis is the most precious journey I have ever had. It all started with my dream to obtain my Ph.D. degree outside my country to experience different challenging academic atmosphere. With this journey, I then realize more how much love God has endowed me. He has provided me with so many people to whom I would like now to express my sincere gratitude.

Foremost, my deep appreciation goes to my remarkable promotor, Prof. Kees de Bot. for opening the first road in my journey. His initial interest in my research topic and his letter of acceptance had enabled me to convincingly take the first step on the road. He once opened my mind with his fatherly advice when I acted childish at the beginning of the journey – when I was afraid of losing the ‘sandwich’ program in the journey. His prompt feedback and critical comments to my work had been very valuable. He also attended to details in his proofreading for the final stage of the dissertation completion, gave me valuable corrections and suggestions and even assisted me with the Dutch summary. He was also generous supporting me financially for a seminar I attended – using his own research budget. I am also much obliged to my co-promotor, dr. H.I. Hacquebord. Though at first she thought she could not assist me much with my qualitative study, she in fact spent her precious time on providing me with constructive feedback on my work. I have learnt a lot from both of them – their logical ways of thinking. From interactions with them, I saw the power of human brains that can focus on the overall research globally, and at the same time, attend to the fine points in the study. They indirectly taught me how to be a serious scholar by being one themselves.

I am also indebted to Dr. Marjolijn Verspoor who established the Ph.D. support group and provided me with the opportunity to present part of my Ph.D. study. I got additional insights to improve my dissertation after the presentation by considering the comments from Kirsten Kolstrup, Wander Lowie and Steve Thorne. Besides, I would like to acknowledge the assistance of Wyke van de Meer who prepared the facilities for my study especially in finding an equipped room every time I came back to Groningen, Nella Scholtens who helped me with the completion of the form “Defence ceremony date application” while I was in my home country, and Diana Muller who checked the details of the title page of my thesis.

I am indeed grateful for the vital contribution of the study participants, especially those involved as the key informants without whom there would be no data. In turn, I would also like to express my thankfulness to my colleagues, Trianawaty and Maria Ignatia. The reliability of the data would not have been obtained without their assistance as being the coders. Thanks also to all my colleagues at the English Department of Widya Mandala University, Surabaya, Indonesia for their encouragement.

My short life in the Netherlands has been colored by Eva and Wan Hiang to whom I cannot forget to be thankful. Knowing I stayed far away from my family, they cheered me and offered their warm hospitality. They even bothered themselves to take a train to visit me in Groningen. I am especially indebted to Eva and her husband, Ernst, for their invaluable assistance during the hard time I experienced as my flight back to my country was cancelled when Schiphol airport was closed due to the Iceland's volcano eruption.

My special thanks also go to Saakje, a quiet and nice woman working as a volunteer at the Frisian Department. She generously lent her bike which then made me have a healthier life. She assisted me with the knowledge of making book style page layout for my dissertation. I will always remember the once-a-week brief walk we took together during lunch break, and the escort to the northern part of the Netherlands she and her sister, Annie, provided me.

I also owe special thanks to Xiaoyan Xu for the valuable information on things to do when the defence was approaching. She also gave me a big hand to distribute the books to the Ph.D department so that I did not miss the deadline. I am very grateful to my husband, Billy Asalie and my daughter, Arini who became my 'special' paranymphs assisting me particularly on the defence day. I am also thankful for the friendship with Gulsen, Hana, Hong, Kirsten, Laura, Tal, Teddy, Tuba, and Yuni. I am also grateful to get acquainted with Oom Bonard and Meity who showed their hospitality during my stay in Groningen.

My sincere thanks also go to Mbak Tina, Tante Panca, and Tante Voni who once became my kind landladies, and also to all Indonesian students in Groningen, among others, Ari, Hadi, Lia, Nurul, Pita, Puri, Wisnu, and Yuli for the precious friendship.

Special thanks also go to my sisters, brothers, and relatives who have given me love and strong support in every way that they can. My sisters and brothers had especially been proud of me when they knew I got the prestigious scholarship – the tuition fee waiver from the University of Groningen, the Netherlands, and the living cost from the Indonesian government.

Finally, my greatest debt of thanks must be to my family. Many thanks to my husband, Billy, for his continued and unfailing love, understanding and support during my study life, and, not to forget, to my dearest daughter, Arini, and son, Agung. Both have been especially encouraging – giving me their moral as well as practical support during the journey. The lovely family I have makes my journey even much more precious.

In general, I wish to thank all who sustained me in many different ways over the journey. Eventually, I discovered that I am the most fortunate person in the world for the advantageous ‘sandwich’ program available in the journey – the one which enables me to grow academically and socially experiencing how to live in this 4-season country which is very different from Indonesia, my country.