

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

5.1 Conclusion

The findings of the study show that the English Department students of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya only master 2 (two) items out of 10 (ten) items tested namely the items concerning Sentences with One Clause (.72) and Connectors (.66). It means that, in general, the English Department students do not master the English grammar. The writer concludes that the finding of the study is influenced by various factors from the students, the grammar lecturers, and the Syllabus makers.

The writer believes that in order to improve the grammar mastery of the English Department students of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya, there are things that can be done. One, the students need to be reminded of the fact that their grammar mastery is important for them so that they have the awareness of mastering grammar. Second, the teachers must trigger the students' awareness of the importance of mastering grammar by giving extra assignments or small pop quizzes frequently. Furthermore, the extra assignments and the pop quizzes can help the teachers in monitoring the students' grammar mastery. Third, the syllabus makers should provide sufficient time and materials for every grammar items to be taught and learned in advanced.

5.2 Suggestion

It seems that most students make mistakes because the students find it difficult to find out about what is wrong with the sentences and do not analyze the sentences carefully so that they cannot answer the questions correctly. Therefore, the writer suggests that in developing the syllabus of the English grammar classes, the syllabus makers consider giving more time and materials on the items concerning Clauses, Parallel Structure, Adjectives and Adverbs, Pronouns, Determiners, Nouns, Subjects and Verbs, and Verbs. Furthermore, in the teaching-learning process, the students are given more exercises and assignments on the items concerning these problems.

Students who want to make a similar study in this subject or use this study as one of the previous studies, perhaps would like to consider choosing the English Department students who have passed Advanced Structure class as the subject of the study. From the chosen subject of the study, you might study the factors that influence the students' grammar mastery. The results of the study, later on, might help the English Department in improving the Structure classes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adisutrisno, Dr. Damatius Wagiman, M.A. 2004. *A Study on the Degree of Mastery of English Grammar by the Fifth Semester Students of the English Department*. Surabaya: Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya.
- Heaton, J.B. 1975. *Writing English Language Test*. London: Longman Group, Ltd.
- Heaton, J. B. 1990. *Longman Keys to Language Teaching: Classroom Testing*. New York: Longman Publishing.
- Komin, Suntaree. 1998. *English Language Learning in the 21st "Asian" Century*. Learners and Language Learning Anthology Series 39. Singapore: SEAMEO Regional Language Center.
- Learners and Test Takers Paper-Based Testing (PBT) Overview and Description*. <http://ets.org/> (retrieved on April 4, 2005)
- McMillan, James H. 1992. *Educational Research: Fundamentals for the Consumer*. Harper Collins Publishers, Inc.
- Phillips, Deborah. 2003. *Longman Preparation Course for the TOEFL Test: The Paper Test*. Pearson Education, Inc.
- Sharpe, Pamela J. 2004. *Baron's How to Prepare for the TOEFL 11th Edition*. Baron's Educational Series, Inc.
- Talebinezhad, Mohammad Reza and Aliakbari, Mohammad. *Basic Assumptions in Teaching English as an International Language*. The Internet TESL Journal, Vol. VII, No. 7, July 2001. <http://iteslj.org/> (retrieved on September 27, 2004)
- Tim Penyusun. 2003. *Pedoman Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Tahun Kuliah 2002/2003*. Surabaya: Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya.
- Tim Penyusun. 2004. *Pedoman Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Tahun Kuliah 2003/2004*. Surabaya: Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya.
- Tim Penyusun. 2004. *Pedoman Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Tahun Kuliah 2004/2005*. Surabaya: Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya.

TOEFL. <http://language.ru/> (retrieved on April 4, 2005)

TOEFL iBT. <http://ets.org/> (retrieved on May 27, 2006)

www.investorwords.com (retrieved on May 19, 2006)

Yappy, Shierly Novalita. 2001. *An Evaluation on Structure Diagnostic Test of the Heinemann TOEFL Preparatory Course Book*. Magister Scientae Edisi No. 10 Oktober 2001. Surabaya: Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya.

Appendix 1 Test Results Table

Item Number	Problem Items	CA	WA	NA	Total
1	Subjects and Verbs	48	3	0	51
2	Objects of Prepositions	50	1	0	51
3	Past Participles	25	26	0	51
4	Coordinate Connectors	51	0	0	51
5	Appositives	41	10	0	51
6	Inverted Subjects and Verbs with Question Words	36	14	1	51
7	Other Adverb Connectors	36	15	0	51
8	Adjective Clause Connector/Subjects	27	23	1	51
9	Adverb <i>Time</i> and <i>Cause</i> Connectors	37	13	1	51
10	Noun Clause Connector/Subjects	20	30	1	51
11	Reduced Adjective Clauses	21	29	1	51
12	Inverted Subjects and Verbs with Comparisons	16	35	0	51
13	Reduced Adverb Clauses	6	45	0	51
14	Inverted Subjects and Verbs with Place Expressions	6	44	1	51
15	Noun Clause Connectors	30	21	0	51
16	Key Words for Singular and Plural Nouns	36	14	1	51
17	Verb Forms after <i>Have</i>	32	14	5	51