

79 Recent progress on post-synthetic treatments of photoelectrodes for photoelectrochemical water splitting by Shella Santoso

From Similarity check (check paper Jakad SPS)

<p>Similarity Index</p> <p>15%</p>	<p>Similarity by Source</p> <table> <tr> <td>Internet Sources:</td><td>N/A</td></tr> <tr> <td>Publications:</td><td>15%</td></tr> <tr> <td>Student Papers:</td><td>N/A</td></tr> </table>	Internet Sources:	N/A	Publications:	15%	Student Papers:	N/A
Internet Sources:	N/A						
Publications:	15%						
Student Papers:	N/A						

Processed on 01-Mar-2022 11:41 WIB

ID: 1773586349

Word Count: 19634

sources:

- 1 2% match (publications)
[Songcan Wang, Gang Liu, Lianzhou Wang. "Crystal Facet Engineering of Photoelectrodes for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting", Chemical Reviews, 2019](#)
- 2 1% match (publications)
[Mayur A. Gaikwad, Umesh P. Suryawanshi, Uma V. Ghorpade, Jun Sung Jang, Mahesh P. Suryawanshi, Jin Hyeok Kim. "Emerging Surface, Bulk, and Interface Engineering Strategies on BiVO for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting", Small, 2021](#)
- 3 1% match (publications)
[Yang Li, Li Ji, Rugeng Liu, Chengxi Zhang, Chun Hong Mak, Xingli Zou, Hsin-Hui Shen, Shao-Yuan Leu, Hsien-Yi Hsu. "A review on morphology engineering for highly efficient and stable hybrid perovskite solar cells", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2018](#)
- 4 1% match (publications)
[Yong Peng, Minshu Du, Xingli Zou, Guohua Jia, Shella Permatasari Santoso, Xiang Peng, Wenxin Niu, Mingjian Yuan, Hsien-Yi Hsu. "Suppressing photoinduced charge recombination at the BiVO₄/NiOOH junction by sandwiching an oxygen vacancy layer for efficient photoelectrochemical water oxidation", Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2022](#)
- 5 1% match (publications)
[Jeong Hun Kim, Hyo Eun Kim, Jin Hyun Kim, Jae Sung Lee. "Ferrites: emerging light absorbers for solar water splitting", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2020](#)
- 6 1% match (publications)
[Nienke J. Firet, Anirudh Venugopal, Marijn A. Blommaert, Chiara Cavallari et al. "Chemisorption of Anionic Species from the Electrolyte Alters the Surface Electronic Structure and Composition of Photocharged BiVO", Chemistry of Materials, 2019](#)
- 7 1% match (publications)
[Fan Li, Jie Jian, Youxun Xu, Shiyuan Wang, Hongyue Wang, Hongqiang Wang. "Recent advances on interfacial engineering of hematite photoanodes for viable photo-electrochemical water splitting", Engineering Reports, 2021](#)
- 8 1% match (publications)
[Jeong Hun Kim, Hyo Eun Kim, Jin Hyun Kim, Jae Sung Lee. "Ferrites: Emerging light absorbers for solar water splitting", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2020](#)
- 9 1% match (publications)

[Zhuofeng Hu, Yinglong Lu, Minghao Liu, Xiaoyue Zhang, Junjie Cai. " Crystalline red phosphorus for selective photocatalytic reduction of CO into CO ", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2021](#)

10 1% match (publications)
[Changhai Liu, Chao Zhang, Ge Yin, Tingting Zhang, Wenchang Wang, Guofu Ou, Haozhe Jin, Zhidong Chen. " A Three-Dimensional Branched TiO Photoanode with an Ultrathin Al O Passivation Layer and a NiOOH Cocatalyst toward Photoelectrochemical Water Oxidation ", ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2021](#)

11 < 1% match (publications)
[Jie Jian, Guangshen Jiang, Roel van de Krol, Bingqing Wei, Hongqiang Wang. "Recent advances in rational engineering of multinary semiconductors for photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation", Nano Energy, 2018](#)

12 < 1% match (publications)
[Bartek J. Trześniewski, Ibadillah A. Digdaya, Tetsuro Nagaki, Sandheep Ravishankar et al. " Near-complete suppression of surface losses and total internal quantum efficiency in BiVO photoanodes ", Energy & Environmental Science, 2017](#)

13 < 1% match (publications)
[Like Huang, Ziyi Ge, Xiaoli Zhang, Yuejin Zhu. "Oxygen-Induced Defect-Healing and Photo-Brightening of Halide Perovskite Semiconductors: Science and Application", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2021](#)

14 < 1% match (publications)
[Xiaobo Chen, Lei Liu, Fuqiang Huang. " Black titanium dioxide \(TiO \) nanomaterials ", Chemical Society Reviews, 2015](#)

15 < 1% match (publications)
[Jiayun Zheng, Huaijuan Zhou, Yuqin Zou, Ruilun Wang, Yanhong Lyu, San Ping Jiang, Shuangyin Wang. "Efficiency and stability of narrow-gap semiconductor-based photoelectrodes", Energy & Environmental Science, 2019](#)

16 < 1% match (publications)
[Songcan Wang, Tianwei He, Peng Chen, Aijun Du, Kostya \(Ken\) Ostrikov, Wei Huang, Lianzhou Wang. " In Situ Formation of Oxygen Vacancies Achieving Near-Complete Charge Separation in Planar BiVO Photoanodes ", Advanced Materials, 2020](#)

17 < 1% match (publications)
[Michael Peter Mercer, Chao Peng, Cindy Soares, Harry Ernst Hoster, Denis Kramer. "Voltage hysteresis during lithiation/delithiation of graphite associated with meta-stable carbon stackings", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2021](#)

18 < 1% match (publications)
[Mu Xiao, Bin Luo, Zhiliang Wang, Songcan Wang, Lianzhou Wang. "Recent Advances of Metal-Oxide Photoanodes: Engineering of Charge Separation and Transportation toward Efficient Solar Water Splitting", Solar RRL, 2020](#)

19 < 1% match (publications)
[Yong Peng, Hao Wu, Mingjian Yuan, Fang-Fang Li, Xingli Zou, Yun Hau Ng, Hsien-Yi Hsu. " Chemical reduction-induced surface oxygen vacancies of BiVO photoanodes with enhanced photoelectrochemical performance ", Sustainable Energy & Fuels, 2021](#)

-
- 20** < 1% match (publications)
[Min-Ju Choi, Taemin L. Kim, Kyoung Soon Choi, Woonbae Sohn et al. "Controlled Band Offsets in Ultrathin Hematite for Enhancing the Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting Performance of Heterostructured Photoanodes", ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2022](#)
-
- 21** < 1% match (publications)
[Chengkai Xia, Heng Wang, Jung Kyu Kim, Jingyu Wang. "Rational Design of Metal Oxide-Based Heterostructure for Efficient Photocatalytic and Photoelectrochemical Systems", Advanced Functional Materials, 2020](#)
-
- 22** < 1% match (publications)
[Qi-Tao Liu, De-Yu Liu, Jian-Ming Li, Yong-Bo Kuang. "The impact of crystal defects towards oxide semiconductor photoanode for photoelectrochemical water splitting", Frontiers of Physics, 2019](#)
-
- 23** < 1% match (publications)
[Rugeng Liu, Chun Hong Mak, Xu Han, Yunqi Tang, Guohua Jia, Kuan-Chen Cheng, Honglan Qi, Xingli Zou, Guizheng Zou, Hsien-Yi Hsu. "Efficient electronic coupling and heterogeneous charge transport of zero-dimensional Cs PbBr perovskite emitters", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2020](#)
-
- 24** < 1% match (publications)
[Songcan Wang, Xin Wang, Boyan Liu, Zhaochen Guo, Kostya \(Ken\) Ostrikov, Lianzhou Wang, Wei Huang. "Vacancy defect engineering of BiVO photoanodes for photoelectrochemical water splitting", Nanoscale, 2021](#)
-
- 25** < 1% match (publications)
[Yong Peng, Hao Wu, Mingjian Yuan, Fang-Fang Li, Xingli Zou, Yun Hau Ng, Hsien-Yi Hsu. "Chemical reduction-induced surface oxygen vacancies of BiVO₄ photoanodes with enhanced photoelectrochemical performance", Sustainable Energy & Fuels, 2021](#)
-
- 26** < 1% match (publications)
[Yong Peng, Qingdong Ruan, Chun Ho Lam, Fanxu Meng et al. "Plasma-implanted Ti-doped hematite photoanodes with enhanced photoelectrochemical water oxidation performance", Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2021](#)
-
- 27** < 1% match (publications)
[Lei Ji, Xuemei Zhou, Patrik Schmuki. "Electrochemically Faceted Bamboo-type TiO Nanotubes Provide Enhanced Open-Circuit Hydrogen Evolution", ChemElectroChem, 2018](#)
-
- 28** < 1% match (publications)
[Qijun Meng, Biaobiao Zhang, Lizhou Fan, Haidong Liu et al. "Efficient BiVO Photoanodes by Postsynthetic Treatment: Remarkable Improvements in Photoelectrochemical Performance from Facile Borate Modification", Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2019](#)
-
- 29** < 1% match (publications)
[Stepan Kment, Francesca Riboni, Sarka Pausova, Lei Wang et al. "Photoanodes based on TiO and \$\alpha\$ -Fe₂O₃ for solar water splitting – superior role of 1D nanoarchitectures and of combined heterostructures", Chemical Society Reviews, 2017](#)
-

< 1% match (publications)

30

[Sha Chen, Danlian Huang, Min Cheng, Lei Lei, Yashi Chen, Chengyun Zhou, Rui Deng, Bo Li. "Surface and interface engineering of two-dimensional bismuth-based photocatalysts for ambient molecule activation", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2021](#)

31

< 1% match (publications)

[Andebet Gedamu Tamirat, Wei-Nien Su, Amare Aregahegn Dubale, Hung-Ming Chen, Bing-Joe Hwang. " Photoelectrochemical water splitting at low applied potential using a NiOOH coated codoped \(Sn, Zr\) \$\alpha\$ -Fe₂O₃ photoanode ", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2015](#)

32

< 1% match (publications)

[Jung Kyu Kim, Yoonjun Cho, Myung Jin Jeong, Ben Levy-Wendt et al. " Rapid Formation of a Disordered Layer on Monoclinic BiVO₄ : Co-Catalyst-Free Photoelectrochemical Solar Water Splitting ", ChemSusChem, 2018](#)

33

< 1% match (publications)

[Anirudh Venugopal, Wilson A. Smith. " Light induced formation of a surface heterojunction in photocharged CuWO₃ photoanodes ", Faraday Discussions, 2019](#)

34

< 1% match (publications)

[Pankaj Sharma, Ji-Wook Jang, Jae Sung Lee. "Key strategies to advance the photoelectrochemical water splitting performance of \$\alpha\$ -Fe₂O₃ photoanode", ChemCatChem, 2018](#)

35

< 1% match (publications)

[Yaping Zhang, Yuyu Bu, Lin Wang, Jin-Ping Ao. "Regulation of the Photogenerated Carrier Transfer Process during Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting: A Review", Green Energy & Environment, 2020](#)

36

< 1% match (publications)

[Bin Yao, Jing Zhang, Xiaoli Fan, Jianping He, Yat Li. "Surface Engineering of Nanomaterials for Photo-Electrochemical Water Splitting", Small, 2019](#)

37

< 1% match (publications)

[Kan Zhang, Sandheep Ravishankar, Ming Ma, Ganapathy Veerappan, Juan Bisquert, Francisco Fabregat-Santiago, Jong Hyeok Park. "Overcoming Charge Collection Limitation at Solid/Liquid Interface by a Controllable Crystal Deficient Overlayer", Advanced Energy Materials, 2017](#)

38

< 1% match (publications)

[Segi Byun, Bumsoo Kim, Seokwoo Jeon, Byungha Shin. " Effects of a SnO₂ hole blocking layer in a BiVO₄ -based photoanode on photoelectrocatalytic water oxidation ", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2017](#)

39

< 1% match (publications)

[Songcan Wang, Tianwei He, Jung-Ho Yun, Yuxiang Hu, Mu Xiao, Aijun Du, Lianzhou Wang. " New Iron-Cobalt Oxide Catalysts Promoting BiVO₄ Films for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting ", Advanced Functional Materials, 2018](#)

40

< 1% match (publications)

[PengYi Tang, Jordi Arbiol. "Engineering surface states of hematite based photoanodes for boosting photoelectrochemical water splitting", Nanoscale Horizons, 2019](#)

41

< 1% match (publications)

[Qijun Meng, Biaobiao Zhang, Hao Yang, Chang Liu et al. "Remarkable synergy of borate and interfacial hole transporter on BiVO photoanodes for photoelectrochemical water oxidation", Materials Advances, 2021](#)

42

< 1% match (publications)

[Bin Gao, Tao Wang, Xiaoli Fan, Hao Gong, Peng Li, Yaya Feng, Xianli Huang, Jianping He, Jinhua Ye. "Enhanced water oxidation reaction kinetics on a BiVO photoanode by surface modification with Ni O cubane", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2019](#)

43

< 1% match (publications)

[Li, Hui, Jiangqiong Chen, Zhengbin Xia, and Junheng Xing. "Microwave-assisted preparation of self-doped TiO2 nanotube arrays for enhanced photoelectrochemical water splitting", Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2014.](#)

44

< 1% match (publications)

[Wei Wang, Meigui Xu, Xiaomin Xu, Wei Zhou, Zongping Shao. "Perovskite Oxide Based Electrodes for High-Performance Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting", Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2020](#)

paper text:

17 **Journal of Materials Chemistry A** REVIEW [View Article Online](#) [View Journal](#)
| [View Issue](#)

17 **Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628** **Received** 14th July 2021
Accepted 8th November 2021 **DOI: 10.1039/d1ta05935a** rsc.li/materials-a

Recent progress on post-synthetic treatments of photoelectrodes for photoelectrochemical water splitting
Yong Peng,ab Chun Hong Mak,ab Ji-Jung Kai,c Minshu Du, d Li Ji,*e Mingjian Yuan, f Xingli Zou,*g Hsin-Hui Shen,h Shella Permatasari Santoso, i Juan Carlos Colmenares *j and Hsien-Yi Hsu *ab For the global energy demand and climate change challenges, seeking renewable, sustainable energy sources is of great significance.

12 **Photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting is one of the promising**
technologies **for**

converting intermittent solar energy into storable hydrogen energy, to tackle these issues. As the core component in a PEC system, photoelectrodes have been modified by various strategies including nanostructuring, facet-engineering, elemental doping, and heterostructured engineering. Apart from these techniques, numerous effective post-synthetic treatments have also been used to facilitate and powerfully boost the physicochemical properties of photoelectrodes, for the enhancement of their PEC performance. Among them, a number of post-treatments can selectively influence photoelectrode surface and subsurface areas, altering surface states that play crucial roles in the hydrogen/oxygen evolution reaction. In virtue of such post-treatments, we summarize recently reported post-synthetic treatments for enhanced PEC applications. Post-treatment methods are classified into three sections: chemical treatments, electrochemical and irradiation-based treatments, and post-annealing treatments. In the end, a summary

and outlook section regarding the utilization of post-treatments for PEC applications have been provided. This review can provide inspiration for further studies about post-treatments, not only in the PEC water splitting field, but also in other aspects, such as electrolysis.

aSchool of Energy and Environment, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China. E-mail: sam.hyhsu@cityu.edu.hk

bShenzhen Research Institute of City University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, 518057, China

cDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China

dSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, China

eState Key Laboratory of ASIC and System, School of Microelectronics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. E-mail: lji@fudan.edu.cn

fKey Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry (Ministry of Education), Renewable Energy Conversion and Storage Center (RECAST), College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P. R. China

gState Key Laboratory of Advanced Special Steel, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Advanced Ferrometallurgy, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China. E-mail: xlzou@shu.edu.cn

hDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia

iChemical Engineering Department, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, East Java, Indonesia

jInstitute of Physical Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kasprzaka 44/52, Warsaw 01-224, Poland. E-mail: jcarloscolmenares@ichf.edu.pl

1. Introduction Hydrogen is envisioned as a clean, sustainable, and carbon- neutral energy source for the replacement of

44**fossil fuels to meet the** challenges **of** environmental concerns, the **energy** crisis, and

global warming issues in the future. Converting intermittent

44**solar energy to chemical energy stored in**

hydrogen is one of the promising technologies for large-scale hydrogen production by which to satisfy the ever-increasing energy demands of human society.^{25,26} It is estimated that capturing solar light at a solar-to-hydrogen (STH) efficiency of 10%, over an area of 250 000 km² which corresponds to only 1% of the earth's desert area, is required to fulfill one-third of the energy needs of the whole world in 2050.²⁷ In light of this, solar water splitting technologies—including but not limited to photovoltaic-based electrolysis (PV-E), photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting, and photocatalytic (PC) water splitting— have been developed. The PV-E system primarily necessitates expensive photovoltaic devices, such as currently used silicon-based solar panels, for the conversion of solar energy to electricity. In comparison, PEC/PC water splitting, employing low-cost and effective photoresponsive materials, has drawn increased attention since the seminal demonstration by Honda and Fujishima in 1972.²⁸ During PEC/PC water splitting, electrons in the valence band (VB) of a photocatalyst can be excited to the conduction band (CB) in response to light absorption.^{29–31} The

1**photogenerated electrons and holes** are then transported **from the** material bulk to its **surface**

. Finally, the

40**hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER)**

) can be realized at the surface of photoelectrodes and/or counter electrodes, respectively, as shown below. HER: $2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2$, $E_{\text{Wred}} \approx 0 \text{ V}$ OER: $2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{h}^+ \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+$, $E_{\text{Wox}} \approx 1.23 \text{ V}$ Compared to PC water splitting, an extra small bias potential is generally required to be imposed on a single light-absorber photoelectrode, in order to overcome thermodynamic and kinetic barriers

1 for PEC water splitting (compared to

this, 2nd ref. 32 for self-biased tandem cells

1 for PEC water splitting). In spite of this, **the**

PEC system has the advantage of separated hydrogen and oxygen gases, which avoids dangerous mixing and makes the system facile for studying various photoelectrodes.³³ In order to achieve practical PEC water splitting, the most important task is to develop efficient, robust, and cost-effective photoelectrodes. However, none of the present photocatalysts has satisfied all these requirements. There is no doubt about the importance of developing new cutting-edge photo-responsive materials for PEC applications, yet this process is certainly hard and rather time-consuming. On the other hand, engineering/modification of the existing materials to advance their bulk and surface/interface characteristics is feasible and useful. The bulk structure of photoelectrodes can be modulated by diverse strategies such as elemental doping, morphological engineering, facet regulating, heterojunction formation, and compositing engineering. Readers are directed to recently published excellent reviews, e.g., ref. 32, 34 and 35. Owing to the termination of lattice periodicity at the surface/ interface, it is impossible to avoid a surface state that determines surface/interface properties in photoelectrodes.³⁶ Thus, an understanding of the surface state is of great significance to the development of practical PEC applications. In detail, overall PEC performance, e.g., photocurrent density and solar-to-hydrogen efficiency, depends on the efficiencies of charge carrier formation, separation, and injection. The charge formation and separation characteristics are highly subjected to the intrinsic structural and electronic characteristics of the photoelectrodes. In comparison, surface states such as chemical surroundings, chemical bondings, or atomic arrangements, could be relatively easily altered with powerful post-synthetic treatments. During PEC water splitting, HER and/or OER occur at the surface of photo-responsive materials. The interfacial properties of semiconductor/semiconductor, semiconductor/overlayer, or photoelectrode/electrolyte can substantially affect the PEC response. Therefore, it is desirable to utilize post-synthetic approaches to modify the interface/surface properties of photoelectrodes, in pursuit of boosted solar-to-hydrogen conversion performance in an inexpensive and robust manner. In consideration of the abovementioned, we analyze studies related to post-synthetic treatments and PEC water splitting in the recent 7 years. In the review, we start with the introduction of facile chemical reduction and chemical modification methods, followed by a discussion of unique electrochemical and interesting irradiation-based treatments, as well as versatile post-annealing treatments, for improving the performance of photoelectrodes. Finally, we end with an outlook for future work.

2. Chemical treatments

Facile and effective chemical post-treatments can be used to modify photoelectrodes, which employ solution-based methods to process materials in most cases. This section is divided into two categories, chemical reduction and chemical modification. The major differences between these two methods are that chemical reduction employs reducing agents, such as sodium borohydride (NaBH_4), to react with photoresponsive materials in a vigorous manner. By comparison, chemical modifications are inclined to alter the surface states of the photoelectrodes via the formation of surface chemical bonds, without changing subsurface properties. Two points of view are emphasized as follows. (1) It is necessary to clarify that the classification for certain cases is somewhat subjective, due to the lack of a clear boundary. (2) It is worth noting that we have arranged solid- and gas-based chemical reduction cases in the post-annealing part, because it is normally essential to activate the reaction between solid photoresponsive materials with solid/gas reducing agents at high temperature and/or high pressure.

2.1 Chemical reduction

Reducing agents undergo rapid reactions with the photo- electrode surfaces. Chemical reduction is low-cost, fast and reliable, and therefore it is a popular chemical method to create oxygen vacancies of the metal oxide photoelectrode families. 2.1.1 Sodium borohydride. As a strong reducing agent, sodium borohydride (NaBH_4) has been extensively used to improve the photoactivity of various photoelectrodes, including TiO_2 , Fe_2O_3 , BiVO_4 , SrTiO_3 , Bi_2WO_6 , and BaSnO_3 . Firstly, NaBH_4 treatment could conveniently create oxygen vacancies (Ovac) in photoelectrodes.^{37,38} Zheng et al. reported that Ovac could be introduced in black phosphorus (BP)- modified TiO_2 photoanodes by soaking them in a NaBH_4 aqueous solution.³⁷ The synergistic effect of Ovac and BP quantum dot sensitization was verified by PEC measurements. Notably, the generated Ovac and BP sensitization could not only facilitate charge separation but also enhance the water oxidation reaction, by improving the activity and quantity of reactive sites. In another study, Shi et al. explored the synergistic interaction between plasmonic Au nanoparticles and Ovac.³⁸ The NaBH_4 -treated amorphous black TiO_2 photoanode maintained the Ovac, which could build intermediate energy levels. Such interband levels could facilitate the trapping and transfer of hot electrons generated from Au nanoparticles and thus improve electron-hole separation. In situ formation of surface hetero- phase could be realized using NaBH_4 .³⁹ Metallic Bi-modified Ovac-defective BiVO_4 photoanodes could be obtained by one- step NaBH_4 immersion treatment. The surface B-O bonds of BiVO_4 photoanodes were destructed and Bi^{3+} atoms were reduced to Bi0 nanocrystals. At the same time, the formed Ovac could significantly enhance charge carrier separation and benefit the adsorption of water molecules, while the metallic Bi nanocrystals facilitate charge separation and consumption, due

1 to the surface plasmon resonance effect. In situ formation of the

FeB overlay on Fe_2O_3 could be generated by dipping the photoanode in an aqueous NaBH_4 solution.⁴⁰ The FeB layer could suppress charge carrier recombination in Fe_2O_3 and reduce interfacial resistance of electro- deelectrolyte. In view of this, a twofold increase in photocurrent density could be observed. In comparison to this study,⁴⁰ another report on the NaBH_4 treatment of Fe_2O_3 showed that modification of NaBH_4 only contributed to a slight enhancement of photocurrent density.¹² However, it is interesting to find that, with both NaBH_4 treatment and Co-Pi coating, the onset potential of the as-prepared Co-Pi/ Fe_2O_3 - NaBH_4 photoanode decreased by 210 mV, compared to 110 mV of Co-Pi/ Fe_2O_3 . NaBH_4 could induce surface states that serve as surface charge transfer and recombination sites. After Co-Pi coating, such surface states not only stored the accumulated holes but also served as a block layer to prevent the recombination of photogenerated electrons with the oxidation intermediates in the Co-Pi cocatalyst (Fig. 1). Fig. 1 (a) Charge transfer pathway in bare Fe_2O_3 , (b) NaBH_4 treatment could induce surface states that serve as surface charge transfer (kct) and recombination (krec) sites, (c) only Co-Pi coating decreased the recombination rate (krec) but unfavorably impaired charge transfer (kct), (d) after coating Co-Pi on NaBH_4 -treated Fe_2O_3 , surface states not only stored accumulated holes but also served as block layer to prevent recombinations.¹²

13 Reprinted with permission from ref. 12, copyright 2019 Elsevier Inc

. NaBH_4 treatments are also beneficial to bimetallic oxide semiconductors, such as strontium titanate (SrTiO_3),^{2,17,41} bismuth tungstate (Bi_2WO_6),⁴² and barium stannate (BaSnO_3).⁴³ Shi et al. reported the formation of crystalline- core@amorphous-shell structured SrTiO_3 photoelectrodes by NaBH_4 treatment at 320 °C.² Owing

10 to the localized surface plasmon resonance effect and the

high carrier density induced by Ovac, the treated photoanode exhibited an

38enhanced photo- current density of 170 mA cm⁻² under AM 1

.5 G with an L-42 cutoff filter (Fig. 2a–c). Similarly, with NaBH₄ to process TiO₂/ SrTiO₃ heterostructure, 17 surface amorphous structure and Ovac could be generated, which benefited the adsorption of hydroxide ions as well as the transfer of charge carriers (Fig. 2d). In addition to SrTiO₃, Ovac of Bi₂WO₆ could be prepared by a simple NaBH₄ etching process.⁴² The presence of Ovac could decrease charge transfer resistance and thus increase photo- current density. It is worth noting that an inappropriate amount of NaBH₄ could create excessive Ovac, which could serve as charge carrier recombination centers, undermining the photo- activity of the Bi₂WO₆ photoanode. Recently, Kim et al. reported that solution-based NaBH₄ treatment could induce Ovac in BaSnO₃ photoanodes, gradually narrowing the bandgap of BaSnO₃ from 3.11 eV to 1.81 eV.⁴³ The amount of Ovac could be Fig. 2 (a) Transient photocurrent responses and (b) IPCE values of SrTiO₃ and r-SrTiO₃ photoelectrodes

31at 1.23 V vs. RHE with an

L-42 cutoff filter, and (c) localized surface plasmon resonance effect

43enhanced PEC water splitting of SrTiO₃ under visible light

.2 Modified

**1with permission from ref. 2, copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. (d)
Schematic illustration of the**

synergistic effects between close contact TiO₂/SrTiO₃ heterojunction and NaBH₄-induced surface Ovac.¹⁷

**13Reprinted with permission from ref. 17, copyright 2019 American Chemical
Society. precisely controlled, and the**

BaSnO₃ photoanode with 8.7% of Ovac exhibited the highest light absorption and best charge separation performance. After further coating FeOOH/NiOOH layered double oxide,

**2this photoanode exhibited a remarkable photocurrent of 7.32 mA cm⁻² at
1.23 V vs. RHE**

. 2.1.2 Other reducing agents. In addition to sodium borohydride, a number of reducing agents such as lithium metal dissolved in ethylenediamine (Li-EDA), titanium(III) chloride (TiCl₃), sodium hypophosphite (NaH₂PO₂), and sodium sulfite (Na₂SO₃) have been used to modify the surface atomic arrangements and/or surface states of photoelectrodes. Li-EDA, as a strong reducing agent, has been employed to process TiO₂ 3 and BiVO₄.¹⁴ Zhang et al. reported the formation of a controllable crystal-deficient overlayer in rutile TiO₂ arrays by facile immersion in liquid Li-EDA for 5–120 s (Fig. 3a–e).³ The created overlayer not only resulted in enhanced

22light absorption but also improved the conductivity

of TiO₂ by 50 times, benefiting electron collection in the photoanode. As a result, the as-obtained TiO₂ photoanode exhibited a

2photocurrent density of 2.0 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, four times higher than that of

bare TiO₂. Likewise, another study indicated that Li-EDA could induce the subsurface alteration of atomic arrangement in BiVO₄ (Fig. 3f and g).¹⁴ The disordered surface layer, generated by a 5 s Li-EDA treatment, could alleviate charge carrier recombination at the BiVO₄/electrolyte interface,

1leading to the increase of photocurrent

density by 2.1 times. The enhanced

1charge separation and charge transfer properties were responsible for the

improved photoactivity of the Li-EDA-treated BiVO₄ photoanode. Kim et al. demonstrated the effectiveness of a TiCl₃-mediated treatment for TiO₂ nanotubes.⁴⁴ Both surface Ovac and the TiO₂ nano-branch layer could be generated with the post-treatment,

18which resulted in an improved charge carrier density and

strengthened surface roughness, respectively. As a result, the

5photocurrent density increased from 0.83 mA cm⁻² to 2.25 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE

. Another report by Liu et al. revealed that the concentration of Ovac in WO₃ photoanodes could be controlled and was proportional to the amount of TiCl₃.⁴⁵ Enhanced PEC activity could be observed after treatments with certain concentrations of TiCl₃, otherwise excessive Ovac might be generated to serve as surface defects for charge carrier recombination, resulting in unsatisfactory separation and transfer of charge carriers. Yang et al. investigated the thermodynamic and kinetic influence of Ovac on Fe₂O₃ photoanodes.⁴⁶ Sodium hypophosphite (NaH₂PO₂) was used as a reductant to induce various amounts of Ovac in the photoanodes by adjusting the time of Fig. 3 (a–e) TEM images of Li-EDA-treated TiO₂ nanowires for 5, 10, 20, 60, and 120 s, respectively. Scale bars 2 nm. Insets

37are the FFT converted from the corresponding TEM images

.3 (f and g) TEM images of (f) bare BiVO₄ and (g) Li-EDA treated BiVO₄.¹⁴ Modified

1with permission from ref. 3 and 14, copyright 2016 and

2018, respectively,

3WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. hydrothermal reactions.

Notably, **a** prolonged time **of**

180 min could induce unfavorable bulk Ovac which had a negative effect on the photoactivity of Fe₂O₃. In comparison, the presence of surface Ovac decreased space charge region width, resulting in boosted charge separation and transfer. Additionally, from the perspective of kinetics, the surface Ovac could also improve the surface properties and hydrophilicity of the Fe₂O₃ photoanodes. In a similar manner, our group employed a mild reducing agent, sodium sulfite (Na₂SO₃), to modify the surface properties of the BiVO₄ photoanodes.⁴⁷ The facile immersion of BiVO₄ films in the Na₂SO₃ aqueous solution could create surface Ovac and disordered surface structure. Owing

2to the decreased **charge carrier recombination**, the

as-prepared sulfite-treated BiVO₄ exhibited a

2photocurrent density of 2.2 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, 1.7 times **higher than that of bare**

BiVO₄. In addition to inorganic compounds, organic compounds could also be useful for chemical reduction treatments. For example, Long et al. reported the use of ethylene glycol for the reduction of CoOx-coated ZnO nanorods.⁴⁸ After solvothermal reaction at 140 °C for 8 h, the amount of Ovac in the photoelectrode was substantially increased, which acted as favorable trapping centers

1for the migration of photogenerated holes

at the electrode/electrolyte interface. Consequently, the reduced CoOx-coated ZnO

7photoanode exhibited a photocurrent density of 2.1 mA cm⁻²

,

322.1 times higher than that of bare ZnO nanorods **and**

1.6

10times **higher than that of** the CoOx-coated **ZnO**

ones. Studies of the above chemical reduction treatments have been summarized in Table 1. It is facile and effective to employ chemical reduction methods for the post-treatments of various photoelectrodes. The physicochemical properties of the material, especially of the subsurface, can be selectively altered, depending on specific treating parameters. In most cases, an undesired structure (e.g., excessive Ovac) could be created with an excessive amount of reducing agents or reaction time. Therefore, adjusting reaction parameters (e.g., concentration of reducing agents, reaction time, and temperature) or employing other practical methods to precisely control the reaction process are expected. In addition, since the reducing agents used in the reported studies are primarily inorganic compounds, it might be suggested to screen suitable organic compounds as reducing agents to modify photoelectrodes for the pursuit of stable and effective photoactivity.

Table 1 Chemical reduction of photoelectrodes for enhanced PEC performance

Photocurrent density	Materials	Post-treatments	(a mA cm ⁻² at b V vs. c)	Ref.																		
NaBH ₄	BPa/TiO ₂																					
TiO ₂	BiVO ₄	Fe ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	SrTiO ₃	TiO ₂ /SrTiO ₃	Bi ₂ WO ₆	BaSnO ₃	Li-EDAb	TiO ₂	BiVO ₄	TiCl ₃	TiO ₂	WO ₃	NaH ₂ PO ₂	Fe ₂ O ₃	Na ₂ SO ₃	BiVO ₄	Ethylene glycol	Soaked in 0.1 M NaBH ₄ for 10–70 min	0.5 g of BiVO ₄ powders dispersed into 50 mL of 20 mg mL ⁻¹ Mixed with NaBH ₄ and heated at 360 °C for 2 h NaBH ₄ and stirred for 30–60 min Dipped in 0.1 M NaBH ₄ for 40 min Immersed in 0.2 M NaBH ₄ for 10–30 min, followed by loading Co-Pi cocatalysts Mixed with 0.5 g of NaBH ₄ and heated at 320 °C for 2 h in N ₂ Covered by 0.22 g of NaBH ₄ and heated at 320 °C for 2 h in Ar 0.4 g of Bi ₂ WO ₆ in 50 mL H ₂ O mixed with 2.5–10 mL of 0.8 g L ⁻¹ NaBH ₄ under N ₂ for 3 h 0.5 g of BaSnO ₃ immersed in 130 mL of 1–7 M NaBH ₄ for 3 h followed by coating FeOOH/NiOOH cocatalysts Immersed in 0.69 mg mL ⁻¹ of Li-EDAb for 5–120 s Immersed in 0.05 M of Li-EDAb for less than 5 s Immersed in 10 mL of 17–68 mM of TiCl ₃ at 60–100 °C for 5–40 min Dipped in 50–200 mg L ⁻¹ of TiCl ₃ for 25 s Hydrothermally heated in 1 M NaH ₂ PO ₂ at 100 °C for 60–180 min Immersed in 0.2 M Na ₂ SO ₃ at 60 °C for 24 h	1.12; 1.23; RHE; 3.0 1.33; 1.23; RHE; 3.1 1.00; 1.23; RHE; 8.3 37 38 39 1.65; 1.23; RHE; 2.2 1.29; 1.23; RHE; 1.5 40 12 1.71; 1.23; RHE; 3.1 0.32; 1.23; RHE; 1.5 1.90; 1.23; RHE; 2.7 2 17 42 7.32; 1.23; RHE; 7.3 43 1.70; 1.23; RHE; 3.4 2.30; 1.23; RHE; 2.1 2.25; 1.23; RHE; 2.7 0.82; 1.2; Ag/AgCl; 1.27 44 45 2.40; 1.6; RHE; 1.8 46 2.20; 1.23; RHE; 1.7 47 CoOx/ZnO Hydrothermally heated in 5 mmol NaOH dissolved in 10 mL 2.10; 1.23; RHE; 1.3 48 ethylene glycol at 140 °C for 8 h	a BP: black phosphorus. b Li-EDA: lithium metal dissolved in ethylenediamine. c

15 Photocurrent density (a mA cm⁻² at b V vs

. g; d times) ¼ 1.12; 1.23; RHE; 3.0, for example, means 1.12

20 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, 3.0 times higher than the

photocurrent density of relevant untreated sample, similarly hereafter.

2.2 Chemical modification

In comparison to the occurrence of strong reactions between reducing agents and photoelectrodes, the surface alteration induced by chemical modification can usually be rather slow and gentle. Chemical modification methods, including acid and alkaline treatments, contact-induced surface alternations, and in situ ion exchange are summarized as follows.

2.2.1 Acid and alkaline treatments

Facile acid or alkaline treatments have been used for effectively improving the PEC performance of hematite. Yang et al. immersed Fe₂O₃ photo-anodes in pure acetic acid (CH₃COOH) for 5 min, followed by calcination treatment.⁴⁹ The acid-treated Fe₂O₃ exhibited a considerably increased

5 photocurrent density, from 0.3 mA cm⁻² of bare Fe₂O₃ to 1.2 mA cm⁻² at 0.2 V

vs. Ag/AgCl, which was ascribed to the decreased charge recombination loss arising from an improved detrapping rate. The reduced charge recombination rate was also consistent with the observation of

improved conductivity and enhanced charge separation efficiency. In addition to acetic acid, employing other acids, including HCl, HNO₃, and H₃PO₄ for the post-treatment, could also improve the photoactivity of Fe₂O₃ photoanodes. As a comparison, other studies have indicated that the primary reason for the effectiveness of acid treatment should be the passivation of surface states⁵⁰ or the suppression of back reactions.⁵¹ Apart from acid treatments, Zhang et al. reported the use of the alkaline solution for the modification of Fe₂O₃.⁵² Spin-coating KOH solution on Fe₂O₃ followed by annealing could effectively modify the surface of Fe₂O₃ with numerous hydroxyl groups. The hydroxyl overlayer exhibited electrocatalyst-like properties, which accelerated water oxidation kinetics. Moreover, the valence band of the alkaline-treated Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ increased by 0.15 eV, indicating in situ formation of a type II heterojunction along with the introduction of the hydroxyl-rich overlayer. In contrast to this method, the direct immersion of Fe₂O₃ in 1 M KOH was reported for self-passivation.⁵³ In view of the passivating effect, improved donor density and increased surface charge transfer properties could be observed, which contributed to enhanced photoactivity. In addition to Fe₂O₃, photoelectrodes such as TiO₂, CaTaO₂N, and ZrO₂ have also been treated with acid for PEC applications. Mao et al. reported the use of nitric acid for the treatment of TiO₂ to introduce oxygen defects in the photoanode.⁵⁴ The induced oxygen defects could improve the intrinsic conductivity of the TiO₂ photoanode, resulting in an enhancement in donor density. As a result, the acid-treated TiO₂ exhibited a

10 photocurrent density of 2.8 mA cm⁻² at 0.23 V vs. Ag/AgCl, 2.5 times higher than that of pristine

TiO₂. In comparison, the surface properties of CaTaO₂N powders could be considerably altered with the treatment of aqua regia for only 20 s.⁵⁵ As characterized by 1H and 14N solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), the generation of abundant OH groups at the restructured surface of CaTaO₂N could be detected. The acid modification strategy resulted in an enhanced surface charge transfer efficiency in the CaTaO₂N photoanode and yielded an increased photocurrent density by approximately four times. Another study by Rahman et al. demonstrated the effectiveness of using hydrofluoric (HF) acid for the treatment of ZrO₂ hierarchical nanowires.⁵⁶ The HF acid treatment enabled the generation of thin amorphous ZrO₂ shells on crystalline ZrO₂. As a result, an enhanced charge transport property and increased electrochemically active sites were obtained, along with the formation of Ovacs. Consequently, the treated ZrO₂ nanowires exhibited a significantly improved

4 photocurrent density of 42.5 mA cm⁻² at 0 V vs. RHE, in comparison to 12.9 mA cm⁻²

of pristine ZrO₂ nanowires. Additionally, this simple post-treatment method could also be utilized to treat other photoelectrodes such as TiO₂ and Ta₂O₅ for efficient PEC performance.

2.2.2 Contact-induced surface alternations.

Contact-induced surface alternation using both inorganic and organic compounds

13 has been widely reported. Wang et al. investigated the influence of

surface fluorine-modification on Fe₂TiO₅ photoanodes.¹⁰ After immersing Fe₂TiO₅ films in a NH₄F/H₂O₂ solution followed by an annealing process, Ti-F bonds on the surface could be created, as demonstrated by XPS analysis (Fig. 4a-d). The generated Ti-F bonds could facilitate the hole transfer process and approximately doubled the photocurrent density,

18 at 1.23 V vs. RHE. Another report explored the synergistic effects of

F- and Rh-based treatments on heterostructured Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃ photoanodes.⁵⁷ Similar to the above, F-treatment also employed the NH₄F/H₂O₂ immersion method followed by calcination, while Rh,F-treated samples were prepared by Rh-treatment—a photoassisted electrodeposition method. The F-treatment could modify the interface structure with the hydrogen-bond network for fast hole transfer, while the Rh- Fig. 4 (a) F

39**1s, (b) Fe 2p, (c) Ti 2p and (d) O 1s**

XPS spectra of pristine Fe₂TiO₅ (red line) and surface F-modified Fe₂TiO₅ (green line).¹⁰

14**Reprinted with permission from ref. 10, copyright 2018 The Royal Society of Chemistry. (e and**

f) Tetrahedral [B(OH)₄]⁻ species as regulating ligand and passivator to accelerate hole transfer and reduce charge trapping: (e) without and (f) with borate modification.¹⁹ Modified

13**with permission from ref. 19, copyright 2019 The**

Authors. treatment enhanced catalytic performance. In addition to fluoride ions, other common ions, such as phosphate (PO₄³⁻) and borate (BO₃³⁻) ions, can also be effective for surface modification. Meng et al. reported the immersion of BiVO₄

41**photo- anodes in a borate buffer solution**

at room temperature.¹⁹ Remarkably, the borate-treated BiVO₄ photoanode exhibited

22**an onset potential shift of 320 mV and**

a substantially enhanced

4**photocurrent density of 3.5 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, compared to 1.6 mA cm⁻² of the pristine BiVO₄**

. Their characterizations indicated

33**that the bulk nature of the BiVO₄ photoanode remained unchanged after the**

post-treatment, while the reason for enhanced PEC performance was ascribed to the absorption of

28**tetrahedral [B(OH)₄]⁻ species near the active sites, which served as a**

regulating ligand and passivator to accelerate hole transfer and reduce charge trapping during water oxidation reaction (Fig. 4e and f).

30It is worth noting that the surface structure may not

be so stable, as overnight immersion in pure water could undermine the PEC performance of the treated BiVO₄. In another report, phosphate ions were exploited to functionalize Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ photoanodes by a cost-effective dip-annealing method.⁵⁸ The photoanodes were post-treated with immersion into a Na₃PO₄ solution followed by calcination in N₂. This phosphate functionalization not only lowered the onset potential by suppressing electron–hole recombination, but also improved surface water oxidation kinetics, resulting in an enhancement in photocurrent density. Likewise, Sahu et al. reported the co-modification of Fe₂O₃ photoanodes by titanium doping and phosphate treatment.⁵⁹ The co-modification significantly improved

5the photocurrent density from 0.45 mA cm⁻² of untreated Fe₂O₃ to 2.56 mA cm⁻²

of co-modified Fe₂O₃, arising from the increased charge carrier density (10 fold) and improved charge transfer characteristic. With the introduction of light treatment, the interaction between phosphate ions and BiVO₄ photoanodes was studied.⁶⁰ A 25–30 nm thick layer was generated with a dip-and-pull method under illumination, which led to the formation of bismuth phosphate endowed with a negative charge. Interestingly, such a negatively charged structure may function as a passivation layer for the deactivation of surface states of BiVO₄, revealing the importance of selecting a suitable electrolyte for practical PEC water splitting. In addition to inorganic compounds, employing organic matter such as methanol, 1,2-ethanedithiol, and thioacetamide for chemical modification is also efficacious. For example, Xiao et al. explored the roles of distinct surface defects generated by methanol or HCl treatments in Fe₂O₃ photoanodes.¹ Interestingly, methanol treatment at 180 °C for 10 h induced a hydroxylated surface on Fe₂O₃, while HCl treatment at 95 °C for 1 h created abundant chemisorbed oxygen on the photoanode. Methanol treatment improved the charge carrier density and surface hydrophilicity of Fe₂O₃, resulting in enhanced photoactivity. In contrast, HCl treatment barely affected carrier density and hydrophobicity. Instead, surface states were altered with the treatment of HCl, which promoted surface charge transfer and suppressed bulk recombination of charge carriers, thereby lowering the onset potential (Fig. 5a). The photocurrent density of the photoanode was optimized to 3.0 mA cm⁻² at Fig. 5 (a) Schematic illustration of surface states induced by methanol and HCl treatments as well as the combination with the cocatalyst FeCoW to enhance PEC performance.¹

3Reprinted with permission from ref. 1, copyright 2020 Elsevier B.V. (b

) Room-temperature photoluminescence and time-resolved photoluminescence spectra of untreated and EDT-treated GaN nanowires.¹³ Reprinted with permission from ref. 13,

182017 American Chemical Society. (c) Schematic illustration of the formation mechanism for

grooved pyramid-like morphology (left), and the generation of various structures by maneuvering the rate of cation exchange with an increased amount of thiourea (right).²³ Modified

1with permission from ref. 23, copyright 2018 The Royal Society of Chemistry

11.23 V vs. RHE with the addition of

cocatalysts. Varadhan et al. employed 1,2-ethanedithiol (EDT) for the surface passivation of GaN nanowires.¹³ A 5 min EDT treatment followed by loading Pt cocatalyst could improve the charge carrier separation efficiency of the photocathode by changing its $\text{V}_{\text{at-band}}$ and band-edge potentials. Additionally, the EDT treatment could also increase carrier lifetime by suppressing unfavorable surface $-\text{OH}$ and $-\text{O}$ bonds (Fig. 5b).

32As a result, a considerably enhanced photocurrent of $\sim 31 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ at $\sim 0.2 \text{ V}$ vs. RHE of the

EDT-treated GaN could be attained. Another study employed thioacetamide as an etching reagent to alleviate surface trap states and Fermi pinning in WO_3 photoanodes, which resulted in a decreased onset potential.⁶¹ Moreover, the influence of additional loading of the cocatalyst FeOOH layer and introducing the passivating layer Ga_2O_3 were evaluated. The onset potential values of different structures indicated that the interfacial properties of photoelectrodes could greatly affect their PEC performance. These chemical modification approaches have been shown to be effective in altering the photophysical and electrochemical properties at the surface/interface of photoelectrodes by, for example, creating new chemical bonds. Both inorganic and organic compounds are useful for enhancing metal-oxide as well as non-metal-oxide semiconductor photoelectrodes. Exploring the mechanism of these PEC enhancements can also promote our understanding of surface PEC water splitting reactions.

2.2.3 In situ ion exchange.

Chemical treatments affect charge carrier behaviors of electrodes by altering surface states and surface physicochemical properties. From time to time, new materials/compositions are created onto pristine electrodes with chemical treatments, including the ion-exchange method. Recently, solution-based in situ ion exchange

42has been regarded as an effective approach to generate the

hetero-structure on pristine photoelectrodes. Note that this section does not include studies on the direct synthesis of photo- electrodes via ion exchange; for example, see ref. 62. As reported by Chong et al.,⁶³ elemental Co was incorporated into a calcium hydroxyapatite structure ($\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$, Ca-HAP) by immersing the Ca-HAP, which was coated onto Fe_2O_3 , in a $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution. The resultant Co-HAP-loaded Fe_2O_3 exhibited a significant enhancement (9.8 times) in photocurrent density, owing to the extraction of holes from Fe_2O_3 by PO_4^{3-} , active Co sites for surface hole transfer, arising from the 2D structure of $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$, the electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) was maximized and larger electrode/ electrolyte interface was provided for reaction. The ECSA was increased from 0.051 mF cm^{-2} of Fe_2O_3 and 0.065 mF cm^{-2} of HAP/ Fe_2O_3 to 0.13 mF cm^{-2} of Co-HAP/ Fe_2O_3 . Chen et al. fabricated a $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ heterojunction by immersing BiVO_4 - loaded conductive glass in a thiourea solution for a hydrothermal reaction.⁶⁴ By varying precursor sulfur, the convergence of Bi_2S_3 on BiVO_4 nanorods could be tuned. The highest photocurrent density of the $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ heterojunction was 1.43

4 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, compared to 0.25 mA cm⁻² of bare BiVO₄,
because of **the**

enhanced absorption by Bi₂S₃ and Table 2 Various chemical modification approaches to treat photoelectrodes

18 for PEC water splitting Photocurrent density Materials Post-treatment (a

4 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs

. g; d times) Ref. Acid and alkaline treatments Fe₂O₃ Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ TiO₂ CaTaO₂N
ZrO₂ Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ Immersed in pure acetic acid for 5 min followed

37 by annealing at 450 °C for 30 min in air

Spin-coated with KOH, dried at 70

26 °C for 12 h, and calcined at 400 °C for 2 h in

air Soaked in 1 M KOH at 80 °C for 30 min Hydrothermally heated in 0.1 M HNO₃ at 80 °C for 1 h 0.2 g of
CaTaO₂N added into 4 mL of aqua regia stirred for 20 s Soaked in 0.01 M HF acid for 1 h Hydrothermally
heated in 0.1 M HCl at 95 °C for 1 h Contact-induced surface alternations Fe₂TiO₅ Immersed in 0.2 M NH₄F
with H₂O₂ at 60 °C for 10 min,

5 followed by annealing at 200 °C for 20 min in air

Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃ Immersed in NH₄F/H₂O₂ solution at 60 °C for 5 min BiVO₄ Immersed

36 in 0.5 M borate buffer (pH 9.3

) for 12 h Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ Immersed in 0.2 M Na₃PO₄ for 10 min, air-dried at 100

26 °C for 1 h, and sintered at 250 °C for 2 h in

N₂ Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ Immersed in 0.5 M Na₂HPO₄ for 10 min, then annealed at 350 °C for 1 h Ti-doped
Fe₂O₃ Hydrothermally heated in 10 mL methanol at 180 °C for 10 h GaN Immersed in 1,2-ethanedithiol for 5
min WO₃ Immersed in 5 mg mL⁻¹ thioacetamide at 80 °C for 20 min In situ ion exchange Ca-HAPa coated
Fe₂O₃ BiVO₄ ZnO In₂S₃ Cu₇S₄ Immersed in 50 mL of 0.01 M Co(NO₃)₂ solution at 40 °C for 40 min
Hydrothermally heated in 12–24 mg mL⁻¹ of thiourea in aqueous solution at 170 °C for 6 h, followed by
washing and vacuum-drying at 200 °C for 2 h Immersed in 0.32 M Na₂S aqueous solution, and heated at 60

C for 8 or 18 h in a water bath Solvothermally heated in a mixture (15 mM Cu(NO₃)₂ and 0–45 mM thiourea in ethylene glycol) at 200

31C for 12 h, followed by annealing at 400 C for 30 min

in Ar Cu₇S₄ mixed with 4 mL of toluene and then swi?ly adding to a well-mixed complex solution containing InCl₃, followed by heating at 50 C for 2 h a Ca-HAP: calcium hydroxyapatite. 1.2; 0.2; Ag/AgCl; 4.0 49 2.14; 1.6; RHE; 2.3 52 3.7; 1.5; RHE; 1.8 53 2.8; 0.23; Ag/AgCl; 2.5 54 0.025; 1.2; RHE; 4.0 55 ?42.5; 0; RHE; 3.3 56 2.0; 1.23; RHE; 1.3 1 0.4; 1.23; RHE; 2.2 10 1.0; 1.0; RHE; 2.6 57 3.5; 1.23; RHE; 2.2 19 1.56; 1.23; RHE; 1.7 58 2.56; 1.23; RHE; 1.7 59 2.2; 1.23; RHE; 1.5 ?31; ?0.2; RHE; >31 0.27; 1.2; RHE; 1.2 2.25; 1.23; RHE; 9.8 63 1.43; 1.23; RHE; 5.7 64 0.60; 0.5; Ag/AgCl; 1.5 65 N/A 23 0.06; 0.3; RHE; N/A 66 the efficient charge transfer resulting from the type II hetero- junction. Another study revealed the preparation of ZnO/ZnS core/shell nanorods by immersing ZnO nanorods in a Na₂S solution.⁶⁵ As a result of such chemical sul?dation treatment, both the surface roughness of the ZnO/ZnS and the crystallo- graphic quality of the ZnOkZnS interface were improved. The ZnO/ZnS heterojunction exhibited a

4photocurrent density of 0.60 mA cm⁻² at 0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl, compared to 0.39 mA cm⁻² of bare ZnO photoanode

. Feng et al. reported the synthesis of a p- CuInS₂ or n-CuIn₅S₈ junction by solvothermally heating In₂S₃ in a Cu(NO₃)₂/thiourea solution.²³ By adjusting the amount of thiourea to control the cation exchange rate, the In₂S₃ could be controllably converted to various structures, including Cu_{1.8}1S, CuInS₂/CuIn₅S₈, p-CuInS₂, and n-CuIn₅S₈ (Fig. 5c). With the addition of cocatalysts Pt and CoO_x on the p-CuInS₂ and n- CuIn₅S₈, respectively, self-biased PEC water splitting could be realized. Another study revealed that, by immersing Cu₇S₄ nanocrystals in an In-included solution, hollow Table 3 Electrochemical treatments of photoelectrodes for improved PEC activity Materials Post-treatment TiO₂ Electrochemically reduced at a bias (?1.3, ?1.5 or ?1.8 V) for 5–20 min in 1 M KOH supporting electrolyte TiO₂ Reduced at a bias voltage of ?1.35 V in dark for 10–60 s in 1 M NaOH TiO₂ Reduced at a

5potential of ?0.5 V vs. RHE in 0.05 M

H₂SO₄ for 35–55 min Ni,Si-codoped TiO₂ Cathodically polarized at 5 V for 10 min in various electrolytes (0.5 mM H₂SO₄, 0.3 M Na₂SO₄ or 0.1 M KOH) TiO₂ Cathodically reduced at 4 V for 20 min

27in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ TiO₂ Reduced at a potential of ?1.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl

in 1 M NaOH for 10 s BiVO₄ Cathodically reduced at a potential of ?0.1 V vs. RHE

39in 1 M potassium borate electrolyte (pH 9.5

) Mo-doped BiVO₄ Reduced at various potentials (?0.6, ?0.

248, ?1.0 and ?1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl

) for 5 min

27in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ BiVO₄ Reduced at a potential of ± 0.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl

in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ for 30 s Nb,Sn-codoped Fe₂O₃ Electrochemically activated by Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ Fe₂O₃ WO₃ ZnO GaN p-InP a three-times cathodic scanning (

22from 1.0 to 0.2 V vs. RHE

) followed by a three-times anodic scanning (

22from 1.0 to 2.2 V vs. RHE

) in 1 M KOH Reduced

40at a potential of ± 1.2 V vs. SCE for 1000 s in 1

M NaOH Potentiostatically reduced at ± 1.5 to ± 0.8 V for 10 s, or cyclically reduced between ± 0.8 V and ± 1.5 V, followed by a stabilization process Reduced

1at a potential of ± 0.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl

in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ for 30 s

2followed by annealing at 300 C for 5 min

using a hotplate Reduced at a potential of ± 1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ for 30 s Electrochemically etched at a voltage of 15 V for 10 min in an ethanol/hydrofluoric acid solution Cathodically reduced at potentials from open-circuit potential to ± 0.06 V under illumination with intermittent passivation under dark condition

15Photocurrent density (a mA cm⁻² at b V vs

. g; d times) 0.53; 0.6; Ag/AgCl; 3.1 0.70; 0.4; Ag/AgCl; 2.0 2.5; 1.23; RHE; 3.1 2.41; 0; Ag/AgCl; 2.2 2.74; 0; Ag/AgCl; 3.4 2.4; 0; Ag/AgCl; 3.2 2.5; 1.23; RHE; 10 4.3; 1.5; RHE; 4.3 0.21; 1.0; Ag/AgCl; 3.5 3.05; 1.23; RHE; 1.6 0.62; 0.6; SCE; 1.93 N/A 0.53; 1.0; Ag/AgCl; 6.6 1.2; 1.0; Ag/AgCl; 1.3 4.0; 1.2; Ag/AgCl; 5.3 25; 0; RHE; 8.3 Ref. 76 77 78 79 81 82 4 83 82 84 nanododecahedron-structured CuInS₂ nanocrystals could be generated.⁶⁶

1As a result of the enhanced light-harvesting properties, the

CuInS₂ photocathode showed an optimal photocurrent

11 density of 0.06 mA cm⁻² at 0.3 V vs. RHE

. These chemical modification approaches have been summarized in Table 2. It can be observed that various surface modification methods can substantially enhance the PEC activity of various photoelectrodes. Noticeably, beyond improving photoactivity, the chemical modification also is of crucial significance in aiding our understanding of the interaction among the surface structures of photoelectrodes, electrolyte composition, and light irradiation. 3. Electrochemical and irradiation-based treatments 3.1 Electrochemical treatments Compared to chemical treatments, electrochemical treatments are not only simple and effective, but also can be performed in a controllable and reproducible manner. It is worth noting that the electrochemical reaction process could be precisely tuned and optimized by adjusting operation parameters. The electrochemical treatment methods have been summarized in Table 3. As a representative of photoelectrodes, anatase and rutile TiO₂ photoanodes have been modified with various methods. Several fabrication approaches, such as hydrogenation,^{67–70} plasma treatments,^{71–74} and chemical reduction,^{70,75} have been confirmed to create self-doped Ti³⁺-incorporated TiO₂. In addition to these methods, electrochemical reduction as an effective approach has been studied to improve the photophysical and photoelectrochemical properties of TiO₂. Song et al. prepared Ti³⁺-self-doped TiO₂ by reducing it at cathodic potential.⁷⁶

29 Owing to the enhanced light absorption, improved conductivity and increased charge

transfer rate, the self-doped TiO₂ exhibited an enhanced

11 photocurrent density of 0.525 mA cm⁻² at 0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl

, 3.1

10 times higher than that of pristine TiO₂

nanotubes. Significantly, another study demonstrated that the surface Ti₂OOH(OH) structure could be created with the assistance of electrochemical reduction at a bias more negative than the flat-band potential of TiO₂.⁷⁷ It is interesting to note that, unlike previously reported reduced surface states, the electrochemically proton-doped TiO₂ photoanode exhibited an increased surface state density (i.e., Ti–OH), which served to capture photogenerated electrons and suppress charge carrier recombination. The as-prepared TiO₂ exhibited

11 a photocurrent density of 0.70 mA cm⁻² at 0.4 V vs. Ag/AgCl

, two

41 times higher than that of bare TiO₂. In addition, it was proved that

electrochemical reduction could induce a type II structure, which enhances charge separation and transport⁷⁸ as well as creates self-doped Ti³⁺ accompanied by increasing the amount of Ovac in the TiO₂

photoanode.^{79–81} These studies validate that electrochemical treatments not only can affect the surface/interface properties of TiO₂, but also improve its bulk attributes from time to time. Wang et al. reported the electrochemical reduction of various metal oxide photoanodes, including WO₃, TiO₂, BiVO₄, and Fig. 6 (a) UV-visible spectra, (b) electrochemical impedance spectra, (c) Mott–Schottky curves, and (d) chopped J–V curves of bare BiVO₄ (I) and electrochemically reduced BiVO₄ (II) in 1 M KPi buffer with 0.2 M Na₂SO₃ (pH 9.5).⁴

³Reprinted with permission from ref. 4, copyright 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. (e and

f) TEM images for Fe₂O₃ nanorods (e) before and (f) after electrochemical reduction, showing more individual nanowires.¹⁵ Modified

¹with permission from ref. 15, copyright 2017 the

Owner Societies. ZnO.⁸² With the electrochemical treatments, Ovac could be controllably introduced in these photoanodes. As a result of the improved charge separation and injection properties, all these electrochemically treated photoanodes exhibited substantially improved PEC performance. Another study comprehensively explored the effects of electrochemical reduction on the photoactivity of BiVO₄ photoanodes.⁴ After electrochemical reduction

¹at 0.1 V vs. RHE for

only 150 s, the as-treated BiVO₄

¹exhibited a 10-fold increase in photocurrent density

. The significantly

¹enhanced PEC activity was attributed to the generation of

Ovac, which substantially facilitated bulk and surface charge separation (Fig. 6a–d). In another study, by imposing Mo-doped BiVO₄ photoanodes with various bias potentials, the contrary roles of as-created Ovac and quasioxygen vacancies were explored.⁸³ It was revealed that the Ovac generated

¹at a bias of ±1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl were detrimental to

PEC performance. In comparison, the quasioxygen vacancies formed

¹at a bias of ±0.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl

could increase charge carrier density and thus improve the

2photocurrent density to 4.3 mA cm⁻² at 1.5 V vs. RHE, 4.3

4times higher than that of untreated BiVO₄

photoanodes. For Fe₂O₃ photoanodes, the passivation of an Nb- and Sn- codoped Fe₂O₃ photoanode using an electrochemical activation method was realized.⁸⁴ After cathodic scanning three times, followed by anodic scanning three times, the as-treated Fe₂O₃

21exhibited a photocurrent density of 3.05 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, which is 1.6 times higher than that of bare

Fe₂O₃. The

10enhanced performance could be attributed to the

etching of surface dangling defects, the enriched Nb–O and Sn–O bonds that passivated the surface states, and the creation of Fe²⁺ and Ovac, which improved conductivity. In spite of the effectiveness of employing electrochemical reduction treatment to boost Fe₂O₃, the fundamental mechanism has not been well-understood. In view of this, Zhang et al. reported their work in which they constructed a new charge carrier dynamics model to explore surface-state mediated charge transfer and recombination.⁸⁵ Their results indicated that a new type of surface state, generated by the electrochemical reduction of Fe₂O₃. The new surface state is peaked at 0.1 VSCE which is 0.05 VSCE lower than the bare Fe₂O₃. Such shift in surface state favours the overlapping of unoccupied surface state of electrodes and filled levels of the redox couple, leading to increased charge transfer rate constant and enhanced surface water oxidation process. Additionally, the negatively shifted flat-band potential resulted in reduced electron density,

20leading to the reduction of the charge recombination rate. By contrast, the

report by Cibrev et al. pointed out

20that the enhanced PEC performance of

electrochemically reduced Fe₂O₃, an eight-fold increase in transient photocurrent, should be primarily ascribed to the n-type doping (e.g., the generation of Fe²⁺ or other polaron species) as well as to the change in film morphology (Fig. 6e and f).¹⁵ In addition to metal oxide photoelectrodes, III–V semiconductors can also be tailored using electrochemical treatments, and the reports of n-GaN and p-InP are two examples.^{86–88} Cao et al. reported the electrochemical etching of GaN-based thin films in an ethanol/hydrofluoric acid solution, with the intention of peeling off mesoporous InGaN/GaN layers.⁸⁶ After transferring the free-standing GaN-based layers on the n-Si substrate, the as-prepared photoanode exhibited a low turn-on voltage and high efficiency, which could be attributed to the increase of surface area and the relaxation of stress. The etched sample was reported with specific area (SBET) with 3.97 m² g⁻¹. In another study by Goryachev et al.,⁸⁷ the combination of cathodic scanning with open circuit/dark resting for the treatment of p-InP photocathodes allowed a 27-fold increase in photocurrent density. Moreover, with an

online electrochemical mass spectrometry technique, the influence of the employed electrolytes (i.e., H₂SO₄ or HCl) on the surface passivation was examined. It appeared that InO_x and InPO_x at the material surface could be generated when using H₂SO₄ as an electrolyte, while insoluble InCl was formed when using HCl as an electrolyte. The results indicated the need to evaluate faradaic efficiencies of reactions (e.g., HER and OER) using chemical-specific techniques. 3.2 Irradiation-based treatments Light/irradiation treatments are effective for modifying photoelectrodes, such as BiVO₄ and Fe₂O₃. This facile method exhibits simplicity and effectiveness and can avoid extra complex material processing steps in the optimization of practical PEC water splitting systems. Irradiation treatments for BiVO₄ photoanodes have been presented. For instance, after exposure to UV radiation in the air for 20 h, the surface of BiVO₄ could be cured.⁸⁹ UV light-induced passivation of surface states (e.g., dangling oxygen) reduced the charge trapping possibility, as reflected by an increased charge carrier density. Additionally, the cathodic shift of flat-band potential also contributed to the wide separation of

15quasi-Fermi levels of electrons and holes, which

benefited charge separation. As a result, the as-treated BiVO₄ exhibited a photo-current

2density of 1.1 mA cm⁻² at 1.2 V vs. RHE, 2.2 times higher than that of bare

BiVO₄, accompanied by a remarkable shift of onset potential (400 mV). Likewise, illuminating BiVO₄

1in a 0.1 M KPi buffer with AM 1.5 G

light under an open-circuit condition,⁵ known as photocharging, could induce a 4.4-fold

34increase in photocurrent density (3.3 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE for

as-illuminated BiVO₄)

11and a cathodic shift of onset potential

(300 mV). It was shown

33that the light-induced passivation of surface

states could result in an increased photovoltage by eliminating detrimental Fermi level pinning (Fig. 7a and b), responsible for the enhanced PEC performance. Their follow-up study further revealed that the pH of exposed electrolytes has a great influence on such photocharging effects.⁹ Illuminating BiVO₄ photoanodes at pH 10 under open-circuit conditions achieved

4a high photocurrent density of 4.3 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, while the photocurrent density of

processed BiVO₄ photoanodes at pH 4 remained unchanged, as 1.0

2mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE. It has been suggested that the

formation of Fig. 7 (a) Electronic band diagrams for BiVO₄

6under open-circuit conditions: dark, without illumination

; light, with illumination; SuS, with photocharging-induced surface states. (b) Equilibrium potentials and photovoltage values of BiVO₄ photoanodes under open-circuit conditions. Untreated sample in 0.1 M KPi electrolyte (H₂O) or a hole scavenger (H₂O₂), or photocharged sample in 0.1 M KPi electrolyte (H₂Ophoto).⁵

14Reprinted with permission from ref. 5, copyright 2016 The Royal Society of Chemistry. Fig. 8 (a)

)

12Band diagrams of BiVO₄ in the dark and under illumination before and after photocharging treatment, including the simplified photocharging mechanism model

.9

7Reprinted with permission from ref. 9, copyright 2017 The Royal Society of Chemistry. (b)

)

6Effect of photocharging on the bandgap region and the Fermi level of BiVO₄. Hydroxide (dark sample) and bismuth borate (photocharged sample) surface layers have a valence band closer to the Fermi level, introducing band bending at the surface. The band bending directs electrons toward the bulk of BiVO₄, leading to a reduced electron concentration in the space charge region for photocharged BiVO₄. The

6effect of photocharging on a BiVO₄ photoanode in contact with an electrolyte under illumination is shown. The additional band bending improves the charge separation, leading to the unrivaled PEC properties of the photocharged BiVO₄

13Reprinted with permission from ref. 22, copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. photoanodes in a

Na₂SO₃/KBi solution for only 10 min under illumination could induce considerable amounts of surface Ovac at the surfaces.⁹¹ Because these surface Ovac could contribute to the formation of interband states, as indicated by DFT calculations,

19improved charge separation efficiency at the BiVO₄- electrolyte interface

was observed. As a result, the photoetched BiVO₄

2exhibited a photocurrent density of 3.55 mA cm⁻² at 0.6 V vs. RHE, two times higher than that of

untreated BiVO₄. In a similar way, Yin

34et al. reported the use of sul²te to

treat BiVO₄ photoanodes under illumination.⁹³ It was revealed that the photogenerated holes could be consumed by sul²te ions that were oxidized to sulfate ions, while the remaining electrons could reduce V⁵⁺ to V⁴⁺ and thus indirectly create Ovac in BiVO₄ photoanodes, rather than directly invoking the formation of Ovac by reaction between holes and lattice oxygen. Remarkably, the self-reduced BiVO₄

2exhibited a photocurrent density of 3.18 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, compared to 1.44 mA cm⁻²

of the bare one. In addition to BiVO₄, other photoelectrodes, such as Fe₂O₃, WO₃, CuWO₄, and TiO₂, can also be distinct after irradiation treatments. Xie et al. investigated the influence of stability tests under long-term illumination on the PEC performance of Ti-doped Fe₂O₃.⁶ Their results indicated an improvement in photocurrent density and a cathodic shift of onset potential after illumination for 12–70 h. The enhanced photoactivity was attributed to the generation of FeOOH, which acted as a cocatalyst to facilitate hole transfer (Fig. 9a). In spite of this, in contrast to the generation of Fe²⁺, Deng et al. revealed that high-valence Fe(IV), created by illumination for 30–150 min

19at a bias of 1.23 V vs. RHE

and detected by O

1K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy

(XAS) (Fig. 9b), should be responsible for the

12active water oxidation intermediates and/or the change of surface

chemistry, which enhanced the electrocatalysis reaction (Fig. 8a), should be the reasons for the boosted PEC water splitting performance. Fiet et al. further investigated the surface chemistry of BiVO₄ during photocharging.²² Notably, by employing

6ambient-pressure X-ray Raman scattering

spectroscopy as a tool, the researchers demonstrated that a BiVO₄ surface could adsorb electrolyte anion species, generating

6a bismuth borate layer under illumination. The

light-induced heterojunction structure could lead to

33additional band bend in the space charge region

, thus resulting

6in an improved charge separation efficiency and a suppressed charge

recombination rate (Fig. 8b). Another study particularly employed

6intensity-modulated photocurrent spectroscopy (IMPS) to investigate the impact of

photocharging on both bulk and surface properties of BiVO₄.⁹⁰ With this technique, the 1.91-

7fold increase in the photocurrent density at 1.2 V vs. RHE has been ascribed to

a 45% improvement in surface charge transfer efficiency as well as to a 46% improvement in bulk charge separation efficiency. Additionally, two examples are presented to demonstrate the importance of an immersion solution during the photocharging process.^{91–93} As reported by Feng et al., immersing BiVO₄ Fig. 9 (a) XPS O 1s spectra of Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ photoanodes after 18 and 70 h stability testing and photoelectrochemically deposited FeOOH on Ti-doped Fe₂O₃.⁶

15Reprinted with permission from ref. 6, copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. (b

) O K-edge XAS spectra of pristine Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃ film (black curve), a photocharged Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃ film for 60 min (red curve), and a discharged Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃ film for 10 min (blue curve), respectively. (c) Schematic illustration of photocharging and discharging of Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃.²⁰ Modified

1with permission from ref. 20, copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.
increased **photocurrent density**

.²⁰ Importantly, the Fe(IV) species could restore to Fe(III) at light switch-off, pointing out the importance of exploring effective methods to preserve the created advantageous surface structures (Fig. 9c). Li

2et al. examined **the impact of** UV light exposure **on the PEC** performance of

WO₃ photoanodes.⁹⁴ After UV irradiation in the air for 4 h, the UV-radiated WO₃ exhibited

10a **photocurrent density of 0.69 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V** vs. RHE, 1.3 times **higher than that of**

untreated WO₃. Different from the occurrence of surface chemistry changes in photoelectrodes such as BiVO₄ (the formation of bismuth borate)²² and Fe₂O₃ (the generation of FeOOH or Fe(IV)),^{6,20} this work revealed that the light exposure only led to a high γ porosity and thus a

30**high specific surface area, which is** responsible for

the enhancement in photoactivity of WO₃. The surface area was not measured, the increase of surface area was determined by the porous formation on SEM images. In another work, Breuhaus-Alvarez et al. analyzed the interaction between the photochromism effect and PEC properties of WO₃ photoanodes.⁹⁵ It was demonstrated that the PEC decay of WO₃ should be related to the change of surface chemistry instead of to the dissolution of WO₃ itself, because the PEC performance of WO₃ photoelectrodes could be fully recovered by illumination under the open-circuit condition in the electrolyte. The report highlighted the importance of exploring the influence of intercalation/deintercalation behaviors on photoelectrodes. Photocharging treatments could also enhance the photoactivity of CuWO₄.⁹⁶ Prolonged exposure to 1 sun illumination for 15 h doubled the photocurrent density of CuWO₄

1**at 1.23 V vs. RHE. The** formation of a

heterostructured copper borate layer

6**at the CuWO₄ surface, which** facilitated **charge separation and suppressed** charge **recombination**, should be **the** reason for **the**

enhanced photoactivity. This work, together with other photocharging studies, suggests a complex metal oxide electrode/electrolyte interface,^{6,22} demanding more efforts to study interfacial properties for

realizing practical PEC water splitting. Additionally, pulsed laser irradiation could also be useful for the treatment of photoelectrodes, such as TiO₂ nanotubes.⁹⁷ The as-optimized TiO₂ photoanode exhibited a 1.

296-fold increase in photocurrent density, which **was** ascribed **to**

an increased charge carrier donor density and reduced deep trapping states. These irradiation-based treatments are summarized in Table 4. It can be seen that the surroundings of the photoelectrodes (e.g., air or electrolyte of different compositions) during the irradiation treatments have a significant influence on the resultant PEC responses. This indicates that beneficial Table 4 Various irradiation-based treatments of photoelectrodes for PEC applications

Materials	BiVO ₄	BiVO ₄	BiVO ₄	BiVO ₄	BiVO ₄	BiVO ₄	Ti-doped Fe ₂ O ₃
Fe ₂ TiO ₅ /Fe ₂ O ₃	WO ₃	CuWO ₄	TiO ₂	Post-treatment	Exposed to UV radiation (185 and 254 nm, 10 mW cm ⁻²) in the air for 20 h	Exposed to AM 1.5 light in	

38a 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) under

open-circuit condition for 10 h Exposed to

16AM 1.5 light in a 0.1 M phosphate–borate–acetate buffer

(

12pH 4, 7 or 10) under open-circuit condition for

10 h Illuminated by AM 1.5 light (1 sun) in a 0.5 M phosphate buffer under open-circuit condition for 3 h Illuminated by

16AM 1.5 G light in a 1 M potassium borate

containing 0.2 M Na₂SO₃ for 10 min without external bias Irradiated by

16AM 1.5 G light in a 0.2 M Na₂SO₃ solution for

40 min Exposed to 100 mW

7cm² light in 1 M NaOH at 1.23 V vs. RHE

for 70 h Exposed to 1 sun light

29in 1 M NaOH at 1.23 V vs. RHE

for 30–150 min Exposed to UV radiation (185 and 254 nm, 10 mW cm⁻²) in air for 4 h Exposed to

16 **AM 1.5 light in a 0.3 M borate buffer**

with 0.1 M NaClO₄ (pH 7.5) for 15 h under open-circuit condition Irradiated by a 248 nm pulsed laser when immersing in DI water for 60 s a Measured in

51 **M potassium borate buffer (pH 9.0) containing 0.2 M**

Na₂SO₃.

15 **Photocurrent density (a mA cm⁻² at b V vs**

. g; d times) 1.1; 1.2; RHE; 2.2 3.3; 1.23; RHE; 4.1 4.3; 1.23; RHE; 3.9 1.0; 1.23; RHE; 2.0 3.55a; 0.6; RHE; 2.0 3.18; 1.23; RHE; 2.2 0.32; 1.23; RHE; 1.2 2.02; 1.23; RHE; 1.2 0.69; 1.23; RHE; 1.3 0.06; 1.23; RHE; 2.0 0.36; 1.23; RHE; 1.6 Ref. 89 5 9 90 91 97 structures could be generated as the photochemical reactions transpire. On the flip side, it should be acknowledged that, in general, these structures may not be so stable when standing still in the electrolyte under dark/illumination conditions. Exploring advanced techniques to scrutinize the formation and diminishing of the structures and seeking effective methods by which to preserve them are therefore of crucial importance. 4. Post-annealing treatments Post-annealing modification is always an effective method for changing the surface and bulk properties of photoelectrodes. In this section, gas-based annealing under various atmospheres, such as H₂,^{7,98–107} NH₃,^{18,108–114} N₂,^{115–117} Ar,^{118,119} CO,¹²⁰ O₂,^{24,121,122} and (NH₄)₂S,¹²³ and other unique annealing techniques including solid-based annealing, employing S,¹²⁴ Mg¹⁶ and Zn,^{125,126} and same annealing^{11,127} as well as vacuum annealing^{21,128} have been introduced. It should be noted that this section does not include studies of the two-step annealing process, for instance, dip-coating followed by annealing,¹²⁹ to avoid complexity and confusion. 4.1 Gas annealing 4.1.1 Hydrogen annealing. Since the report of Chen et al. in 2011,¹³⁰ hydrogen annealing, also called hydrogenation, has been extensively explored for the treatment of TiO₂. In general, the enhanced photoactivity of hydrogenated TiO₂ can be ascribed to extra visible light absorption as well as to the optimized surface structures for reducing charge recombination and enhancing charge transfer.^{131–136} By contrast, Mohajernia et al. reported the annealing of TiO₂ in the Ar/H₂ atmosphere.¹⁰⁵ They found that the enhanced PEC performance should be dominantly attributed to strongly improved conductivity (i.e., semimetallic properties) as a result of the formation of Ovac and Ti³⁺, and slightly due to the enhancement in light absorption. Another study also reported the high conductivity of anatase TiO₂ post-annealed in the Ar/H₂ atmosphere.¹⁰⁶ High-temperature annealing at 650–850 °C not only induced the creation of Ovac and Ti³⁺ species but also rendered the generation of various phases, including Ti₂O₃, TiO, Ti₄O₇, and rutile TiO₂. Owing to limited interfacial charge recombination and a two-order enhancement in charge carrier density, the as-prepared TiO₂ photoanode exhibited a 2.4-fold increase in photocurrent density. Recently, Liu et al. compared the stability of hydrogenated and cathodically reduced TiO₂ photoanodes.¹⁰⁷ It was revealed that black TiO₂, resulting from hydrogenation treatment, was of high stability during an electrochemical oxidation test. In comparison, the same experiment could easily degenerate cathodically reduced TiO₂. The study of Liu et al. proves the reliability of post-annealing treatments. In addition to TiO₂, photoelectrodes such as WO₃, SrTiO₃, and ZnFe₂O₄ could be hydrogenated as well. Zhang et al. revealed that surface Ovac in WO₃, created by hydrogenation,

19 **could serve as recombination centers for photogenerated charge carriers**

.7 Interestingly, an ozone treatment was employed to cure these surface defects while preserving beneficial bulk Ovac (Fig. 10a). The treatments eventually resulted in a cathodic shift of

20.15 V and a photocurrent density of 2.25 mA cm⁻²

(Fig. 10b). The introduction of Ovac for intrinsic doping Fig. 10 (

7a) Schematic of the synthesis process of

H₂-annealed WO₃ (H-WO₃), H₂-annealed WO₃ followed by ozone treatment (HO-WO₃), and only ozone-treated WO₃ (O-WO₃), and (b) J-t curves of bare WO₃, H-WO₃, HO-WO₃, and O-WO₃ photoanodes

35 under AM 1.5 G at 1.23 V vs. RHE

.7

14 Reprinted with permission from ref. 7, copyright 2018 The Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) The crystal phase of

generated nitrated products from Nb₂O₅ depends on O₂ concentration and reaction temperature in the nitrogen annealing process.¹⁸

7 Reprinted with permission from ref. 18, copyright 2018 Elsevier Ltd. (d) Schematic illustration of two routes for the

formation of CuO from Cu₂O.²⁴

3 Reprinted with permission from ref. 24, copyright 2019 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

. could enhance the PEC activity of photoelectrodes. Also, hydrogen intercalation could provide an opportunity to tune the absorption characteristics and charge carrier behaviors in photoelectrodes. In the study by Gu et al., the synergetic effects between Ovac and intercalated hydrogen in WO₃ were investigated.¹⁰¹ Ovac was generated by hydrogenation treatment, while a subsequent two-step photoelectrochemical treatment was employed to realize hydrogen intercalation. Owing to boosted spatial charge separation efficiency resulting from oxygen-deficient defects and hydrogen-intercalated structures, the as-treated WO₃ photoanode

1 exhibited a high photocurrent density of 2.94 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE

. Hydrogenation could also drive the conversion of the WO₃ semiconductor to a semi-metallic compound,¹⁰² similar to that in the report of TiO₂.¹⁰⁶ The creation of Ovac, as a result of the

hydrogenation, not only increased conductivity but also narrowed the

42 **band structure of the photoanode**, allowing the

absorption of infrared light. The as-produced WO₃ exhibited a

8 **photocurrent density of 0.21 mA cm⁻² at 1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl**

under infrared light and a dark current

10 **density of 0.14 mA cm⁻²**. Yan **et al.** investigated the

synergistic effect of combining heterojunction with hydrogenation.⁹⁹ The as-prepared hydrogenated SrTiO₃/TiO₂ heterojunction exhibited

7a **photocurrent density of 0.48 mA cm⁻² at 1.0 V vs. SCE, 3.0 times of**

that of hydrogenated TiO₂ and 1.

15 **times of that of bare SrTiO₃/TiO₂**

. The enhanced

7 **PEC performance was due to the improved**

light absorption as well as to the increased charge carrier density. Kim et al. presented a series of strategies to process ZnFe₂O₄ photoanodes.¹⁰⁰ Hydrogen

5 **annealing at 200 °C for 2 h**

, as one of these strategies, improved the photocurrent density

5 **from 0.22 mA cm⁻² to 0.79 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE**, owing to the

generated Ovac, which increased majority carrier density. As summarized in Table 5, hydrogen annealing is an effective and robust approach for modifying various photoelectrodes. It is widely accepted that this treatment can easily introduce surface and/or bulk Ovac in photo-responsive materials, benefiting charge transport and transfer. 4.1.2 Annealing in other gases. Ammonia (NH₃) is a useful chemical for realizing the nitridation of various photoelectrodes, such as Ta₂O_xNy,¹⁰⁹ NbON,¹⁸ SrNbO₂N,¹⁰⁸ Ta₃N₅ (ref. 113) and TiO₂.¹¹⁴ Zhang et al. investigated the roles of internal electric fields and charge transport behaviors in type II Ta₂O_xNy/ TiO₂ heterostructures obtained by the ammonization of Ta₂O₅/ TiO₂.¹⁰⁹ The as-prepared

Ta₂O₅Ny/TiO₂ was endowed with improved hydrophilicity, decreased overpotential, as well as enhanced bulk charge separation and transport properties.

4As a result, the as-prepared photoanode exhibited a photocurrent density of 1.32 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE

, 12 times and 4.

193 times higher than that of bare

TiO₂ and Ta₂O₅/TiO₂ photo- electrodes, respectively. Another study explored the role of small amounts of oxygen mixed in ammonia.¹⁸ Interestingly, NbON (of Nb⁵⁺) could be generated with the presence of 0.05–0.40% oxygen during the nitridation of Nb₂O₅ (Nb⁵⁺). The mixed oxygen avoided the generation of unfavorable NbOxNy (Nb²⁺ and Nb³⁺) resulting from the reduction of Nb, proving the relevance between oxygen and the synthesis of oxynitrides (Fig. 10c). The study of Tamura et al. provided a special path for realizing the nitridation of photoelectrodes. Kodera et al. examined and compared the nitridation mechanisms of Sr₂Nb₂O₇ and SrNbO₃ to SrNbO₂N.¹⁰⁸ It was shown that the reaction between Sr₂Nb₂O₇ and NH₃ involved both the introduction of nitrogen and the extraction of oxygen, leading to lattice shrinkage and thus to a porous structure. In comparison, the nitridation of SrNbO₃ only introduced the N element into the photoelectrode, resulting in lattice expansion and phase separation. In another work, Ta₃N₅ photoanodes were prepared from Ta foil by an electrochemical anodization method followed by post-annealing in a N₂/NH₃ gas mixture.¹¹³ Annealing at a temperature of 1000 °C resulted in the highest photocurrent density, due to high crystallinity, broad light absorption, as well as the formation of a conductive interlayer between substrates. In addition to nitridation, ammonia annealing has the ability to motivate the chemical reduction of photoelectrodes without the introduction of elemental nitrogen.

30For example, Xu et al. demonstrated that the post-annealing of

TiO₂ in Ar/NH₃ could introduce Ovac and Ti³⁺ species in the photoanode without concurrent nitrogen doping,¹¹⁴ similar to the reported WO₃x by Liu et al.¹³⁷ As a consequence of enhanced visible light absorption, increased charge carrier density and reduced band potential, the as-obtained TiO₂ exhibited a four-fold enhancement in photocurrent density. The work of Xu et al. clarified the contribution and roles of exclusive Ovac without substitutional N dopants. Various gases, such as CO,¹²⁰ N₂,¹¹⁵ Ar,^{118,119} and O₂,^{24,121} can be used for efficient post-synthetic annealing treatments. Zhang et al. investigated H₂ or CO as an annealing gas for the treatment of BiVO₄.¹²⁰ The H₂ annealing primarily introduced hydrogen donors in BiVO₄, which improved minority carrier (i.e., holes) transport. In contrast, CO annealing exclusively induced Ovac, resulting in enhanced majority carrier (i.e., electrons) transport. Owing to the decreased polaron hopping barrier, the conductivity of the CO-annealed photoanode was improved, thus promoting PEC responses. It is extensively recognized that broadening light absorption and improving charge separation efficiency should be the main contributions of Ovac. In spite of this, Lv et al. especially explored the role of Ovac in charge injection.¹¹⁵ They introduced Ovac in TiO₂ by a one-step annealing treatment. Both the theoretical and experimental studies proved that Ovac chiefly increased electrochemically active surface area and reactivity, which accelerated hole transfer and reduced overpotential, finally resulting in a 5.8-fold increase in photocurrent density. Seo et al. explored a new route by which to fabricate high-performance BaNbO₂N photoanodes,^{118,119} which included moderate nitridation followed by post-annealing in Ar flow. The as-prepared Ar-annealed BaNbO₂N exhibited a 5-fold enhancement in PEC performance, which should be ascribed to increased crystallinity and reduced surface defect density. In comparison, post-annealing BaNbO₂N in NH₃ could increase crystallinity but unfavorably increased the

number of surface defects. Wang et al. annealed Cu₂O photocathodes in pure oxygen to generate copper vacancies in CuO (Fig. 10d).²⁴ Both theoretical and experimental results indicated the formation of metal vacancies in CuO. As a result of enhanced majority carrier density and Table 5 Various post-annealing treatments for photoelectrodes Photocurrent density Materials Post-treatment (a mA cm⁻² at b V vs. g; d times) Ref. Hydrogen annealing TiO₂ Annealed in H₂ (10% in Ar) at 450–550 C TiO₂ Annealed in H₂ (5% in Ar) at 650–850 C for 5–120 min WO₃ Annealed in H₂ (60 sccm) at 350 C for 10–60 min, followed by O₃ treatment (40 sccm) at 100 C for 10–60 min WO₃ Annealed in H₂ (5% in Ar, 100 mL min⁻¹) at 400

31C for 2 h, followed by

electrochemically oxidized at 1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl under light for 4 h, and then reduced

1at 0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl under

dark for 30 s WO₃ Annealed in pure H₂ (20 mL min⁻¹) at 250–450 C for 20–360 min SrTiO₃/TiO₂ Annealed in pure H₂ at 300–400 C for 30 or 60 min ZnFe₂O₄ Annealed under H₂ atmosphere at 200 C for 2 h Ammonia annealing Ta₂O₅/TiO₂ Annealed in NH₃ (100 mL min⁻¹) at 500 C for 30 min Nb₂O₅ Annealed in NH₃/O₂/N₂ (20% of NH₃ and 0–0.80% of O₂, 500 sccm) at 600–750 C for 1 h Sr₂Nb₂O₇ 0.5 g of Sr₂Nb₂O₇ heated under NH₃ (250 mL min⁻¹) at 900 C for 1–40 h SrNbO₃ 0.5 g of SrNbO₃ heated under NH₃ (250 mL min⁻¹) at 900 C for 1–40 h TaO_x Annealed in NH₃ (10% in N₂, 40 mL min⁻¹) at 600–1000 C for 3 h TiO₂ Annealed in NH₃ (100 sccm) at 450 C for 7.5 min

5followed by annealing in Ar at 450 C for 1–4 h Annealing in

other gases BiVO₄ Annealed in pure CO (20 sccm) at 300 C for 20 min TiO₂ Annealed under N₂ atmosphere at 800 C for 2 h BaNbO₂N Annealed in pure Ar (100 mL min⁻¹) at 400–800 C for 10–120 min Cu₂O Annealed in N₂/O₂ mixed gas (200 mL min⁻¹, ratio changed from 0 to 9)

43at 450 C for 2 h WO₃ Annealed in

controlled oxygen pressure (95 mTorr) at 500 C for 200–600 s Solid-based annealing Fe₂O₃ Annealed in the air at 400 C for 20 min with upstream S powder BiVO₄ Annealed in N₂ at 370

26C for 2 h and then in air at 450 C for 15 min

with PVDFc aside TiO₂ Ground with Mg powder, sealed and annealed at 500 C for 10 h, followed by washing, filtering and drying Ni-doped TiO₂ Annealed in Ar at 500 C for 4 h with upstream Zn particles heated at 700 C Si-doped TiO₂ Annealed in Ar at 500 C for 4 h with upstream Zn particles heated at 700 C CuO Heated in an enclosed graphitic cylinder (1 torr) at 300 C for 1 h C₃N₄ Ground with LiCl/KCl mixture,

5followed by annealing at 550 C for 24 h

under N₂, then washing with HCl or NH₃ solution Flame annealing CuFe₂O₄ TiO₂ Annealed in an oxygen-rich flame region (>980 C) for 16 min Repeatedly spin-coated with precursor solution ten times and then

heated in a n-butane flame for 25 s Vacuum annealing ZnO Annealed in vacuum (<1 mTorr) at 100–700 C for 1 h SnO₂/In₂O₃ Annealed in an ultrahigh vacuum system (5 × 10⁻⁸ Pa) a Measured under infrared light (>800 nm). b Measured

5 in 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 0.1 M

H₂O₂. c PVDF: polyvinylidene fluoride. 0.6; 1.2; RHE; 5.5 0.54; 1.23; RHE; 2.4 2.25; 1.23; RHE; 2.0 2.94; 1.23; RHE; 2.3 0.21a; 1.2; Ag/AgCl; N/A 0.48; 1.0; SCE; 1.5 0.79; 1.23; RHE; 3.6 1.32; 1.23; RHE; 4.3 0.6b; 1.23; RHE; N/A N/A N/A 0.25; 1.23; RHE; N/A 0.93; 1.23; RHE; 4.0 2.25; 1.23; RHE; 1.5 1.02; 1.23; RHE; 5.8 5.0; 1.23; RHE; 5.0 1.8; 0.1; RHE; 2.0 1.81; 1.23; RHE; 2.0 1.42; 1.23; RHE; 2.45 0.28; 1.23; RHE; 1.4 3.0; 1.0; RHE; 5.0 2.06; 0; Ag/AgCl; 2.2 1.78; 0; Ag/AgCl; 2.3 0.75; 0; RHE; 1.5 0.5; 0; RHE; 5.0 1.82; 0.4; RHE; 3.5 1.17; 1.23; RHE; 4.5 0.6; 1.23; RHE; 20 N/A 105 106 7 101 102 99 100 109 18 108 108 113 114 120 115 118 24 121 124 8 16 126 125 138 139 11 127 128 21 reduced charge transfer resistance, the as-prepared CuO exhibited

1a photocurrent density of 1.8 mA cm⁻² at 0.1 V vs

. SHE, two times higher than that of the CuO annealed in synthetic air. Another work by Cen et al. examined the influence of annealing WO₃ under controlled oxygen pressure (95 mTorr).¹²¹ The treatment enhanced charge carrier density, leading to

2a photocurrent density of 1.81 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, two times higher than that of untreated WO₃. 4.2

Other post-annealing treatments 4.2.1 Solid annealing. In addition to gas-based post-annealing treatment, the reaction between photoelectrodes and solid chemicals can be realized at a high temperature, known as solid-based annealing in the review. Gas annealing treatment seems to be a direct method to create unique surface structure to the materials; however, the choice of the gas is limited. Notably, the improper setup of gas annealing treatment is dangerous and fatal while using H₂ and NH₃. Besides, various pressure applied in the annealing system offers different performance of the photoelectrode. In the following content, solid-based annealing, vacuum annealing, and flame annealing are introduced in addition to gas-based post-annealing treatment. These studies have been summarized in Table 5.

36 For example, Zhang et al. investigated the PEC properties of S-doped Fe₂O₃ nanorods

.¹²⁴ Elemental S was doped in Fe₂O₃ by employing S powder upstream during the annealing process, similar to tube-furnace chemical vapor deposition. As a result of the enhanced electron mobility

1 by the nonmetal doping, the S-doped Fe₂O₃

7 exhibited a photocurrent density of 1.42 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE. Rohloff et al. applied a

low-cost solid–vapor reaction route to incorporate elemental F into BiVO₄ by annealing the photoanode close to F-rich organic polymers (PVDF) in an N₂ atmosphere (Fig. 11a).⁸ This F-modification treatment primarily affected the charge transfer efficiency at the BiVO₄/electrolyte interface by increasing catalytic efficiency. In another study, by Xu et al., metal-reduction methods—safer than hydrogenation—were used to reduce TiO₂ photoanodes.¹⁶ Among various metals such as Zn, Al, and Mg (Fig. 11b),

24 Fig. 11 (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of

F-incorporated BiVO₄.⁸ Reprinted

1 with permission from ref. 8, copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (b and c

)

14 UV-visible absorption spectra and corresponding digital photographs of

colored TiO₂ reduced by (b) various metals and (c) various dosages of Mg.¹⁶ Reprinted

1 with permission from ref. 16, copyright 2017 The Royal Society of Chemistry

. annealing with Mg powder could controllably alter the color of TiO₂ from light blue, to dark blue, and to black (Fig. 11c). The highest photocurrent density of the Mg-reduced TiO₂ photo- anode attained 3.1

7 mA cm⁻² at 1.0 V vs. RHE, 7 times higher than that of the bare

one, owing to the generation of Ovac and Ti³⁺ species. Dong et al. explored co-doped strategies (Si/Ti³⁺ or Ni/Ti³⁺) for improving the conductivity and charge transport of TiO₂.^{125,126} In one study, after Zn metal reduction in argon at 700 °C for 4 h, the resultant black Si-doped TiO₂

1 exhibited a photocurrent density of 1.78 mA cm⁻² at 0 V vs. Ag/AgCl, 5.1 and

1.9

10 times higher than that of bare TiO₂

and black Si-free TiO₂, respectively.¹²⁵ Banerjee

11 et al. reported the formation of an amorphous

Cu₂xO overlayer for the passivation of CuO.¹³⁸ By annealing CuO in an enclosed graphitic cylinder at 300 °C for 30–120 min, the as-prepared Cu₂xO/Cu₂O/CuO exhibited a

photocurrent density of 0.75 mA cm⁻² at 0 V vs. RHE, 1.5 times higher than that of bare

CuO. The enhanced photoactivity and stability were ascribed

to the formation of a type II hetero-junction and the

beneficial electron trapping by the amorphous Cu₂O overlayer. In another study, Wang et al. annealed carbon nitride with the presence of salts, including CuCl₂, KCl, and LiCl.¹³⁹ This salt-melt method not only introduced free CuCl species that could act as type II heterostructure but only incorporated coordinated Cu atoms, which enhanced visible light absorption, resulting in a significantly improved PEC performance. 4.2.2 Flame annealing. As a special post-annealing strategy, flame treatments are endowed with the advantages of high temperature (easily to reach 1000 °C), fast heating rate, and short process time. These studies have been summarized in Table 5. Park et al. compared the effects of flame annealing with furnace annealing on a photocathode CuFe₂O₄ (Fig. 12a and b).¹¹ The flame-treated CuFe₂O₄ exhibited

a photocurrent density of 1.82 mA cm⁻² at 0.4 V vs. RHE, 3.5 times higher than that of furnace-annealed CuFe₂O₄. The

enhanced photoactivity was attributed to reduced bandgap which allowed more light absorption, increased porosity which enlarged the electro-chemically active surface area (Fig. 12c and d) by a factor of 2.78, and eliminated Ovac which lowered undesirable charge recombination. Chen et al. explored the ex situ doping of TiO₂ by spin-coating W and Sn dopants on the photoanode followed by flame annealing.¹²⁷ The incorporation depth of Sn and W ions could be tuned by flame treatment time. Owing to the easy activation of water molecules by the co-existed W and Sn atoms, the flame-treated TiO₂ exhibited a 4.5-fold improvement in photoactivity, along with a cathodic shift of onset potential (450 mV). 4.2.3 Vacuum annealing. In addition to gas annealing, vacuum annealing sometimes results in unique changes of photoelectrodes. These studies have been summarized in Table 5. For example, unfavorable hydroxyl groups at the surface of ZnO could be eliminated by post-annealing the photoanodes in a vacuum below 1 mTorr at 200–700 °C.¹²⁸ Increasing the post-annealing temperature to 700 °C could induce the generation of Ovac, which acted as shallow donors and increased free charge carrier density. Consequently, the as-annealed ZnO exhibited Fig. 12 (a and b) Schematic illustration of CuFe₂O₄ on FTO annealed by (a) furnace annealing conditions with the formation of dense film and (b) flame annealing conditions with the formation of the porous structure. (c and d) Top and cross-section SEM images of the (c) furnace-annealed CuFe₂O₄ and (d) flame-annealed CuFe₂O₄.¹¹ Modified

1 with permission from ref. 11, copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (e)
A series of in situ TEM images of

indium metal/SnO₂ nanowires during annealing in an ultra-high vacuum system.²¹

35 Reprinted with permission from ref. 21, copyright 2018 Elsevier

7a photocurrent density of 0.6 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE, 20 times higher than that of

untreated ZnO. In another report by Liu et al.,²¹ the SnO₂/In₂O₃ heterojunction was heated in the vacuum range from 100 Pa in the air to 5 × 10⁻⁸ Pa, which determined the amount of Ovac. In situ TEM observation was performed to explore detailed changes during annealing (Fig. 12e). The creation of Ovac in the vacuum-annealed SnO₂/In₂O₃ photoelectrode should be responsible for the 1.6-fold increase in H₂ production.

5. Summary and outlook The preparation of efficient and robust semiconductor photoelectrodes by facile post-synthetic treatments could accelerate the potentially industrial PEC water splitting application for the development of sustainable energy conversion technology. This review summarizes advances in post-synthetic treatments for PEC applications reported in this field in the past few years, focusing on the details of the various post-treatment methods and the resultant improved PEC performance. Various effective strategies, of which most are surface modification methods, have also been introduced. Despite that the great progress has been made in developing post-synthetic treatment methods for improving photoelectrodes, there is still considerable distance to go before the industrial-scale application of PEC water splitting. The following is a detailed discussion of current limitations, challenges and prospects for future development in the field of post-treatments of photoelectrodes

24for PEC water splitting technology. First, **it is** worthy **to** notice that **the**

PEC stability of the resultant post-treated photoelectrodes is, from time to time, not satisfactory. The photoactivity of chemically treated photoelectrodes, such as borate-treated BiVO₄,¹⁹ can be reversed or easily undermined alongside the PEC measurement process in just a few hours. Another typical example is that illuminating BiVO₄ photoanodes under open-circuit conditions can enhance PEC photoactivity, but the photoanode standing still in the electrolyte overnight can undesirably lead to the receding of photocurrent density to its initial level.⁵ Thus, it is of significance for researchers to explore the intrinsic properties of post-treatment-induced surface structure changes and think of useful methods by which to avoid the diminishing of these functional structures, such as by coating protective/passivation/ cocatalyst layers, in the pursuit of long-term stability. Although chemical treatment is a facile, efficient and low-cost method

28to change the defect chemistry at the surface and in the bulk of
semiconductor, **it**

has an obvious limitation on material choice. The main target for chemical reduction method is to create oxygen vacancies on the surface of the metal oxide. Metal ions that are easily to be reduced may not be applicable. The misuse of chemical reduction method can damage the crystallinity of the materials. As reported, electrochemical treatments on the photoelectrode can be quantitatively controlled, so that researchers could modify the material in a more precise scale. However, the operational parameters, such as an applied potential and the corresponding electrolyte, were complex and totally different unless same material has been used. Such unclear and limited record on electrochemical treatments can restrict the progress by using electrochemical treatments. An in-depth investigation, e.g., in situ X-ray diffraction pattern analysis and in situ Raman spectrometer analysis, of photoelectrodes using electrochemical treatments can help scientists to understand the kinetics and dynamics of electrochemical treatments. Irradiation-based treatment has an obvious limitation on its slow treatment process. In the normal cases, it requires 10 hour irradiation. Some methodologies require the treatment process work under open-circuit condition. A 248 nm pulse laser can effectively reduce the irradiation duration from hours to seconds, but it is a high-cost solution. Post-annealing treatment is a very high-cost method. During the process, it consumes a lot of gas.

Some preparation method is dangerous, for instance, hydrogen annealing treatment. The leak of gas into the H₂-filled furnace tube can cause explosion. Solid-based annealing may cause contamination to the tube due to evaporation of solid sample. Frequent replacement of quartz tubes can greatly increase the cost. Post-synthetically modified surface structure changes in photoelectrodes can directly interact with electrolytes, in a manner similar to the electrolysis of water. As an important component in a PEC system, electrolytes and their impact on PEC performance undeniably cannot be ignored. It has been reported that the composition and chemical properties (e.g., pH) of electrolytes have tremendous impacts.^{9,22,140–145} For example, the relation between PEC performance and the variation of electrolyte composition has been investigated for BiVO₄ photoanodes, proving that the pH and cation size significantly influence the solar water oxidation reaction.¹⁴¹ In addition, during the PEC process, various intermediates may be generated when employing different electrolytes, and the one that primarily influences OER/HER efficiencies should be explored. It is usually envisioned that post-synthetic treatments for surface modification should only alter the surface properties of metal oxide photoanodes. However, from time to time, the bulk properties,

11 such as light absorption and charge separation

efficiencies, could be improved or impaired. It is of interest and significance to explore the reasons why surface structural changes or surface state alternations have such great influence on these bulk structures, in order to gain an in-depth understanding of the structure–property–performance relationships. A large number of post-treatments exclusively influence the surface physicochemical properties of photoelectrodes. Thus, it is important to develop cutting-edge techniques by which to precisely characterize surface chemistry changes; for example, the destruction of chemical bonds and the formation of new bonds is of critical importance for a deep understanding of the roles of surface atomic arrangements. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the illumination involved during PEC measurements makes it harder to capture such changes, as some alternations might be easily reverted back to initial states. Thus, in situ techniques that provide opportunities to observe these possibly generated structure changes should be promoted. The development of such techniques can also broaden our understanding of the relation between photocorrosion and irradiation treatments, a field that is inadequately studied at the moment, because of a lack of understanding of the detailed impact of irradiation on photoelectrode surfaces and bulk structures. Without such knowledge, applying these post-treatments to improve the performance and stability of photoelectrodes in a pertinent manner seems to be difficult. Accordingly, in addition to photo-responsive semiconductor materials, an in-depth understanding of the photophysical (e.g., light absorption, and charge formation, and charge separation) and photoelectrochemical (e.g., photoredox activity, electrochemical resistance, and diffusion behaviors) properties of solid/solid and solid/liquid interfaces are suggested to be achieved by utilizing in situ characterization techniques under dark and light conditions, which will definitely provide

23 new insights into the advancement of next-generation PEC semiconductor materials for commercial applications in the emerging field of electrocatalytic, photocatalytic, and photoelectrocatalytic technologies. Conflicts of interest There are no conflicts to declare

4 Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge financial support from the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (grant no. 21203518, F-CityU106/ 18 and 9048121), City University of Hong Kong (grant no. 9667229, 7005289, 7005580, 7005720, 9680208, 9667213 and 9052029), and Shenzhen Science

Technology and Innovation Commission (grant no. R-IND12302 and R-IND12303), as well as National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no

. 51701159). X. Zou would like to thank the

25National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 52022054 and 51974181), the Shanghai Rising-Star Program (19QA1403600), and the Program for Professor of Special Appointment (Eastern Scholar) at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning (TP2019041) for

financial support. References 1 J. Xiao, F. Zhao, J. Zhong, Z. Huang, L. Fan, L. Peng, S.-F. Zhou and G. Zhan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, 402, 126163. 2 L. Shi, W. Zhou, Z. Li, S. Koul, A. Kushima and Y. Yang, *ACS Nano*, 2018, 12, 6335–6342. 3 K. Zhang, S. Ravishankar, M. Ma, G. Veerappan, J. Bisquert, F. Fabregat-Santiago and J. H. Park, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 7, 1600923. 4 S. Wang, P. Chen, J. H. Yun, Y. Hu and L. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 8500–8504. 5 B. J. Trześniewski and W. A. Smith, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 2919–2926. 6 J. Xie, P. Yang, X. Liang and J. Xiong, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 1, 2769–2775. 7 J. Zhang, X. Chang, C. Li, A. Li, S. Liu, T. Wang and J. Gong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 3350–3354. 8 M. Rohloff, B. r. Anke, O. Kasian, S. Zhang, M. Lerch, C. Scheu and A. Fischer, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 11, 16430–16442. 9 B. J. Trześniewski, I. A. Digdaya, T. Nagaki, S. Ravishankar, I. Herraiz-Cardona, D. A. Vermaas, A. Longo, S. Gimenez and W. A. Smith, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, 10, 1517–1529. 10 M. Wang, X. Wu, K. Huang, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, J. He, H. Chen, J. Ding and S. Feng, *Nanoscale*, 2018, 10, 6678–6683. 11 S. Park, J. H. Baek, L. Zhang, J. M. Lee, K. H. Stone, I. S. Cho, J. Guo, H. S. Jung and X. Zheng, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, 7, 5867–5874. 12 J. Xiao, L. Fan, F. Zhao, Z. Huang, S.-F. Zhou and G. Zhan, *J. Catal.*, 2020, 381, 139–149. 13 P. Varadhan, H.-C. Fu, D. Priante, J. R. D. Retamal, C. Zhao, M. Ebaid, T. K. Ng, I. Ajia, S. Mitra, I. S. Roqan, B. S. Ooi and J.-H. He, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, 17, 1520–1528. 14 J. K. Kim, Y. Cho, M. J. Jeong, B. Levy-Wendt, D. Shin, Y. Yi, D. H. Wang, X. Zheng and J. H. Park, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, 11, 933–940. 15 D. Cibrev, M. Tallarida, C. Das, T. Lana-Villarreal, D. Schmeisser and R. Gómez, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, 19, 21807–21817. 16 J. Xu, Z. Tian, G. Yin, T. Lin and F. Huang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 1047–1051. 17 Y. He, P. Wang, J. Zhu, Y. Yang, Y. Liu, M. Chen, D. Cao and X. Yan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 11, 37322–37329. 18 S. Tamura, K. Ueno and K. Hato, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2019, 112, 221–225. 19 Q. Meng, B. Zhang, L. Fan, H. Liu, M. Valvo, K. Edström, M. Cuartero, R. De Marco, G. A. Crespo and L. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 19027–19033. 20 J. Deng, X. Lv and J. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, 122, 29268–29273. 21 W.-T. Liu, B.-H. Wu, Y.-T. Lai, N.-H. Tai, T.-P. Perng and L.-J. Chen, *Nano Energy*, 2018, 47, 18–25. 22 N. J. Firet, A. Venugopal, M. A. Blommaert, C. Cavallari, C. J. Sahle, A. Longo and W. A. Smith, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 7453–7462. 23 X. Feng, R. Li, M. Wang and Y. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 11180–11188. 24 Z. Wang, L. Zhang, T. U. Schüllli, Y. Bai, S. A. Monny, A. Du and L. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 17604–17609. 25 A. Y. Ru Ng, B. Boruah, K. F. Chin, J. M. Modak and H. S. Soo, *ChemNanoMat*, 2020, 6, 185–203. 26 F. Dawood, M. Anda and G. Sha?ullah, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, 45, 3847–3869. 27 K. Maeda and K. Domen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 1, 2655–2661. 28 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, 238, 37–38. 29 Y. Yang, S. Niu, D. Han, T. Liu, G. Wang and Y. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 7, 1700555. 30 J. Zhao, H. Yin, T. Lim, H. Xie, H.-Y. Hsu, F. Forouzan and A. J. Bard, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2016, 163, D506–D514. 31 J. H. Kim and J. S. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1806938. 32 Y. Chen, X. Feng, Y. Liu, X. Guan, C. Burda and L. Guo, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, 5, 844–866. 33 T. Lopes, P. Dias, L. Andrade and A. Mendes, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2014, 128, 399–410. 34 B. Yao, J. Zhang, X. Fan, J. He and Y. Li, *Small*, 2019, 15, 1803746. 35 H.-Y. Liu, C. C. Cody, J. A. Jacob-Dolan, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, 5, 3195–3202. 36 Z. Zhang and J. T. Yates Jr, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 5520–5551. 37 L. Zheng, X. Ye, X. Deng, Y. Wang, Y. Zhao, X. Shi and H. Zheng, *ACS Sustainable Chem.*

Eng., 2020, 8, 15906–15914. 38 L. Shi, Z. Li, T. D. Dao, T. Nagao and Y. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 12978–12984. 39 J. Li, L. Guo, N. Lei, Q. Song and Z. Liang, *ChemElectroChem*, 2017, 4, 2852–2861. 40 A. Liao, H. He, Z. Fan, G. Xu, L. Li, J. Chen, Q. Han, X. Chen, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, *J. Catal.*, 2017, 352, 113–119. 41 R. Liu, X. Peng, X. Han, C. H. Mak, K.-C. Cheng, S. P. Santoso, H.-H. Shen, Q. Ruan, F. Cao and T. Y. Edward, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2021, 887, 115167. 42 J. Li, Z. Liang, L. Guo, N. Lei and Q. Song, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, 223, 93–96. 43 M. Kim, B. Lee, H. Ju, J. Y. Kim, J. Kim and S. W. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1903316. 44 J. U. Kim, H. S. Han, J. Park, W. Park, J. H. Baek, J. M. Lee, H. S. Jung and I. S. Cho, *J. Catal.*, 2018, 365, 138–144. 45 Y. Liu, Y. Yang, Q. Liu, H. He, W. Liu, D. Meng, Y. Li, W. Li and J. Li, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, 43, 208–218. 46 Q. Yang, J. Du, J. Li, Y. Wu, Y. Zhou, Y. Yang, D. Yang and H. He, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, 12, 11625–11634. 47 Y. Peng, H. Wu, M. Yuan, F.-F. Li, X. Zou, Y. H. Ng and H.-Y. Hsu, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2021, 5, 2284–2293. 48 X. Long, F. Li, L. Gao, Y. Hu, H. Hu, J. Jin and J. Ma, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, 11, 4094–4101. 49 Y. Yang, M. Forster, Y. Ling, G. Wang, T. Zhai, Y. Tong, A. J. Cowan and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 3403–3407. 50 Q. Wu, D. Meng, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhao, Q. Bu, D. Wang, X. Zou, Y. Lin, S. Li and T. Xie, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, 782, 943–951. 51 D. Cao, W. Luo, J. Feng, X. Zhao, Z. Li and Z. Zou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 752–759. 52 X. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Yi, J. Ye and D. Wang, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, 7, 5420–5429. 53 D. Zheng, X. He, W. Xu and X. Lu, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2017, 96, 354–359. 54 Y. Mao, C. Ning, N. Zhang, Y. Hu, M. Li, H. Yang, S. Chen, S. Su and E. Liang, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, 165, H799–H803. 55 Z. Ma, A. Jaworski, J. George, A. Rokicinska, T. Thersleff, T. M. Budnyak, G. Hautier, A. J. Pell, R. Dronskowski, P. Kuśtrowski and A. Slabon, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, 124, 152–160. 56 M. A. Rahman, J. P. Thomas and K. T. Leung, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1701234. 57 J. Deng, X. Lv, K. Nie, X. Lv, X. Sun and J. Zhong, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 4062–4069. 58 G. Liu, Y. Zhao, N. Li, R. Yao, M. Wang, Y. Wu, F. Zhao and J. Li, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, 307, 197–205. 59 T. K. Sahu, A. K. Shah, A. Banik and M. Qureshi, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, 2, 4325–4334. 60 M. Favaro, F. F. Abdi, M. Lamers, E. J. Crumlin, Z. Liu, R. van de Krol and D. E. Starr, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2018, 122, 801–809. 61 Y. Liu, B. R. Wygant, O. Mabayoje, J. Lin, K. Kawashima, J.-H. Kim, W. Li, J. Li and C. B. Mullins, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, 10, 12639–12650. 62 J. Xu, Z. Hu, J. Zhang, W. Xiong, L. Sun, L. Wan, R. Zhou, Y. Jiang and C.-S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 25230–25236. 63 R. Chong, Y. Du, Z. Chang, Y. Jia, S. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Zhou and D. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, 250, 224–233. 64 H.-Q. Chen, L.-Y. Lin and S.-L. Chen, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 1, 6089–6100. 65 A. Brayek, S. Chaguetmi, M. Ghoul, I. B. Assaker, R. Chtourou, P. Decorse, P. Beaunier, S. Nowak, F. Mammeri and S. Ammar, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 11785–11798. 66 Y. Li, J. Liu, X. Li, X. Wan, R. Pan, H. Rong, J. Liu, W. Chen and J. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 11, 27170–27177. 67 N. Liu, C. Schneider, D. Freitag, M. Hartmann, U. Venkatesan, J. Müller, E. Spiecker and P. Schmuki, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, 14, 3309–3313. 68 M. Chiesa, M. C. Paganini, S. Livraghi and E. Giamello, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 9435–9447. 69 C. Zhang, R. Liu, C. H. Mak, X. Zou, H.-H. Shen, S.-Y. Leu, L. Ji and H.-Y. Hsu, *J. Photonics Energy*, 2018, 8, 021001. 70 S. Hoang, S. Guo, N. T. Hahn, A. J. Bard and C. B. Mullins, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 26–32. 71 Y. Yan, M. Han, A. Konkin, T. Koppe, D. Wang, T. Andreu, G. Chen, U. Vetter, J. R. Morante and P. Schaaf, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 12708–12716. 72 Z. Chen, H.-Y. Hsu, M. Arca and K. S. Schanze, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2014, 119, 7198–7209. 73 Y. Peng, Q. Ruan, C. H. Lam, F. Meng, C.-Y. Guan, S. P. Santoso, X. Zou, T. Y. Edward, P. K. Chu and H.-Y. Hsu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, 870, 159376. 74 N. Liu, V. Häublein, X. Zhou, U. Venkatesan, M. Hartmann, M. Mačković, T. Nakajima, E. Spiecker, A. Osvet, L. Frey and P. Schmuki, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, 15, 6815–6820. 75 H. Cui, W. Zhao, C. Yang, H. Yin, T. Lin, Y. Shan, Y. Xie, H. Gu and F. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 8612–8616. 76 J. Song, M. Zheng, X. Yuan, Q. Li, F. Wang, L. Ma, Y. You, S. Liu, P. Liu, D. Jiang, L. Ma and W. Shen, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, 52, 6976–6986. 77 H. Zhu, M. Zhao, J. Zhou, W. Li, H. Wang, Z. Xu, L. Lu, L. Pei, Z. Shi, S. Yan, Z. Li and Z. Zou, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, 234, 100–108. 78 Y. Fang, Y. Ma and X. Wang, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2018, 39, 438–445. 79 T. Li and D. Ding, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2020, 167, 066514. 80 I. Constantinou, T. H. Lai, H. Y. Hsu, S. H. Cheung, E. D. Klump, K. S. Schanze, S. K. So and F. So, *Adv. Electron. Mater.*, 2015, 1, 1500167. 81 J. Liu, M. Dai, J. Wu, Y. Hu, Q. Zhang, J. Cui, Y. Wang, H. H. Tan and Y. Wu, *Sci. Bull.*, 2018, 63, 194–202. 82 G. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Ling, H. Wang, X. Lu, Y.-C. Pu, J. Z. Zhang, Y. Tong and Y. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 2849–2855. 83 Y. Bu, J. Tian, Z. Chen, Q. Zhang, W. Li, F. Tian and J. P. Ao, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, 4, 1601235. 84 H.

Zhang, J. H. Park, W. J. Byun, M. H. Song and J. S. Lee, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 10436–10444. 85 S. Zhang, Z. Zhang and W. Leng, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, 22, 7835–7843. 86 D. Cao, H. Xiao, Q. Gao, X. Yang, C. Luan, H. Mao, J. Liu and X. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 11504–11510. 87 A. Goryachev, L. Gao, R. P. J. van Veldhoven, J. E. M. Haverkort, J. P. Hofmann and E. J. M. Hensen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, 20, 14242–14250. 88 H.-Y. Hsu, L. Ji, M. Du, J. Zhao, T. Y. Edward and A. J. Bard, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, 220, 205–210. 89 T. Li, J. He, B. Peña and C. P. Berlinguette, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 1769–1772. 90 E. Y. Liu, J. E. Thorne, Y. He and D. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, 9, 22083–22087. 91 S. Feng, T. Wang, B. Liu, C. Hu, L. Li, Z. J. Zhao and J. Gong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 2044–2048. 92 X. Zou, L. Ji, H.-Y. Hsu, K. Zheng, Z. Pang and X. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 12724–12732. 93 X. Yin, J. Li, L. Du, F. Zhan, K. Kawashima, W. Li, W. Qiu, Y. Liu, X. Yang, K. Wang, Y. Ning and C. B. Mullins, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2020, 3, 4403–4410. 94 T. Li, J. He, B. Peña and C. P. Berlinguette, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, 8, 25010–25013. 95 A. G. Breuhaus-Alvarez, J. L. DiMeglio, J. J. Cooper, C. R. Lhermitte and B. M. Bartlett, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, 123, 1142–1150. 96 A. Venugopal and W. A. Smith, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2019, 215, 175–191. 97 Y. Xu, M. A. Melia, L.-k. Tsui, J. M. Fitz-Gerald and G. Zangari, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, 121, 17121–17128. 98 S. K. Cushing, F. Meng, J. Zhang, B. Ding, C. K. Chen, C.-J. Chen, R.-S. Liu, A. D. Bristow, J. Bright, P. Zheng and N. Wu, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 1742–1748. 99 S. Yan, T. Liu, Y. Zhang, D. Sun, X. Li, G. Xie, C. Feng and L. Xu, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, 807, 213–219. 100 J. H. Kim, Y. J. Jang, S. H. Choi, B. J. Lee, J. H. Kim, Y. B. Park, C.-M. Nam, H. G. Kim and J. S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 12693–12700. 101 Z. Gu, L. Zhang, B. Wen, X. An, H. Lan, L.-M. Liu, T. Chen, J. Zhang, X. Cao, J. Tang, H. Liu and J. Qu, *Nano Energy*, 2018, 53, 887–897. 102 Y. Ma, B. Feng, J. Lang, F. Wang and Y. H. Hu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, 123, 25833–25843. 103 C. Dong, Y. Wang, H. Wang, C. S. K. Lin, H.-Y. Hsu and S.-Y. Leu, *Energy Procedia*, 2019, 158, 918–925. 104 T.-H. Lai, I. Constantinou, C. M. Grand, E. D. Klump, S. Baek, H.-Y. Hsu, S.-W. Tsang, K. S. Schanze, J. R. Reynolds and F. So, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 2433–2440. 105 S. Mohajernia, S. Hejazi, A. Mazare, N. T. Nguyen and P. Schmuki, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 12406–12411. 106 Y. Xu, Q. Lin, R. Ahmed, E. R. Hoglund and G. Zangari, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, 410, 59–68. 107 N. Liu, C. Schneider, D. Freitag, E. M. Zolnhofer, K. Meyer and P. Schmuki, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 13810–13814. 108 M. Kodera, Y. Moriya, M. Katayama, T. Hisatomi, T. Minegishi and K. Domen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, 8, 1–10. 109 H. Zhang, L. Ma, J. Ming, B. Liu, Y. Zhao, Y. Hou, Z. Ding, C. Xu, Z. Zhang and J. Long, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, 243, 481–489. 110 C. H. Mak, X. Huang, R. Liu, Y. Tang, X. Han, L. Ji, X. Zou, G. Zou and H.-Y. Hsu, *Nano Energy*, 2020, 104752. 111 H.-Y. Hsu, H.-H. Hsieh, H.-Y. Tuan and J.-L. Hwang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2010, 94, 955–959. 112 S. Rao, X. Zou, S. Wang, T. Shi, Y. Lu, L. Ji, H.-Y. Hsu, Q. Xu and X. Lu, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2019, 166, D427. 113 P. K. Das, M. Arunachalam, K. R. Subhash, Y. J. Seo, K.-S. Ahn, J.-S. Ha and S. H. Kang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, 49, 15023–15033. 114 Y. Xu, R. Ahmed, D. Klein, S. Cap, K. Freedy, S. McDonnell and G. Zangari, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, 414, 242–249. 115 X. Lv, L. Tao, M. Cao, X. Xiao, M. Wang and Y. Shen, *Nano Energy*, 2018, 44, 411–418. 116 C. H. Mak, X. Han, M. Du, J.-J. Kai, K. F. Tsang, G. Jia, K.-C. Cheng, H.-H. Shen and H.-Y. Hsu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, 9, 4454–4504. 117 R. Irani, I. Y. Ahmet, J.-W. Jang, S. P. Berglund, P. Plate, C. Höhn, R. Böttger, S. W. Schmitt, C. Dubourdieu, S. Lardhi, L. Cavallo, M. Harb, P. Bogdanoff, R. van de Krol and F. F. Abdi, *Sol. RRL*, 2020, 4, 1900290. 118 J. Seo, M. Nakabayashi, T. Hisatomi, N. Shibata, T. Minegishi, M. Katayama and K. Domen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 493–502. 119 J. Seo, T. Hisatomi, M. Nakabayashi, N. Shibata, T. Minegishi, M. Katayama and K. Domen, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1800094. 120 W. Zhang, L. Song, J. Cen and M. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, 123, 20730–20736. 121 J. Cen, Q. Wu, D. Yan, W. Zhang, Y. Zhao, X. Tong, M. Liu and A. Orlov, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 899–905. 122 H.-Y. Hsu, L. Ji, C. Zhang, C. H. Mak, R. Liu, T. Wang, X. Zou, S.-Y. Leu and E. T. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, 6, 11552–11560. 123 H. Lim, J. L. Young, J. F. Geisz, D. J. Friedman, T. G. Deutsch and J. Yoon, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 1–9. 124 R. Zhang, Y. Fang, T. Chen, F. Qu, Z. Liu, G. Du, A. M. Asiri, T. Gao and X. Sun, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, 5, 7502–7506. 125 Z. Dong, D. Ding, T. Li and C. Ning, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 5652–5660. 126 Z. Dong, D. Ding, T. Li and C. Ning, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, 480, 219–228. 127 B. Chen, B. Ge, S. Fu, Q. Li, X. Chen, L. Li, J. Wang, Z. Yang, J. Ding, W. Fan, B. Mao and W. Shi, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2020, 226, 115843. 128 M. Baek, D. Kim and K. Yong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, 9, 2317–2325. 129 Z. Luo, C. Li, S. Liu, T. Wang and J. Gong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 91–100. 130 X. Chen, L. Liu, Y. Y. Peter

8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online

**8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online**

Review Review View Article Online

**8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online**

Review

5Review View Article Online Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

5View Article Online Review

Review View Article Online

**8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online**

Review Review View Article Online

**8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online**

Review Review

5View Article Online Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

5View Article Online Review

Review View Article Online

**8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online**

8Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online

Review Review View Article Online Journal of Materials Chemistry A Journal of Materials Chemistry A View
Article Online Review Review View Article Online Journal of Materials Chemistry A 26628 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of
Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26629 26630 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of
Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26631 26632 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of
Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26633 26634 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of
Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26635 26636 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26637 26638 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26639 26640 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26641 26642 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26643 26644 |

9J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The

3Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A

, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26645 26646 | J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26647 26648 | J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 26628–26649 | 26649 3 14 1 13 61 85 15 82 82 86 87 93 6 20 94 96