

70 A Review of Gum Hydrocolloid  
 Polyelectrolyte Complexes by Shella  
 Santoso

From Similarity check (check paper Jakad  
 SPS)

Similarity Index	Similarity by Source	
9%	Internet Sources:	N/A
	Publications:	9%
	Student Papers:	N/A

Processed on 01-Mar-2022 11:36 WIB  
 ID: 1773578405

Word Count: 15925

**sources:**

- 1 1% match (publications)  
["Mathematical models of drug release", Elsevier BV, 2015](#)

---

- 2 1% match (publications)  
[Niharika Lal, Juhi Dubey, Praveen Gaur, Navneet Verma, Anurag Verma. "Chitosan based in situ forming polyelectrolyte complexes: A potential sustained drug delivery polymeric carrier for high dose drugs", Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2017](#)

---

- 3 1% match (publications)  
[Marilena Vlachou, Angeliki Siamidi. "Biopolymers, liposomes, and nanofibers as modified peroral drug release formulants", Elsevier BV, 2020](#)

---

- 4 1% match (publications)  
[Francisco Javier Somolinos, Carlos León, Sara Guerrero-Aspizua. "Drug Repurposing Using Biological Networks", Processes, 2021](#)

---

- 5 1% match (publications)  
[Valentino Bervia Lunardi, Felycia Edi Soetaredjo, Jindrayani Nyoo Putro, Shella Permatasari Santoso et al. "Nanocelluloses: Sources, Pretreatment, Isolations, Modification, and Its Application as the Drug Carriers", Polymers, 2021](#)

---

- 6 1% match (publications)  
[Liang Li, Linlin Wang, Jinfeng Li, Shan Jiang, Yitong Wang, Xin Zhang, Jiaojiao Ding, Tongya Yu, Shirui Mao. "Insights into the mechanisms of chitosan-anionic polymers-based matrix tablets for extended drug release", International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 2014](#)

---

- 7 < 1% match (publications)  
["Cellulose-Based Superabsorbent Hydrogels", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)

---

- 8 < 1% match (publications)  
[Milan Miliivojevic, Ivana Pajic-Lijakovic, Branko Bugarski, Amit Kumar Nayak, Md Saquib Hasnain. "Gellan gum in drug delivery applications", Elsevier BV, 2019](#)

---

- 9 < 1% match (publications)  
[Nitin Joshi, Rama Saha, Thanigaivel Shanmugam, Biji Balakrishnan, Prachi More, Rinti Banerjee. "Carboxymethyl-Chitosan-Tethered Lipid Vesicles: Hybrid Nanoblanket for Oral Delivery of Paclitaxel", Biomacromolecules, 2013](#)

---

- 10 < 1% match (publications)  
[Francesco De Bon, Gian Marco Carlan, Enrico Tognella, Abdirisak Ahmed Isse. "Exploring Electrochemically Mediated ATRP of Styrene", Processes, 2021](#)

---

- 11 < 1% match (publications)  
[Ilya Nifant'ev, Dmitry Gavrilov, Alexander Tavtorkin, Maria Chinova et al. "Antibacterial Poly\(ε-CL\)/Hydroxyapatite Electrospun Fibers Reinforced by Poly\(ε-CL\)-b-Poly\(Ethylene Phosphoric Acid\)", International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2021](#)

---

- 12 < 1% match (publications)  
[P.R. Sarika, Anupama Pavithran, Nirmala Rachel James. "Cationized gelatin/gum arabic polyelectrolyte complex: Study of electrostatic interactions", Food Hydrocolloids, 2015](#)

---

- 13 < 1% match (publications)  
[Shelly, Munish Ahuja, Ashok Kumar. "Gum ghatti-chitosan polyelectrolyte nanoparticles: Preparation and characterization", International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 2013](#)

- 14 < 1% match (publications)  
["Functional Chitosan", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)
- 
- 15 < 1% match (publications)  
[Gi-Ho Son, Beom-Jin Lee, Cheong-Weon Cho. "Mechanisms of drug release from advanced drug formulations such as polymeric-based drug-delivery systems and lipid nanoparticles", Journal of Pharmaceutical Investigation, 2017](#)
- 
- 16 < 1% match (publications)  
[Vinicius Maciel, Cristiana Yoshida, Susana Pereira, Francisco Goycoolea, Telma Franco. "Electrostatic Self-Assembled Chitosan-Pectin Nano- and Microparticles for Insulin Delivery", Molecules, 2017](#)
- 
- 17 < 1% match (publications)  
["Extracellular Sugar-Based Biopolymers Matrices", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)
- 
- 18 < 1% match (publications)  
[M. Manconi, S. Mura, M.L. Manca, A.M. Fadda, M. Dolz, M.J. Hernandez, A. Casanovas, O. Díez-Sales. "Chitosomes as drug delivery systems for C-phycoerythrin: Preparation and characterization", International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 2010](#)
- 
- 19 < 1% match (publications)  
[Nazila Kamaly, Basit Yameen, Jun Wu, Omid C. Farokhzad. "Degradable Controlled-Release Polymers and Polymeric Nanoparticles: Mechanisms of Controlling Drug Release", Chemical Reviews, 2016](#)
- 
- 20 < 1% match (publications)  
[Minkal Minkal, Munish Ahuja, D. C. Bhatt. "Carboxymethyl gum katira: synthesis, characterization and evaluation for nanoparticulate drug delivery", RSC Advances, 2015](#)
- 
- 21 < 1% match (publications)  
[S. Suttirungwong, J. Rolker, I. Smirnova, W. Arlt, M. Seiler, L. Lüderitz, Y. Pérez de Diego, P. J. Jansens. "Hyperbranched Polymers as Drug Carriers: Microencapsulation and Release Kinetics", Pharmaceutical Development and Technology, 2008](#)
- 
- 22 < 1% match (publications)  
[J Siepmann. "Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose \(HPMC\)", Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 2001](#)
- 
- 23 < 1% match (publications)  
["Physicochemical and Enzymatic Modification of Gums", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021](#)
- 
- 24 < 1% match (publications)  
[Ariel C. de Oliveira, Roberta M. Sabino, Paulo R. Souza, Edvani C. Muniz et al. "Chitosan/gellan gum ratio content into blends modulates the scaffolding capacity of hydrogels on bone mesenchymal stem cells", Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2020](#)
- 
- 25 < 1% match (publications)  
[Guilherme A. Magalhães Jr., Erico Moura Neto, Venícios G. Sombra, Ana R. Richter et al. "Chitosan/Sterculia striata polysaccharides nanocomplex as a potential chloroquine drug release device", International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 2016](#)
- 
- 26 < 1% match (publications)  
[Jongho Kim, Chaemyeong Lee, Ji Hyun Ryu. "Adhesive Catechol-Conjugated Hyaluronic Acid for Biomedical Applications: A Mini Review", Applied Sciences, 2020](#)
- 
- 27 < 1% match (publications)  
[Ting-Yun Kuo, Cuei-Fang Jhang, Che-Min Lin, Tzu-Yang Hsien, Hsyue-Jen Hsieh. "Fabrication and application of coaxial polyvinyl alcohol/chitosan nanofiber membranes", Open Physics, 2017](#)
- 
- 28 < 1% match (publications)  
[Muhamad Alif Razi, Rie Wakabayashi, Masahiro Goto, Noriho Kamiya. "Self-Assembled Reduced Albumin and Glycol Chitosan Nanoparticles for Paclitaxel Delivery", Langmuir, 2019](#)
-

- 29 < 1% match (publications)  
[Sachin S. Gaikwad, Rohini D. Avhad, Ramesh S. Kalkotwar. "Formulation, development and in vitro characterization of modified release tablets of capecitabine", Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 2019](#)
- 
- 30 < 1% match (publications)  
[Sinha, V.. "Chitosan microspheres as a potential carrier for drugs", International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 20040415](#)
- 
- 31 < 1% match (publications)  
[Jain, Satishkumar P., Sejal P. Shah, Namita S. Rajadhyaksha, Pirthi Pal Singh P. S., and Purnima D. Amin. "In Situ Ophthalmic Gel of Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride for Once a Day, Sustained Delivery", Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 2008.](#)
- 
- 32 < 1% match (publications)  
[M. N. V. Ravi Kumar, R. A. A. Muzzarelli, C. Muzzarelli, H. Sashiwa, A. J. Domb. "Chitosan Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Perspectives", Chemical Reviews, 2004](#)
- 
- 33 < 1% match (publications)  
[Mukta Agrawal, Swarnlata Saraf, Shailendra Saraf, Sunil Kumar Dubey et al. "Recent strategies and advances in the fabrication of nano lipid carriers and their application towards brain targeting", Journal of Controlled Release, 2020](#)
- 
- 34 < 1% match (publications)  
[Sina Salimi, Rahmat Sotudeh-Gharebagh, Reza Zarghami, Siok Yee Chan, Kah Hay Yuen. "Production of Nanocellulose and Its Applications in Drug Delivery: A Critical Review". ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2019](#)
- 
- 35 < 1% match (publications)  
[V. T. P. Vinod, Chandra Senan, and Miroslav Cernik. "Dodeceny succinic anhydride derivatives of gum karaya \(Sterculia urens\): Preparation, Characterization and their antibacterial properties", Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry.](#)

**paper text:**

processes Review A Review of Gum Hydrocolloid Polyelectrolyte Complexes (PEC) for Biomedical Applications: Their Properties and Drug Delivery Studies Jindrayani Nyoo Putro 1,\* ,

**5Valentino Bervia Lunardi 1 , Felycia Edi Soetaredjo 1**

, Maria Yuliana 1, Shella Permatasari Santoso 1, I Gede Wenten 2,3 and Suryadi Ismadji 1 1 Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Kalijudan 37, Surabaya 60114, Indonesia; valentinelunardi70@gmail.com (V.B.L.); felyciae@yahoo.com (F.E.S.); mariayuliana@ukwms.ac.id (M.Y.); shella\_p5@yahoo.com (S.P.S.); suryadiismadji@yahoo.com (S.I.) 2 Chemical Engineering Department, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jalan Ganesa No. 10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia; igw@che.itb.ac.id 3 Research Center for Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, Institute Teknologi Bandung, Jalan Ganesa No. 10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia \* Correspondence: jindranyoo@yahoo.com Abstract: The utilization of natural gum polysaccharides as the vehicle for drug delivery systems and other

**19biomedical applications has increased in recent decades. Their biocompatibility, biodegrad- ability, and**

price are much cheaper than other materials. It is also renewable and available in massive ?????????? amounts, which are the main reasons for its use in pharmaceutical applications. Gum can be easily ??????? functionalized with other natural polymers to enhance their applications. Various aspects of the uti- Citation: Putro, J.N.; Lunardi, V.B.; lization of natural gums in the forms of polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) for drug delivery systems Soetaredjo, F.E.; Yuliana, M.; Santoso, are discussed in this review. The application of different mathematical models were used to represent S.P.; Wenten, I.G.; Ismadji, S. A the drug release mechanisms from PECs; these models include a zero-order equation, first-order Review of Gum Hydrocolloid equation, Higuchi, simplified Higuchi, Korsmeyer–Peppas, and Peppas–Sahlin. Polyelectrolyte Complexes (PEC) for Biomedical Applications: Their Keywords: gum polysaccharides; drug delivery; polyelectrolyte complexes; hydrocolloid Properties and Drug Delivery Studies.

**4Processes 2021, 9, 1796. [https:// doi.org/10.3390/pr9101796](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9101796) Academic Editor: Carla Vitorino Received: 7 September 2021 Accepted: 8 October 2021**

**Published:** 11 October 2021 **Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.  
**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

1. Introduction One of the most abundant materials on our planet is polysaccharides; they are cheap, found everywhere, and sustainable. The sources of polysaccharides are plants or animals. Polysaccharides have repetitive structures of monosaccharides and are classified according to their biological functions [1]: • Plants: cellulose, hemicellulose, starch, pectin, gum. • Marine algae: alginate, carrageenan, cellulose. • Crustaceans: chitin. Polysaccharides are utilized for various applications, and a promising one is a vehicle for drug delivery systems. From 2010 to 2020, many papers focusing on using polysaccharides as a drug delivery were published in various scientific journals. The main reasons for increasing the interest of scientists in this area are its biocompatibility, biodegradable, much cheaper than other materials, renewable, and its availability in massive amounts. Furthermore, different types of polysaccharides and their functionalization also give different behaviors of drug delivery and release. Polysaccharides as natural polymers have a hydrocolloid behavior, which can form a gel at a specific temperature and/or in the presence of ions. Hydrocolloids are generally applied in food ingredients to control their rheology and structure [2]. The most common hydrocolloid polysaccharides used in the food industry are gum, alginate, carboxymethyl cellulose. Another hydrocolloid material that is frequently used is gelatin, which is derived

10 *Processes* 2021, 9, 1796. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9101796>  
<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes>

from protein. The awareness of a healthy diet led to high fiber and low-fat food development, making hydrocolloid one of the fastest growing industries. Since it can be used as fat replacers in food, with relatively low concentrations (<1%) [3], with this condition, hydrocolloids become an ideal material for drug delivery. The most commonly studied hydrocolloids as drug vehicles are plant or seed gums. Many gums

17 have been used in drug delivery systems, such as

35 gum arabic, gum karaya, and gum tragacanth. These three gums

have different characteristics; gum arabic can be dissolved at a high concentration of up to 50% due to its branched structure and low molecular weight. Gum karaya is the least soluble gum; it swells and produces a viscous colloidal solution when dispersed in water; the swelling behavior is due to its acetyl group. Gum tragacanth forms a viscous liquid when dissolved in water, and it is considered acid-resistant gum [4]. Besides being used in food formulation, gum can serve as coating and adhesives for pharmaceutical applications [5]. Gum as a carbohydrate can be divided into two parts based on its chemical components: galactomannan and non-galactomannan gum. Galactomannans are polysaccharides consisting of mannose backbone linked to galactose as side chains. Galactomannan solubility in water is influenced by the degree of galactose substitution and mannose/galactose (M/G) ratio [6]. Non-galactomannan gum has no compound of mannose; instead, it has major compounds of rhamnose-arabinose-galactose or rhamnose-galactose linkage such as gum karaya [7]. Gum can be easily functionalized with other natural polymers to enhance their applications. Most polysaccharides have an anionic or neutral charge by nature, but chitosan has a positive charge due to its amino functional group. The combination of natural polymer hydrocolloid, gum and chitosan are the general examples of most research studies of polyelectrolyte complexes (PEC) as a pharmaceutical excipient [8]. Several studies investigated the PEC for drug delivery [9,10]. PEC occurred when two opposite charge polyelectrolyte mixed simultaneously in an aqueous solution. This phenomenon is also known as the self-assembly process, which indicates an interaction between anion and cation polyelectrolyte driven by the system's entropy [11]. There are three steps in forming a PEC: (a) primary complex formation, (b) secondary complex formation, and (c) intercomplex aggregation, illustrated in Figure 1. When cationic and anionic polymers are mixed, they form a random bond. This step is called primary complex formation. In addition, the rearrangement and correction of bonds will occur as the secondary complex formation. It forms intercomplex fibrils, entangles, or networks [12]. Copolymer PEC consisted of polycation and polyanion, also known as complex coacervate, widely utilized in the pharmaceutical industry due to its characteristics [13,14]. This review discusses natural and semi-synthetic polyelectrolyte coacervate complex for the case study of drug loading and release. Apart from that, the polysaccharide is biocompatible in the human body without any adverse effects in vivo, making them suitable as a candidate in the drug delivery field. The focus in this review is limited to gum-related copolymer polysaccharide PEC.

The mechanism, structure, drug loading, release ability, and cytotoxicity assay of gum PEC are investigated thoroughly in this review.

, 1796 Figure 1. Illustration on the formation of polyelectrolyte complex (adapted from [12]). 22.. TThhee MMeeccchhaanniissmm ooff GGuumm aass HHyyddrroocoollooiidd PPEECC CChhiitoossaann aass ccaattiiooniicc ppoollyysaaccchhaarriiddee iiss ttyppiccaallly usseedd ssiinnceee iitt hhaass a ppoossiitiiivvee cchhaarrrgee aatt pPHH bbeellooww 66..55,, mmaakkinngg iitt ffaavvoorraablee too ffoormm PPEECC wwiitthh ootthheerr aanniiooniicc ppoollyymmeerrss [[1155]]. TThhee positive aannddneegeaativveechcharagrededpolymers intientaetrascptosnptoaanteaonuesoluysalnydancrdeactreeaatceolalocidlalolsiduasl- suspensi[o1n6] [.1T6h].eTshtruscctruurcetuprreodpurocdeudcfderomfrothme tehleecetrlocstraotsictaattitcraacttriaocntsiioinnifsluineflnuceedncbeydtbhye rthateioraotifocofaicoantiioicnaicndanadnaionnioicnifunfucnticotnioanlaglrgoruopusp,sw,whihcihch determineththee characteristics of PEC, whether iittissoluble ororinnsosloulbulbel[e1[41]4].lf lftfthhmeolmarlarartrioatofioofioofniiocngircogurpopuoplypeolelycetrloeclytrteos- liystneosisstioncnsiotomicetrioicm,tehtericP,EtCheisPwEaCteirs-swolautebrles[o17u]b.lGee[n17e].r.aGlye,nmeroaaltlysy,nmthoestiscyPnEtCheitxichPibEitCs eexqhuablitsaetiqaupaollryaetiloeceptroolytellesctorofloynteessfoofromfeciocermllamraicgeglIraergaagtegr,ewgahtiecs, whahsichthehasstruhcetustrreucof- luadredeorf-laikdedaenr- dliktheeasncdratmheblsecdraemggblmedodegegl, mthoisdtelyp,tehoisf tPyEpCe iosfinPsEoCluibsiensolwubalteerin[1w8-a20e].r. [P1o8l- y2c0a]t.ioPnoliysccaattieognoirsizceadteignotroiztwedointytpoetswboatsyepdeosnbaiosnedchoanrgioens:cphearngdeasn:tp- teynpdeahnat-vteypcheahragvees cinhatrhgeessidine tghreousipdse, agnroduipnst,egarnad- tiynpteeghraalv-teypcheahragvees icnhtahrgceeshainthbeacckhbaoinneba[2c1k]b.olnnete[g2r1a]. lptonleygcaatliopnoslypcaotivoidse pnoovn-isdteoincohno- mstoetichyioPmECetrwyiPthECwewaikthpwoleyaaknipoonl.yalnnothne. lcnonthdeitcionndoi- teioxnesosfpeoxlcyeasnsiopno,lythanerieonis, athneimeiteisraacntioinntoerfaacntiioonn wofitahthiohen cwatiitohntshietescaotfiotnhesietgeusiomfotlhaer ecqoumimploexlartococmrepatleexatosoclruebaltee caosmolpulbelxe[c2o1m].pHleoxw[2e1v]e.rH,tohweesvoelru,btihleitysoolufbPiEliCtyporfoPdEuCcepdrois- daluscoedgriesaalltysoingflreuaetlnyeindfilbuyentcheedbbryantchheinbrganacnhdintgheanstredrnhgetshtroefngptohlyoeffpecotlryoellyetcet,rott isotrneincgsthreongfthheofntvhiereonnmveirnotn,manedntt,haenmdixthinegmoirxdienrgoofrpdoolryoeffectorloyleyleecctroomlypteonconm [S2i2m,2u3]t.aSniemoulstamniexoiungs mofixcionmgpoofnceonmtsphonasenatshihgahsear phrigohbearbiplirtoyboafbiylietyldoinfyisetylodiicnhgiosmtoeicthriic- oPmECetsr[i2c3P]E.Cs [23]. The formation of PECs is affected by pH and the ratio of polymers. Several studies investigating the effect of parameters of gum copolymer PEC are summarized in Table 1. As observed in Table 1,1t,ththee most investigated cationic polymer forfostrudying polpyoelycetlreoclytrtoe- lcyotmepcolempinlxhentahset tleanstyteeanryseisarcshistocshainto.sBaans.eBdaosendthoenetxhiesteixngistdinagtad,athtare,ethpraereapmaertaemrsetcearns cbaensubmesmuemdmpedtpouphtho athffecatfifnegctPinEgCPpErCodpurcoedduicnedthiins sthtuissdyt.udy. 4 of 29 Table 1. The parameters used in the preparation of gum-based PECs. Natural Polymers Parameters Anionic Cationic Gellan gum (G) Chitosan (C) (% w/v) ratio G:C of (1.5, 1.75, 2):1, acid and alkaline condition [24] Gum Arabic (A) Chitosan % weight ratio A:C = 7:3 [25] Xanthan gum (X) Chitosan % weight ratio X:C = (2–10):0.5, spray dried and freeze dried [26] Carboxymethylated cashew gum Chitosan pH 4, ionic strength 0.05, molar charge ratio n+/n-: 0.1 to 20 [27] Gellan gum Xanthan gum Acrylic ion exchange resin Weight ratio polyanion solution:acrylic ion exchange resin = 5:5, pH 5.5, 25 °C, 24 h [28] Gellan gum Chitosan (% w/v) ratio G:C = 1:2, pH Chitosan ~1.8, pH Gellan gum ~12 [29] Gellan gum TiO2, ZnO, Ag nanoparticles (NP) (gram) weight ratio G:NP = 1:0.2, 1:0.4, and 1:0.6 [30] Gum karaya (K) Chitosan (gram) Weight ratio K:C = 0.938:0.062 [31] Methacrylated gellan gum (MeGG) Chitosan Ratio MeGG:C = 2:1, 1:1, and 1:2. pH MeGG 5.42 (-17 mV), pH Chitosan 4.06 (+38 mV) [32] Xanthan gum Chitosan % weight ratio X:C = 2:1, 1:1, and 0.5:1, 25 °C, volume of each polymers is 37.5 mL [33] Xanthan gum Ozarelix (O) Mass ratio X:O = 1:6, each polymer was dissolved in pH 6 of deionized water [34] Carboxymethyl gum kondagogu (CMGK) Chitosan (% w/v) ratio CMGK:C = 0.01:0.05 until 0.1:0.2 (statistical studies) [35] Gum ghatti (GG) Chitosan (% w/v) ratio GG:C = 0.1:0.05 until 0.5:0.25 (statistical studies) [36] Gum kondagogu (GKG) Chitosan Weight ratio GKG:C = 10:1 until 50:1, pH 1.2–6.0 [37] Gum odina (GO) Chitosan Weight ratio GO:C = 4:1, 5:1, 6:1. pH 4.5 [38] Xanthan gum Chitosan (% w/v) ratio X:C = 0.7:0.7 and 1.0:0.7 with pH Chitosan 4.5 and 6.2 [39] Alginate Chitosan Weight ratio polyanion:C = 75:75 [40] Xanthan gum Xanthan gum Cationic guar gum (CGG) (% w/v) ratio X:CGG = (0.02–0.18): (0.18–0.02) [41] 5 of 29 Table 1. Cont. Natural Polymers Parameters Arabic gum Pectin/Gum arabic (P/A) Chitosan % weight ratio C:A = 0.99:0.01, 0.98:0.02, 0.97:0.03, 0.95:0.05, 0.9:0.1, 0.8:0.2, 0.7:0.3 [42] % weight ratio C:P/A \* = 0.98:0.01, 0.96:0.02, 0.92:0.04, 0.84:0.08, 0.78:0.11, 0.7:0.15 [42] Xanthan gum Chitosan Ratio C:X = 3:1, 1:1, 1:3 [43] Gum Arabic Gelatin (Gn) % weight ratio A:Gn = 2.5:2.5, pH 3.5–4.5 [44] Carboxymethyl gum katira (CGK) Chitosan (% w/v) ratio CK:C = (0.1–0.4): (0.03–0.05) [45] Gum Arabic Cationized gelatin (CGn) (% w/v) concentration of A or CGn = 0.1–1, (v/v) mixing ratio A:CGn = (1–4):(1–5) [46] Gum Arabic Chitosan (Low and high molecular weight) Molar ratio C:A = 1:0, 1:0.25; 1:0.5, 1:0.75, 1:1 [47] Sterculia striata rhamnogalacturonoglycan (RG) Chitosan (high and low molecular weight) Mixing charge ratio (n+/n-) = 0.1–10 [48] Gellan gum Chitosan (% w/v) ratio G:C = 0.85:0.4, pH 5 acetate buffer solution, room temperature,

24 h [49] Gum Arabic Chitosan Mass ratio C :A = 5:1, 4:1, 3:1, 2:1, 1:1. pH solution 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5 [50] Methacrylated gellan gum Chitosan (% w/v) ratio MeGG:C = 1:1, flow rate 50 mL/hour [51] Borate modified Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) Cationic guar gum The film PEC is combined with 0, 1, 4, 7, 10% wt. of gold nanoparticle-nanocellulose filler [52] Xanthan gum Xanthan gum + Polyethylene oxide (PEO) Chitosan Ratio X:C = 1:1 and 2:1. pH 5.8 and 6.5 [53] Ratio X:PEO:C = 2:0.1:2 and 2:1:2, pH 5.8 and 6.5 [53] Gellan gum Chitosan % w/v ratio G:C = 0.04:0.85, pH 5.4, 80 °C, 20 min [54] Gum Arabic N,O-carboxymethyl chitosan (NOCC) % w/v ratio A:NOCC = 3:1 to 7:1. Temperature 25 °C, pH 3, 8 h [55] Gum ghatti Xanthan gum Chitosan Mass ratio G:C = 10:5 and 15:5. With 100 mg of lactose or starch, talc 30 mg, and 10 mg of Mg-stearate [56] Mass ratio X:C = 10:5 and 15:5. With 100 mg of lactose, talc 30 mg, and 10 mg of Mg-stearate [56] Carboxymethyl gum katira (CGK) Chitosan (% w/v) ratio CGK:C = 0.5:0.5 [57] 6 of 29 Table 1. Cont. Natural Polymers Parameters Gellan gum Chitosan Mass ratio G:C = 5:10, 6:12, 7:14 [58] Tragacanth gum (T) Insulin (I) (% w/w) ratio T:I = (0.1, 0.5, 1): 0.02, pH of Tragacanth was adjusted to 3.7, 4.3, 4.6 or 6 [59] Xanthan gum Chitosan % weight ratio X:C = 1.5:1.5 [60] Gellan gum Cationic guar gum Mixing ratios G:CGG = 10:90 until 90:10, pH 3.72, 5.5, and 7.13 [61] Okra gum (O) Chitosan Ratio O:C = 10:90 until 90:10, pH 5.0 [62] Xanthan gum Chitosan (% w/v) ratio X:C = 0.5:0.5 [63] Gellan gum Chitosan Ratio G:C = 10:90 until 65:35, 60 °C, pH Chitosan and Gellan are 1 and 6, respectively [64] Gum Arabic Chitosan Mass ratio C:A = 2:1, 3:1, 5:1, 10:1 [65] Pectin Brea gum (B) Ratio B:P = 1:1, pH Brea gum 2.75 [66] Gellan gum Gelatin Polysaccharide added 1.5% of the dry content modified gelatin [67] Xanthan gum Mesquite gum (M) Chitosan Mixing ratio M:C = 7:1, 8.5:1, 10:1, 12:1. pH 4.5 [68] Gum Arabic Fish gelatin % (g of A/100 g of Gn) = 0, 15, 25, 35. 150 rpm, 15 min, 25 °C [69] Sterculia striata gum (CHG) Chitosan (w/w) ratio C:CHG = 4:1, n+/n- = 10 [70] Gellan gum Chitosan (% w/w) ratio C:G = 80:20 and 60:40. 60 °C, Gellan gum solution was dropped into the Chitosan solution, pH 6 [71] Xanthan gum Chitosan (% w/v) ratio C:X = 0.65:0.65. pH Chitosan 3.6, 4.6, and 5.6 (by HCl and CH3COOH), mixing ratio C:X = 1:1 [72] Xanthan gum Chitosan/Hydroxyapatite nanoparticle (NHA) Weight ratio X:C:NHA = 30:30:40, 25:25:50, and 20:20:60 [73] Arabinogalactans gum acacia (GA) Acacia mearnsii gum (GN) Glucurmannans gum ghatti (GGG) Zein Final volume ratio polysaccharide:Zein = 1:1 (concentration of each polymers is 1 mg/mL), two methods were used: nanoprecipitation (n) and desolvation (d) [74] Vochysia thyrsoidea gum (VT) \* The % weight ratio P/A was taken noted based on each of pectin and gum arabic. For example, the ratio P/A is 0.11, which means the mass of pectin is 0.11 and gum Arabic is 0.11, respectively. ‡ Mass ratio of chitosan is the sum of the mass combination of gallic acid and chitosan. 2.1. The Ratio of Polyanion and Polycation 2.1.1. Particle Size The charge ratio of chitosan and gum show interesting results on the particle size distribution of PEC. n+/n- between chitosan and carboxymethylated gum cashew (CMGC) has relation with the concentration of CMGC, increasing the gum concentration overall charge ratio produces larger particle size for the degree of substitution CMGC 0.16 and 0.36 [27]. The effect of charge ratio is more significant on the molecular weight of chitosan, higher n+/n- have larger particle size for the combination of low ( $7.8 \times 10^4$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>) and high molecular weight chitosan ( $4.6 \times 10^5$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>) with Sterculia striata rhamnagalactur- onoglycan. However, low molecular weight chitosan produces a bigger particle size than the high molecular weight [48]. The effect of the weight ratio of carboxymethyl gum kond- agogu (CMGK) to chitosan was more significant than that of chitosan to CMGK. A higher ratio of CMGK to chitosan produced a bigger particle size than the higher ratio of chitosan to CMGK [35]. A similar trend was also observed for PEC made of

**13gum ghatti/Pluronic F- 127/chitosan.** The combination of **Pluronic**

was quite prominent for the growth of particles, but it can be attenuated at a higher concentration of gum ghatti in the system [36]. The size of polyelectrolyte nanoparticles synthesized from carboxymethyl gum katira (CK)-chitosan was more influenced by the concentration of CK than chitosan [45]. The increased gellan gum concentration to chitosan with the same weight

**28ratio of 1:2** produced **a larger particle size** for the entrapment of

Tamoxifen citrate [58]. In contrast, gum Arabic has opposite results on

**33the particle size with the increasing concentration**

. Tsai and co-authors analyzed the combination of chitosan, pectin, and gum Arabic PEC; the result is that increasing the concentration of gum Arabic in chitosan solution reduces the particle size significantly. Meanwhile, the combination of pectin + gum Arabic combined in chitosan solution showed an uneven particle size distribution with the rising polysaccharide concentration [42]. Sarika and co-workers who investigated the cationized gelatin/gum Arabic PEC also stated that a higher volume ratio of gum Arabic produced a clear PEC and smaller particle size ranging from 60 to 170 nm [46]. Hu et al. (2016) studied the formation of PEC from gallic acid-chitosan conjugate and gum Arabic; a higher ratio of gum Arabic produced smaller particle size, which is similar to the results of Tsai and co-authors [50]. With these results, the effect on particle size depends on the type of gums. Gum Arabic has the opposite behavior to the other gums since it has different chemical compositions. Several researchers mentioned that the more viscous gum produces a larger particle size; this also correlates with the chain flexibility of the gum itself [36,45,48]. For

drug delivery applications, some studies have shown that the particle size of drug-loaded particles is more affected by the polymer ratio than the drug concentration. Narkar

30 **et al. investigated the effect of chitosan**

-coated amoxicillin gellan beads, raising the gellan gum concentration with the same antibiotic and chitosan concentration gave a slightly bigger diameter of particle size,  $0.86 \pm 0.6$  mm and  $0.91 \pm 0.7$  mm for 1.5% and 1.75% w/v gellan solution, respectively [24]. In the preparation of PEC chitosome, the drying method has a significant impact on the particle size. Higher ratio (% w/w) of xanthan gum to chitosan resulted in larger particle size of chitosome for ratio 1:1 and 2:1; this is valid for 60 mg/mL [33]. The results are interesting since the size of the 60 mg/mL liposome size is smaller ( $195 \pm 59$  nm) than the 30 mg/mL ( $247 \pm 97$  nm). Another study performed by Manconi et al. [26] showed that the weight ratio of xanthan gum and chitosan 2:0.5 has a bigger size of chitosomes than the ratio of 8:0.5; however, this is influenced by the different drying methods of chitosomes [26]. The chitosomes produced at a ratio of xanthan gum and chitosan of 2:0.5 were dried using freeze-dry, while the ratio of 8:0.5 was spray dried. 2.1.2. Mechanical Properties Besides particle size, the ratio of biopolymer in PEC

23 **also plays a crucial role in the mechanical properties of**

PEC. Several studies show that gum in the natural cationic polymer affects the rheological structure, such as storage modulus ( $G'$ ), loss modulus ( $G''$ ), and tensile strength. Chitosan membrane has better tensile stress and elongation capability than gum Arabic or pectin membrane that were too fragile to be measured. The

27 **addition of gum Arabic in the chitosan membrane improved the tensile strength of than pectin– chitosan**

membrane. However, gum Arabic did not improve the elongation properties of the chitosan membrane [25]. The study by Tsai et al. (2014) using the combination of pectin/gum Arabic/chitosan gave similar results; the best tensile strength was obtained at the ratio of C:P/A at 0.84:0.8. However, the higher pectin/gum Arabic ratio did not change the tensile strength [42]. The high molecular weight of chitosan (HMWC) has better puncture strength than the lower one (LMWC). A small ratio of gum Arabic in high molecular weight chitosan film (0.25:1 and 0.5:1) significantly decreased the puncture strength of pure chitosan film. Higher ratio gum Arabic-HMWC (0.75:1 and 1:1) showed better mechanical properties than the native HMWC film. The addition of gum Arabic does not improve the elongation of gum Arabic-chitosan film [47]. Spray-dried chitosomes with a low ratio of xanthan gum to chitosan (2:0.5 % w/w) have a predominance of viscous over elastic properties. Freeze-dried chitosome has higher  $G'$  than  $G''$  with all ratio xanthan and chitosan, however increasing xanthan concentration cause decreasing value of  $G'$  and  $G''$ . With the increasing concentration (2–10% w/w), pure xanthan gum solution showed higher  $G'$  than  $G''$ ; this behavior shows the reinforcement of chitosan and xanthan in the PEC network formation depend on the drying methods [26]. A similar trend of spray-dried xanthan gum/chitosan with a mixture of liposomes was also exhibited by Manca et al. (2012);  $G'$  was always higher than  $G''$  at all ratios of chitosan to xanthan gum [33]. The prevalence of elastic over viscous material is advantageous for developing a mu- coadhesive system since more elastic properties indicate stronger interaction between the PEC and the biological matrix [26,33]. Kim and co-authors investigate the gel formulation of chitosan–xanthan gum with concentration 6% and 9% gel, the shear stress for 6% gel for all ratio chitosan and xanthan developed in orderly profile, which at low shear rate means low shear stress [53]. However, the 9% gel showed a disorder shear stress profile at certain chitosan and xanthan gum PEC parameters. The rheological property is greatly affected by the composition ratio of biopolymer; the whole parameters of gel indicate shear-thinning behavior. Magnetic xanthan gum and chitosan hydrogel (MPECH) showed better  $G'$  and  $G''$  than the original PEC hydrogel (PECH), the value of  $G'$  is always higher than  $G''$  [60]. The addition of magnetic nanoparticles to PECH improves the compressive strength of the original PECH at a strain rate of 90%, MPECH and PECH have a stress value of 0.042 and 0.037, respectively. The different types of acids used for pH adjustment also influence the rheological behavior; Ćirić et al. (2020) show that the chitosan/xanthan gum PEC that adjusted with acetic acid has +a higher hysteresis area than hydrochloric acid [72]. This phenomenon indicates that chitosan possesses the best interactions with xanthan gum in the acetic acid solution at pH 3.6. Combining xanthan gum/chitosan and hydroxyapatite nanoparticles has the best compressive strength and modulus at ratio 25:25:50 for X:C:NHA, decreasing polysaccharide content in the PEC while increasing NHA would make insufficient binding excess NHA [73]. Increasing the ratio of gum Arabic to NOCC at 7:1 reduced the  $G'$  and  $G''$  values, and the viscoelastic properties depended on the frequency, which can be said to follow the viscoelastic nature of gum Arabic solution [55]. Meanwhile, increasing gum concentration in the Chitosan-Gum Odina complex resulted in a higher loss in modulus than storage modulus [38], the highest mechanical strength for the ratio of gum Odina and Chitosan was 5:1, which produced the highest  $G''$  and  $G'$  at low strain percentage (0.1–2%). The addition of acid-resistant materials (cellulose acetate phthalate) in the gelatin film caused a significant drop in the viscosity and shear stress, which risk the ability of gelatin to form the film. A small amount of gellan gum and xanthan gum could increase the viscosity and shear stress of

gelatin-acid resistant mixture [67]. No significant change of  $G'$  and  $G''$  was observed for the increasing mixing ratio of mesquite gum and chitosan insoluble PEC, the loss modulus was always higher than the storage modulus [68] which is similar to the study of gum Arabic and NOCC insoluble PECs. Higher ratio of gellan gum (40:60) in the chitosan–gellan gum hydrogel show three times higher elastic modulus than the ratio of gellan gum to chitosan 80:20 [71].

2.2. pH The mechanism of PEC formation is based on the electrostatic interaction of two oppositely charged polymers. Hence, it is necessary to understand the charge characteristic of material at a specific pH range. Potentiometric titration can be conducted to know the effect of pH on the polymer state. Da Silva et al. (2015) show that gum Arabic has two pH-dependent species: uronic acid and amino acid (gum residue). Gelatin has an amino acid group that is also pH-dependent; both polymers indicated that the pH of 2–4 has a more pronounced contribution to the overall titration curve. The uronic acid of commercial gum Arabic, which has a pKa value of around 3.61, has the optimum interaction with gelatin at pH 4 [44]. Chitosan and gum Odina PEC have an equivalent stoichiometric charge ratio of both polysaccharides with the ratio of O: C at 5:1 [38]. Circumstantially, the ratio of the polymer affects the potentiometric titration. This phenomenon can be correlated to the higher mass in the solution, which means more ionic linkage is needed to neutralize the functional group ion. Lankalapalli and Kolapalli (2012) also investigated the association between mixing ratio and pH in the formation PECs of gum karaya and chitosan; less ratio of gum karaya in the chitosan solutions means lower pH and higher conductivity [31].

2.2.1. Zeta Potential Comprehending single natural polymer pH characteristics needs to be considered one of the most critical roles in the complex formation of polyelectrolyte. The zeta potential versus pH analysis must be carried out to locate the isoelectric point (pI) with no potential charge at a specific pH value. Sarika and co-authors (2015) investigated the effect of pH on cationized gelatin/gum Arabic complex with mixing

**12ratio 1:1 (v/v) with each polymer concentration 0.1% w/v**

; it can be seen at low pH (<4) the

**12cationized gelatin (CGn) and gum Arabic (A) have**

a positive and negative charge, respectively. The appearance of the CGn/A complex depended on pH; the zeta potential of PEC changed significantly, unlike the natural polymer mixtures. The turbidity of PEC showed a drastic increase up to pH 6.6, which

**12is attributed to the strong electrostatic interactions between amino groups on CGn and carboxylate groups on**

A [46]. The zeta potential of HMWC is higher than LMWC at pH 4, and the combination of A and HMWC at different molar ratios showed higher potential than LMWC/A at pH 4–5.5. The turbidity of chitosan and gum Arabic was more prominent at pH 5.5 than pH 4 and 5 due to high solubility and high degree ionization of gum at higher pH (~7) [47]. This result is similar to the study of chitosan and gum Arabic PEC by Hu and co-authors (2016); higher pH produced more turbid PEC and smaller zeta potential. The optimum pH of interaction gum Arabic and chitosan to form PEC was five since it yields the highest count rate, small particle size, and most homogeneous distribution [50]. The molar charge ratio of HMWC or LMWC with *Sterculia striata* rhamnogalacturonoglycan (RG) on zeta potential is more favorable toward the high charge ratio of LMWC and RG than the mixture of HMWC/RG. This result indicates that HMWC has better interaction with the polyanion RG than LMWC, confirmed by the more significant charge compensation due to higher consumption of amino groups on HMWC [48]. Knowing the zeta potential of the material is advantageous to understand the mechanism of PEC further. Zeta potential is more dependent on pH than the mixing ratio of natural polymer. For the case of cationic guar gum (CGG) and gellan gum (G), different pH with the same mixing ratio (CGG: G = 70:30) yield significantly different zeta potential. Kaur and Kaur (2018) mentioned that pH 5.5 show the lowest zeta potential value, which indicates the maximum favorable interaction between CGG and G; the species distribution profile of CGG also supports this with >90% in protonated hydroxyl group  $H_3O^+$  and G with >90% in deprotonated carboxylate ions  $COO^-$ . The highest turbidity and lowest transmittance were demonstrated at pH 5.5 indicated nonstoichiometric insoluble complexes of CGG and G [61]. This result is also very similar to the study by Nur and co-author (2018), who chose pH 4.6 and ratio 0.02:0.5 (I:T) as the best condition for insulin (I) and tragacanth gum (T) PEC, which also exhibited the highest zeta potential value (-7.5 mV) compared to other pH and ratio [59]. The selection of pH is based on the pI insulin around 5.5–6.4; below the pI value, insulin is positively charged. This result coincides with the potential value of tragacanth gum to be negatively charged at pH 4.6. Unlike the study of brea gum and pectin, both do not have an opposite charge at the same pH. Brea gum has a positive charge below pH 3.7, while pectin negatively charges its natural solution. Therefore, to prepare hydrogel PEC from both materials, the authors mix each solution at a separated pH of 2.75 and unadjusted pH conditions for brea gum and pectin, respectively [66]. The strength of the electrostatic interaction (SEI) also can be calculated to know the optimum pH of the interaction among the two opposite charged polymers. For instance, Rodríguez et al. determined the SEI value between mesquite gum and chitosan which is also correlated with the zeta potential for each natural polymer [68].

2.2.2. Swelling Behavior pH is an essential

parameter in the swelling studies of PEC. This parameter correlates to the mechanical properties of the material with the effect of ions in the solution. The investigation of swelling studies is necessary to understand whether the PEC is suitable for oral route drug delivery. The Human GI tract is differentiated into three different pH, the stomach has a pH range around 1.2–2.2, the duodenum has a value of 5.8, and the jejunum has a pH of 6.8. Argin and co-authors prepared xanthan–chitosan hydrogels to deliver probiotics to the intestines [39]. The xanthan–chitosan PEC hydrogels were tested in simulated gastric fluid (SGF) and simulated intestinal fluid (SIF) with pH 2 and pH 6.2, respectively. It was observed that xanthan (X)-chitosan (C) hydrogel is pH-responsive; it did not swell in SGF and rapidly swell for the first 2 h in SIF. Higher xanthan ratio (1%) swell faster than the low content of xanthan (0.7%), the best swelling resulted in ratio X:C of 1:1. Other studies by Li et al. indicated the same 1:1 ratio of chitosan–xanthan gum film matrix showed constant swelling at pH 1.2 (SGF) for 2 h and slightly swollen at pH 6.8 (SIF) for the next 22 h. While the film erosion indicated a slightly reduced of the remaining mass in SGF, and subsequently in SIF, this indicated the stable complex formation of PEC in the simultaneous immersion on SGF and SIF [40]. Shao and co-authors (2015) have a similar swelling profile behavior of drug-loaded X-C matrix tablet; however, the erosion behavior is different with Li et al. since they also take account of the amount of drug release at time  $t$  [43]. These authors used high molecular weight chitosan: 370 kDa and 400 kDa with degree acetylation of 85–86.5%. For chitosome made of chitosan and xanthan with two different drying methods (freeze-dried and spray dried), freeze-dried chitosome has a higher swelling ratio than spray dried. However, the freeze-dried chitosome has the highest swelling ratio after more than 5 h of immersion in SGF compared to the SIF medium, while the spray-dried chitosome did not show any appreciable differences [26]. Chitosan-coated amoxicillin gellan beads have a higher swelling ratio at pH 7.4 than pH 1.2 due to deprotonation

### 7amino groups of chitosan and the predominate swelling effect of

gellan in alkaline conditions [24]. Chitosome has a different swelling profile than the other previously mentioned xan- than gum-chitosan PEC can be caused by a higher ratio of xanthan in the chitosome (X:C = 2:0.5) and the inclusion of liposomes in the preparation. Gum kondagogu (GKG) and chitosan hydrogel have pH-dependent swelling; low pH 1.2 showed high swelling index compared to more alkaline pH conditions (pH 5, 6.8, 8). This result is similar to the previous chitosome; the GKG-C hydrogel is synthesized with a high gum ratio. Kim et al. investigated the swelling effect on two different pH of preparation X-C PEC (pH 5.8 and 6.5); it was observed that pH 6.5 X-C PEC has a higher swelling ratio than pH 5.8 PEC. The swelling study was conducted in distilled water for 4 h, which showed a prominent effect of pH in the preparation method on the swelling behavior. 2.3. Mixing Order The mixing sequence between the cationic and anionic natural polymers affected the PEC properties (particle size, tensile strength, and stability). Few studies were conducted to understand further the effect of mixing order in the PEC, but clearly, it significantly influenced the resulting PEC. Silva et al. (2010) explored mixing order as a function of CMCG degree substitution (DS); when chitosan was added to CMCG 0.36, the composite has a larger particle size than CMCG 0.36 was added to chitosan [27]. This result is similar to CMCG 0.16, but it produced a smaller particle size than the CMCG 0.36. Amin and co-authors did an interesting study of mixing order between chitosan (C) and gellan gum (GG). They investigated the sequence of PEC film preparation on the mechanical properties. The addition of GG to C improved Young's modulus and tensile strength by 1.7 and 2.7 times, respectively. While C was added to GG, it produced particles with better toughness (3.6 fold) than GG into C. Both mixing orders show little difference in their water vapor transmission rates [29]. The influence of the molecular weight of chitosan and the charge ratio was studied towards the mixing order between chitosan and RG. High MW C/RG produced a larger particle size than high RG/C at a charge ratio of 0.8. Fluctuate particle size profile was observed at a high charge ratio of 4 to 10. On the contrary, low MW C/RG or RG/low MW C has a similar particle size in low charge ratio (0.2–0.8); it has a larger particle size for low MW C/RG in charge ratio of 6–10 than RG/low MW C. The stability of PEC particle size was good (up to 30 days) for low MW C despite the mixing order at a charge ratio of 0.1 and 5 [48]. A recent study by da Silva and co-authors (2020) has proven that mixing order was influential in the resulting PEC. The authors studied the PEC preparation from zein and several polysaccharides. There are two terms used by da Silva et al. to investigate the mixing order of PEC. If zein were added to the polysaccharide, it would be described as nanoprecipitation. For the opposite case (polysaccharide to zein), it will be called desolvation. Based on their results, we can understand that the mixing order significantly affects particle size. On the zein control sample of desolvation and nanoprecipitation, the particle size of the desolvation method is 3.4 times smaller than the nanoprecipitation method. However, the most intriguing result of mixing order against all polysaccharides is smaller for nanoprecipitation of zein to polysaccharide solution. This result explains that zein diffusion was relatively easier due to its lower molar mass; the polysaccharide could accommodate zein to stabilize the formed particle [74]. 3. Gum-Based PECs for Biomedical Applications Natural gums are composed of heterogenous monosaccharides, including glucose, galactose, rhamnose, arabinose, xylose, mannose, uronic acids that construct three- dimensional interconnected molecular networks. The structure majorly modulates the intensity of the gels' coordination and gum composition in accompanies with the ionic strength, pH, and temperature [75]. As a biopolymer, natural gums present outstanding biocompatibility/cytocompatibility, biodegradability, and water solubility. The rule out the possibility of human body rejection makes the natural gums a priority in tissue engineering applications. Moreover, most gums are secure to be consumed, which can easily proceed towards the drug delivery field [76]. Compared to synthetic polymers, the availability, lower toxicity, and best-economic aspect become the critical value of

natural gums [77]. Nevertheless, these advantages are accompanied by several drawbacks: thickening effect, high swelling, pH-dependent solubility, susceptibility of microbial contamination, and the complexity of viscosity adjustment due to relatively rapid biodegradation [75]. Therefore, chemical modification is required for improving their features in biomedical applications. Based on the natural gums chemical structure, the existence of acetic, pyruvic, carboxyl groups residual side chains make several types of NG as negatively charge biopoly- mers that create the possibility of incorporating oppositely charged biopolymers such as chitosan and cationic modified non-ionic gum and synthetic polymer (e.g., acrylic ion exchange resin and polyvinyl alcohol, Polyethylene oxide)). As the most common natural polycationic, chitosan presents positively charged amino groups that form polyelectrolytes complexes towards anionic groups of NG through electrostatic interaction, dipole-dipole interaction, and hydrogen bonding [78]. A summary of Gum-based PECs with various polycationic modified and their biomedical application are briefly summarized in Table 2. Table 2. Gum-based PECs for biomedical application for: (A) Tissue and bone regeneration; and (B) Cargo delivery. A Tissue and Bone Regeneration Gum-Based PECs Composite Carrier Form Application Methacrylate Gellan Gum-Chitosan/Arginylglycylaspartic acid Hydrogel Fibers Tissue engineering [51] Xanthan Gum-Chitosan@ Magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Hydrogel Scaffolds Tissue engineering [60] Gellan Gum-Chitosan Hydrogel Scaffolds Tissue engineering [64] Xanthan Gum-Chitosan/Hydroxyapatite Scaffolds Bone-Regeneration [73] B Cargo Delivery Gum-Based PECs Composite Cargo Model Carrier Form Application Release Parameter Xanthan Gum-Chitosan (Spray Dried) coated t~39% = 24 h (Simulated Liposomes C-phycoerythrin Tablets Colon-Specific

16Intestinal Fluid (SIF); pH 6,8; delivery [26] T = 37 °C) t~48% = 24 h

(Simulated Gastric Xanthan Gum-Chitosan Fluid (SGF; pH 2; T = 37 °C) (Freeze Dried) coated t~68 = 24 h (Simulated Liposomes

16Intestinal Fluid (SIF); pH 6,8; T = 37 °C) t~18% = 24 h

(Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF; pH 2; T = 37 °C) Gellan Gum-Chitosan Amoxicillin trihydrate Hydrogel Beads Gastrointestinal Delivery [24] t~83% = 24 h (

20.1 M HCl; pH 1,2; T = 37 °C

) Gum Karaya (GK)-Chitosan Diclofenac Sodium (DS) Tablets Oral Delivery [31] t92,94% = 24 h (

7Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C

) carboxymethyl gum kondagogu-chitosan Ofloxacin (OX) Nanoparticles - t50% = 24 h (

7Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C

) [35]

13Gum Ghatti-Chitosan@ Pluronic F-127 Ofloxacin Nanoparticles Drug Delivery and

Antibiotic activity [36] t32% = 12 h (

13Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C

) Gum Odina-Chitosan - Microspheres Colon-specific delivery [38] - Xanthan gum-Chitosan Pediococcus acidilactici cells Hydrogel microspheres Gastrointestinal Delivery [39] tnegligible = 2 h (Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF; pH 2; T = 37 °C) t100% = 5 h (

16Simulated Intestinal Fluid (SIF); pH 6,8; T = 37 °C

) Cationic guar Gastrointestinal gum-Xanthan Gum Diclofenac Sodium Microspheres Delivery [41] t61.02% = 12 h (Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C) Table 2. Cont. B Cargo delivery Gum-Based PECs Composite Carrier Form Application Xanthan gum-Chitosan Alginate-Chitosan Theophylline (Th) Metoprolol succinate (MS) Theophylline (Th) Metoprolol succinate (MS) Tablets Oral Delivery [40] t~28% = 2 h; t~99.8% = 24 h; (

9 Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) for 2 h followed with Simulated Intestinal fluid (SIF) for 22 h; pH

181,2 and 7.4 respectively; T = 37 °C) t~37% = 2 h

; t~92% = 24 h; (

9 Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) for 2 h followed with Simulated Intestinal fluid (SIF) for 22 h; pH

181,2 and 7.4 respectively; T = 37 °C) t~18% = 2 h

; t~84% = 24 h; (

9 Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) for 2 h followed with Simulated Intestinal fluid (SIF) for 22 h; pH

181,2 and 7.4 respectively; T = 37 °C) t~37% = 2 h

; t~96% = 24 h; (

9 Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) for 2 h followed with Simulated Intestinal fluid (SIF) for 22 h; pH

1,2 and 7.4 respectively; T = 37 °C) carboxymethyl gum katira-Chitosan Ofloxacin Nanoparticles Ophthalmic delivery [45] t~92% = 24 h (

20.1 M HCl; pH 1,2; T = 37 °C

) Xanthan gum-Chitosan Combination of Valproic acid (VPA) and sodium valproate (VPS) with ratio 1:2 Tablets Oral Delivery [43] t4.8% = 1 h; t95% = 24 h (Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) for 1 h followed with Phosphate Buffer for 23 h; pH 1,2 and 6.8 respectively; T = 37 °C) Rhamnogalacturonoglycan (Rh)-Chitosan (low molecular weight) (Mixing charge ratio n+/n- 5) Rhamnogalacturonoglycan (Rh)-Chitosan (low molecular weight) (mixing charge ratio n+/n- 0.1) Chloroquine Nanoparticles Localized Drug Delivery (Malaria Chemotherapy) [48] t~60% = 10 h; t100% = 12 days (Phosphate Buffer;

34 pH 7.4; T = 25 °C) t~40% = 24 h

; t100% = 12 days (Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 25 °C) Gellan Gum-Chitosan Ondansetron Hydrochloride Solid Dosage Nasal delivery [49] t99% = 8 h (

7 Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C

) Gellan Gum-Chitosan Curcumin Nanogel Intra-tumoral [54] t~94% = 20 h (

7 Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C

) Xanthan Gum-Chitosan Xanthan Gum-Chitosan @Polyethylene Oxide (PEO) Chlorhexidine (CHX) Hydrogel Topical Delivery [53] t~1772 (µg/mL) = 168 h (

7 Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C

) t~1103 (µg/mL) = 168 h (

**7Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C**

) Table 2. Cont. B Cargo delivery Gum-Based PECs Composite Carrier Form Application

**2Gum Ghatti (GG)-Chitosan Xanthan Gum (XG**

)-Chitosan Paracetamol Tablets Gastrointestinal Delivery [56] t54.93% = 6 h (

**20.1 M HCl; pH 1,2; T = 37 °C**

) t62.27% = 6 h (

**20.1 M HCl; pH 1,2; T = 37 °C**

) Gellan Gum-Chitosan Tamoxifen citrate Nano capsules Intra-tumoral [58] t77.16% = 8 h (Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C) carboxymethyl gum katira-Chitosan Ofloxacin Nanoparticles t~45% = 2 h; t84.32% = 24 h (

**290.1 M HCl for 2 h followed with Phosphate Buffer for 22 h; pH**

1,2 and 7.4 respectively; T = 37 °C) [57] Xanthan Gum (XG)-Chitosan Indometachin Membranes Topical Delivery [63] t20 (mg drug/mg carrier) = 6 h (Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.4; T = 37 °C; 0.092 mm thickness) t~98% = 75 h (Simulated Pectin-Chitosan Vaginal Fluid (SVF; pH 4.2; T = 37 °C) Tenofovir Tablets Vaginal Delivery [79] Locust Beam t~93% = 75 h (Simulated Gum-Chitosan Vaginal Fluid (SVF; pH 4.2; T = 37 °C) Xanthan gum-Chitosan t48% = 10 h (PEC: drug (Prepare in Hydrochloric ratio = 1:1) acid (HCl) solution (pH t50.67% = 10 h (PEC: drug 5.6) ratio = 1:2) (Phosphate Buffer; Ibuprofen Solid Dosage Oral Delivery [72] pH 7.2; T = 37 °C) t56.84% = 10 h (PEC: drug Xanthan gum-Chitosan ratio = 1:1) (Prepare in Acetic Acid t67.75% = 10 h (AA) (pH 5.6) (PEC: drug ratio = 1:2) (Phosphate Buffer; pH 7.2; T = 37 °C) 3.1. Gum-Based PECs for Tissue and Bone Regeneration The current development of gum-based PECs as tissue engineering leads to the advancement of scaffolds materials. To date, the foremost challenge of tissue engineering development is that the material should be mechanical and functional mimicry of tissue framework [80]. Sant et al. (2017) have fabricated scaffold containing methacrylate modified Gellan Gum (MeGG) and Chitosan with 1:1 ratio with addition of Arginylglycylaspartic acid (RGD) modification through self-assembly microfluidic approach and photocrosslinking technique [51]. The aligned fibrous in their study have successfully replicated the hierarchical structure of natural collagen fibers. These formed fibrous materials present an excellent environment for cells encapsulation, such as rat fibroblasts, cardiomyocytes, and human mesenchymal stem (hMSCs) with insignificant effect in viability under mild conditions. The RGD also acts as scaffold binding sites for cell adhesion and proliferation of hMSCs. This study also presents the possibility of photocrosslinkable MeGG tuning the physicochemical and mechanical characteristics for broad application of tissue engineering such as bone, skeletal, and cardiac muscle to neural tissue. In line with the previous study, other characteristics such as the rate of material disintegration, porosity, interconnecting pore framework, and structural homogeneity

**17also play essential roles in regulating the suitability of scaffold for supporting cell growth**

. de Oliveira and co-authors (2019) reported the composition of GG/chitosan crucially regulating the hydrophilicity-hydrophobicity and mechanical strength of the material, which further beneficially improves bone interaction mesenchymal stem and GG/CS PECs [64]. Moreover, the optimal ratio of

**24CS/GG (80:20) and CS/GG (60:40) present**

structural homogeneity and high porosity (ranged from 100–200 µm), which present as one of the desired features since

**24they can imitate the extracellular matrix framework and functions including acted as**

support cell migration and proliferation, metabolites transportation and assembly and deposition new ECM substances [64]. Natural gums and nanomaterials (such as Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs and Hydroxyapatite (HAPs)) present merits features with additive characteristics. As reported by Rao and co-authors reported that the hydrophilic properties of Xanthan Gum-Chitosan PECs and the external magnetic field of MNPs create scaffolds with stimulating cellular interaction [60]. The merits properties can enhance the cell adhesion and proliferation of NIH3T3 fibroblasts and refined properties such as rheological and compressive features. Low tensile strength and loading bearing are the main issues for implementing synthetic hydroxyapatite (HAP) implants [81]. Recent advances in nanotechnology have made the fabrication composite of HAP and polyelectrolytes (natural gums and polycations material) possible. The composite possesses biocompatibility improvement and bone integration capability, including osteoinductive and osteoconductive. For instance, Zia et al. (2020) designed a tri-scaffold based on Xanthan Gum-Chitosan composite incorporating HAPs [73]. The utilization of this gum has involved the refinement of physical, mechanical, and biological features of the fabricated scaffold. The synergistic effect of its substances presents higher matrix stiffness, more significant interconnectivity pores, smaller crystallite size, and additional carboxylate groups from XAN, which provide high surface area and favors osteoinduction and protein adsorption. Moreover, the integrated scaffold exhibits a moderate degradation rate and antibacterial properties. In addition, the tri scaffold composite also stimulates the differentiation of MG-63 cells with bone cells which potential of improving the rate and ability of bone regeneration [73].

3.2. Gum-Based PECs for Drug Delivery Gum-based PECs have been developed for various dosage forms, including tablets, gel beads, micro- and nanoparticles, membrane film, and hydrogel, having physiologically responsive carriers due to the swelling ability. The combination of gum and polycation may respond towards

8 **physiological environment either by the** interaction towards **tissue surface** (mucoadhesive character) **or by** modifying the **mechanical**

characteristic (swelling characteristic) through physiological fluid contact (e.g., gastrointestinal tract (GIT), nasal, vaginal, lacrimal, etc.) which effectively modulate the release of the drug. Many modified release formulations have been developed to improve drugs' bioavailability,

8 **such as delayed-release, sustained release, site-specific release, and receptor-targeted**

[82]. In common, controlled drug release was found in the peroral dosage form formulation of gum-based PECs to hinder the mucosal irritation and safeguarding drug throughout the stomach from low pH release and enzyme degradation as well as maintain delivery towards the specific site (refer to Table 2) [83]. Another common system, sustained drug release efficiency, delivered optimum drug concentration for prolonged-release

8 **time to preserve therapeutic drug concentration at the specific site and**

circumvent therapeutic substances' toxicity and side effects. Several types of gum-based PECs were developed for sustained drug release, several administration routes (depicted in Figure 2) will be covered and defined in the following sections.

10 **Processes 2021, 9, x FOR PEER REVIEW Processes 2021, 9**

, 1796 Figure 2. Drug administration route. 33..22..11..GGuumm--  
 BBaasseeddPPEECCssffoorrPPEerorrraall DDeelliivveerry GGuumm--  
 bbaasseeddPPEECCsshhaavveebbeeeennwiiddeellyydeevveellooppeeddffoortthheeddiivveerrssseeoorraalldeelliivveerryffoorrmuulla-  
 -ttiioonn,, ccoommmonnlyy ffoorr aacctiivvee pphaarrmmaaceeuuttiiccaall iinnggreeddiieenntss  
 ccoonvveeyaannceee toowaarrddss spppeccciificc ssiiteess ooff the gastrointestinal system.  
 Despitetheiirr biodegradabilityaannnd nontoxicity, their main characteristics (swelling ability,  
 mucoadhesive, and pH sensitivity) play a significant role in oral delivery: however, their suitability for oral  
 delivery also depends on the residence time inthethegastrointestinal  
 (G(IG)l)ratcrtaactndanddrudgrsuoglusboilluitbyilaintydapnedrmppearbmeileitayb.iTihtye.gTuhme-based  
 bPaEsCedsaPrEeCacskanroewaclekdngoewdlefodrgtehdeifrosruthiteaibrilsiutyifaobrioiitryalfodreloivraelyrdebleivcaeurysboefcathuesestorfo  
 itnotenrabcettiwoneebnetawnieoennicanguomnic(eg.gu.m,X(aen.gt.h,aXnanGtuhman,GPeucmtin,P,Aectginin,aAtelg,iGnealtlea,nGGelulamn,  
 aGcuamth,aGnudmG,uanmdGGhuamttiG)haantdti)paonldycpaotiloynga(teio.gn.,  
 (ec.agt.i.ocnaitciognuicargugaurmguanndanCdhiCtohsiatons)au)nduner-  
 daceirdaiccpilHcpwHhiwchhaichsoaslsuoitsaubilteabfolfionrteisnttiensatliinaanldancodlon-specific  
 deldiveelirvyer(ryef(ererfteorTtoabTlaeb2le). T2).eThperepsreensceencoefosfosveevrearlaenzymes  
 cancanimprove their biodegradability characteristic (e.g., galactomannanase, pectinolytic enzymes,

microflora) [76,84–86]. Furthermore, bacteria combined with chitosan's mucoadhesive characteristic perfectly matched

**7 colon-specific drug delivery** [87]. Roy et al. (2013) evaluated that **the presence of**

cell-associated enzymes of rat cecal and colonic enzymes effectively degrade the gum Odina-chitosan complexes. They also reported that the strong interaction and elastic mechanical strength modulated the biodegradability of gum-based PECs [38]. Most peroral studies only simulated the drug release based on the simulated gastric and intestinal fluid kinetic release. However, the peroral

delivery systems are more complex in nature

and more difficult to design. The design of the drug delivery system must take into account the density, size, and the resistance of dosage form. Therefore, the advanced study must justify gum-based PECs on gastrointestinal delivery through

**14 ex vivo and in vivo studies**

. For specific site delivery, such as intestinal and colon targeting, the mucoadhesive

**14 plays a vital role in the drug delivery.** Complexes interaction of

gum-based PECs provides extended time release of the drug; however, the mucoadhesive characteristic facilitates the localization of drug release at specific sites and dwindles the local irritation. Manconi et al. (2010) successfully created chitosan-xanthan gum with liposome coating formulation by spray and freeze-drying followed by direct compression, generating tablets for colon-targeted delivery [26]. As a validation, the ex vivo study was carried out on the treated intestinal tissue (e.g., duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon) of 24-h fasted Wistar rats (13-week-old) for a mucoadhesive strength test. It was observed that mucoadhesive strength is significantly higher for colon than intestine part for both systems (SD and FD), which in this case, they successfully modified the drug release system to lower residence time in the intestine and extend release time on the colon. Several notes were considered: (1) Contact time was found to be vital for increasing the mucoadhesive strength, and (2) A contrary result to the statement of mucoadhesive strength is in line with the chain flexibility structure and polar functional groups were observed. Spray-dried tablets provide better mucoadhesive than freeze-dried, but their characteristic shows a structured, firm, and stable tablet based on the rheological and swelling studies. 3.2.2. Gum-Based PECs for Transdermal Delivery Similarly, transdermal delivery presents an interesting alternative to oral delivery because the prolonged drug release reduces dosing frequency, retaining plasma levels owing to slower release rate. In the situational occasion when oral administration creates serious side effects due to higher peak plasma levels and low drug bioavailability (caused by the narrow therapeutic window, low drug solubility, and first-pass metabolism), transdermal delivery is the best option to deliver the drug [88]. Recently, gum-based PECs were studied to develop a different formulation

**8 for drug delivery in wound healing, primarily in the form of**

membrane and hydrogel microspheres. Several studies have confirmed biocompatibility, biodegradability, moist nature, low toxicity, prolonged drug release, and transparency, essential for wound healing cells. However, Xanthan Gum-Chitosan hydrogel demonstrated the ability to support human dermal fibroblast and deliver antibiotics for local antiseptic for acute or chronic periodontitis treatment. Meanwhile, a similar study reported that Xanthan Gum-Chitosan hydrogel also improved the incorporation efficiency of the low soluble drug (up to 95%) and release (20 mg drug/g biopolymer), which slightly closed to therapeutic dosage for active wound healing for skin lesion treatments. In another study, borate modified polyvinyl alcohol and cationic guar-gum poly complexes with nanoparticle/nanocellulose were used as drug carriers. This composite has efficiently improved

**34 drug encapsulation efficiency, mechanical strength, thermal stability, skin permeability, and water vapor permeability**

. That system also presented resistance to microbial growth, supporting the growth of HaCaT cells (keratinocyte cell line from adult human skin) and negative result in skin irritation test, which potentially affects long-term skin application [52]. 3.2.3. Gum-Based PECs for Other's Delivery Route Facile preparation, accurate dosing, and high patient compliance are considered the benefits of ophthalmic drug delivery, which are also desirable for drug routes. Nevertheless, at the same time, poor intraocular bioavailability because of high rates of drug dilution and elimination provoked by lacrimal flow

and blinking along with low cornea permeability become the main challenging problem for conventional eye drops. The advancement of nanoparticles technology leads to the construction of

20 **carboxymethyl gum katira–chitosan** complexes for **ophthalmic drug delivery**

. The ratio adjustment of carboxymethyl gum katira–chitosan provided through Box–Behnken design provides optimal size particle and drug encapsulation with corneal biocompatibility and high corneal permeability. In addition, corneal permeation was also compared with commercial ofloxacin

200.3%, w/v **ophthalmic solution across** the **porcine cornea**

. It was observed that slightly higher manifest corneal permeability from nanoparticle formulation [45]. Although not so extensively, gum-based PECs were also implemented for less common delivery routes, including vaginal delivery, ophthalmic and localized drug delivery treatment. Sonje and Mahajan (2016) developed gellan-gum-chitosan with a porous sponge-like structure for lyophilized nasal inserts application. This system helps solve several drawbacks of conventional liquid and powder formulation of nasal insert, which have rapid half-life clearance,

8 **poor patient compliance, and inefficient drug dosage and delivery**. As they

combined,

8 **GG as ion activated gelling polymer and**

chitosan with mucoadhesive characteristic, allow several improvements in the dosing accuracy, facile way of administration, water uptake, prolonged drug release time at the site of infection, which have been proved by ex vivo study and in vivo study. Especially the ex vivo study demonstrated the potential nasal delivery in enhancing bioavailability compared to oral delivery based on New Zealand white rabbit as the animal model [49]. Cazorla-Luna et al. (2019) developed a vaginal gel formulation by combining pectin or locust bean gum as a gelling polymer with chitosan as muco-adhesiveness for antiretroviral drug tenofovir. As a result, the strong electrostatic interaction occurs between pectin and chitosan in simulated vaginal fluid, creating the high gelling framework and robustly structured with moderate swelling to prolonged the mucoadhesion residence time and controlled drug release time up to 4 days which the time are suitable to vaginal turnover. In addition, moderate swelling provides the guarantee of therapeutic compliance [79]. Localized cancer treatment using gum-based PECs as the matrix that sustains nanoparticles and provides release control of tamoxifen citrate was reported by [58]. The hybrid system comprising Gellan-Gum and Chitosan complexes incorporated tamoxifen citrate, which was investigated through

19 **an in vitro cell viability study of**

17 **MCF-7 (Breast Cancer Cells)**. The result showed that

composite induces toxic effect by increasing dosage of TMC loaded GG/CS complexes (10–60 µg/mL) have increased the death probability of viable cells (45.67% to 9.56%) compared to free drug-TMC suspension (53.03% to 15.54%). The authors pointed out that the nanosized particle effectively enhances the intracellular uptake capacity of MCF-7 while providing passive targeting and sustained drug delivery.

5 **This phenomenon occurred due to the** enhancement of permeability **and** retention **effect**

. The advantages of using nanoparticles were also demonstrated by Mahajan and Patil (2017) by incorporating curcumin into the nano-gel composite of GG/CS complexes. They effectively decreased the IC50 concentration from 13.6 ng/mL to 9.8 ng/mL for curcumin suspension and curcumin-loaded nano-gel, respectively [54]. In conclusion, the formulation effectively lowered the astrocytoma-glioblastoma cell line (U373MG) and presented hemocompatibility. In another study, gum-based PECs nanoparticles were also developed for specific target sites for malaria chemotherapy [48] and modified by liposome coating for drug loading and release improvement for pulmonary treatment [33]. 3.2.4.



**2**  $Q_0$  is the initial amount of drug release. Parameters  $K_0$  and  $k_1$  are the zero-order and first-order constants, respectively. In the non-linear Higuchi

model,

**3**  $Q$  is the amount of drug released on time  $t$  by area unit,  $C$  is the initial amount of drug contained in dosage form,  $C_S$  is the drug solubility in the matrix medium, and  $D$  is the coefficient of diffusion in the matrix medium

. In the linear model,  $Q_t$  and  $kH$  represent the drug release amount

**3** during the time  $t$  and the Higuchi kinetic constant

, respectively. In the Korsmeier Peppas model,  $M_t/M_0$  stands for fractional drug release at time  $t$ , while  $Kkp$  is the specific constant for each structural modification and geometrical characteristic of the system (also defined as constant release rate). Parameter

**3**  $n$  is the release exponent (correspond to the mechanism of drug release) as a function of time  $t$

. Parameters  $k_d$  and  $k_r$  in the Peppas and Sahlin model stand for the constant release rate of diffusion and polymer relaxation. Table 3. Summary of mathematical drug release model gum-based PECs. Gum-Based PECs Drug Model Carrier Form Suitable Model Xanthan Gum-Chitosan (Spray Dried)/Liposomes C-phycocyanin Hydrogel Tablets [26] Xanthan Gum-Chitosan (Freeze Dried)/Liposomes Korsmeier Peppas ( $n < 0.45$ ) (Fickian diffusion) Gum karaya-chitosan Diclofenac Sodium Tablets [31] First Order Kinetics (Simultaneous diffusion and erosion mechanism) Carboxymethyl gum kondagogu-chitosan Ofloxacin Nanoparticles [35] Higuchi (Diffusion controlled Release) Xanthan gum-Chitosan *Pediococcus acidilactici* cells Hydrogel microcapsules [39] Korsmeier Peppas (

**14**  $n > 0.85$ —Super II transport mechanism) (Chain relaxation

(mobility)-governed mechanism) Korsmeier Peppas ( $0.45 < n < 0.89$ —anomalous or Xanthan Gum-Chitosan Theophylline (Th) non-Fickian release) Peppas and Sahlin (4 h-polymer relaxation or polymer erosion; 12 h-diffusional release mechanism) Tablet [40] Korsmeier Peppas ( $0.45 < n < 0.89$ —anomalous or Metoprolol succinate non-Fickian release) Peppas and Sahlin (2–12 h diffusional release mechanism) Carboxymethyl gum katira-Chitosan Ofloxacin Nanoparticles [45] Higuchi (Diffusional governed mechanism) Gellan Gum-Chitosan Ondasetron Hydrochloride Solid Dosage [49] Korsmeier Peppas (

**3**  $0.5 < n < 1$ —anomalous (non-Fickian) transport

) Table 3. Cont. Gum-Based PECs Drug Model Carrier Form Suitable Model Rhamnogalacturonoglycan (Rh)-Chitosan (low molecular weight) (Mixing charge ratio  $n^+/n^- = 5$ ) Chloroquine Nanoparticles [48] Korsmeier Peppas ( $n > 0.89$ ) Super case-II transport of diffusion Rhamnogalacturonoglycan (Rh)-Chitosan (low molecular weight) (mixing charge ratio  $n^+/n^- = 0.1$ ) Gellan Gum-Chitosan Curcumin Nanogel [54] Korsmeier Peppas (

**3**  $0.5 < n < 1$ -anomalous (non-Fickian) transport

) Gum Ghatti-Chitosan Paracetamol Tablets [56] Zero Order Equation (drug release independent to paracetamol concentration) Xanthan Gum-Chitosan Chlorhexidine (CHX) Hydrogel Microspheres [53] Korsmeier Peppas (

**27**  $0.45 < n < 0.89$ -anomalous or non-Fickian release

) Ibuprofen Xanthan Gum-Chitosan (mass ratio of gum-based (prepared in Hydrochloric PECs: Drug (1:1)) Korsmeier Peppas acid (HCl) solution (pH 5.6) Ibuprofen ( $0.5 < n < 1$ -anomalous (mass ratio of gum-based (non-Fickian) transport) PECs: Drug (1:2)) Hard capsules [72] Ibuprofen Xanthan Gum-Chitosan (mass ratio

of gum-based (prepared in Acetic Acid (AA) PECs: Drug (1:1)) (pH 5.6) Ibuprofen (mass ratio of gum-based PECs: Drug (1:2)) The

**3zero-order kinetics** describes **the drug release**

as time-dependent,

**1and the process takes place at a constant rate independent of drug concentration** while the first-order

kinetics present contrarily. Both release model has distinctive release profile which the first-order release often represents the initial burst release effect while zero-order releases successfully predicted a sustained or slowed drug release profile. For instance, Lal et al. (2017) successfully construct PECs based on in situ formation through the combination

**2of chitosan and xanthan gum, gum ghatti as**

polycation, and polyanion, respectively. A sustained and slowed

**23drug release was observed in simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2**

, HCl 0.1 M) [56]. In a different formulation, Lankalapalli and Kolapalli (2012) reported the drug release profile in gum karaya–chitosan PECs were fitted in the first drug release model with over 90–99% of release in 6–12 h under phosphate buffer simulated fluid (pH 7.4). The disintegration of tablets causes the rapid release during dissolution, which causes the failure of matrix gel network formation in the compressed PECs, leading to less cohesiveness of swollen matrix. As observed, the pH release medium is one of the main aspects of altering the release drug profile, which correlates with the gelling and swelling ability of gum-based PECs [31]. Higuchi presents the theoretical mathematical models of the release of drugs from various matrix systems. The developed mathematical model is related to actively dispersed particles in the homogenous matrix. Several factors such as lipophilic diffusion, planar matrix, and homogenous system are considered in the Higuchi model [91]. Higuchi model can be simplified (Eq. 4) through several assumptions: (1) Higher initial drug concentration contained in the matrix compared to the drug solubility; (2) Unidirectional diffusion owing to negligible edge effect; (3) The molecular drug size must be lower than the dosage form thickness; (4) drug diffusivity constant; (5) Negligible swelling or matrix dissolution; (6) Achieving perfect sink condition in the release environment [92]. The simplified Higuchi model representing a linear function related to the drug's concentration

**22is proportional to the square root of time.** In most **drug release**

profiles in/on gum-based PECs, the Higuchi model cannot describe the release data well since their structures have the swelling characteristic. However, on some occasions, the Higuchi model could also describe the release of drugs from PECs, as reported by [35,45]. A semi-empirical model named power law was developed by

**3Korsmeyer et al. (1983) and Ritger and Peppas**

(1987). This power-law model helps analyze the unknown release mechanism or more than one drug release phenomenon (Equations (6) and (7)) [90,93,94]. The utilization of this method must comply with the assumptions: (1) One direction of drug release; (2) width and thickness ratio  $\leq 1:10$  [95]. The estimated “n” value determines the types of release mechanisms that govern the drug release profile. For easy reference, the classification of interpretation of n in different geometrical systems summarized by Bruschi (2015) is given in Table 4. Table 4. The effect of different carrier geometries on the n-value of the Korsmeyer–Peppas model (adapted from [96]). Model of Drug Release Mechanism Geometrical Shape of Drug Carrier Value of Exponential Function of n in Terms n Release of Time Variable Planar (Thin Films) 0.5 to 0.5 Fickian Diffusion Cylinders 0.45 to 0.45 Sphere 0.43 to 0.43

**143 Anomalous Transport Planar (Thin Films)  $0.5 < n < 1.0$  to  $0.5 < n < 1.0$**   
**Cylinders  $0.45 < n < 0.89$  to  $0.45 < n < 0.89$  Sphere  $0.43 < n < 0.85$  to  $0.43 < n < 0.85$**

Planar (Thin Films)  $1 < t_1$  Case I Transport Cylinders  $0.89 < t_1 < 0.89$  Sphere  $0.85 < t_1 < 0.85$  Supercase II Transport Planar (Thin Films)  $n > 1$   $t_1 > 1$  Cylinders  $n > 0.89$   $t_1 > 0.85$  Sphere  $n > 0.85$   $t_1 > 0.85$  Korsmeyer–Peppas have successfully defined the mechanism of several gum-based PECs release systems which suitable in Fickian diffusion (case I) [26], anomalous transport [40,49,53,72], a super-II transport mechanism [39,48]. In the Fickian diffusion,

**1 drug release was governed by the diffusion mechanism since the solvent transport rate or diffusion rate is higher than the**

polymer chain relaxation. Meanwhile, the release mechanism correlated

**1 anomalous transport when the velocity of solvent diffusion and polymer chain relaxation exhibited similar magnitude. For the super-II transport mechanism, the**

solvent diffusion of velocity is significantly higher than Fickian diffusion, accelerating solvent diffusion penetration [97].

**1 It is recommended to use the release curve's portion data until the point**

reaches  $M_t/M_0 < 0.6$  to provide the best solution of  $n$  value. Peppas and Sahlin develop a model to determine the

**1 two contribution mechanisms of diffusional and relaxational in the anomalous drug release process**

[98]. As present in Equation (8), the coefficient of “ $m$ ” stands for purely Fickian diffusion exponent for any geometrical shape for various controlled release systems related to coefficient  $n$  of power law

**1 for any shapes, including films cylinder and spheres**

. In this case, the contribution of the relaxation mechanism is negligible since the functional of  $t_1 m$  is expressed as the diffusional mechanism, while the relaxation mechanism is presented as a function of  $t_1 m$ . The

**1 fraction released ( $M_t/M_0$ ) at time  $t$  can be investigated by comparing different models (Korsmeyer–Peppas and Peppas–Sahlin**

) to justify which mechanism contributes to the release system. Li

**30 et al. (2014) studied the influence of the drug release mechanism of**

**6 theophylline (slightly soluble) and metoprolol succinate (free soluble) as model drugs**

in chitosan- anionic gum-based tablet

**6 with SGF followed by SIF as the release medium**

. Based on the Korsmeyer–Peppas model, the CS-XG system for both drugs presents

**6 coupled Fickian diffusion–polymer relaxation mechanisms or Fickian diffusion–erosion**

$m$  as a controlled mechanism [40]. For the initial four h, the theophylline release mechanism was governed

6by polymer relaxation or polymer erosion

, while metoprolol succinate (free soluble) release was

6controlled by diffusion and polymer swelling. In the

equilibrium stage (12 h), the

6theophylline release profiles were mainly controlled by diffusional drug transport since the swelling and erosion rate

reach equilibrium after 12 h. Meanwhile, the tablet matrix maintains the diffusional release controlled for metoprolol succinate since the release profile was affected by the large concentration gradient in the swelling matrix due to the solubility features of drugs. It can be concluded that anionic polymers and solubility of the characteristic of the drug are the keys to modulation drug release [40]. Not only to determine the mechanism, but the studies mentioned above also present that these modeling systems help evaluate the effect of several aspects such as shape, size, molecular weight, PECs composition, types of drug, etc. Shao

14et al. (2015) investigated the drug release behavior from tablet matrix-based

chitosan as polycation and various polyanionic polymers. It was found that the strength and permeability of PECs on the tablet's surface could be modulated by changing the anionic of gum. In vitro drug release in simulated gastric fluid revealed the combination of chitosan and xanthan gum provides sustained-release rate up to 24 h for highly soluble drug (sodium valproate and valproic acid mixture) with erosion and swelling controlled mechanism [43]. In addition,

13it was also observed that changing the ratio of xanthan gum and chitosan and modulating the

molecular weight of chitosan unaffected the rate of drug release. Based on the previous study, the presence of PECs-film on the tablet's surface hindered the

6matrix erosion and swelling, which further prolonged drug release

and changed the anionic gums, which provide

6different gel strength, modulating the erosion capacity of the

tablet [40]. The selection of optimal acid preparation of gum-based PECs and initial pH value is crucial in modulating

32the release rate and mechanism of the drug from the

solid carrier. As presented by Ćirić et al. (2020), with the increasing pH of acetic acid and HCl, the strength of the solid drug's physical (ionic, hydrogen bonds) interactions decreased, increasing the swelling capacity and hindrance the ibuprofen release and dissolution in phosphate buffer. The authors notified that the alteration in the release rate of ibuprofen corresponded to the different thixotropy characteristics of each type of tablet after rehydration in phosphate buffer [72]. In nanoparticles, the carrier's size is considered the priority of carrier features and drug encapsulation efficiency and release. Minkal et al. (2018) reported the effect of car- boxymethyl

20gum Katira and chitosan concentration on entrapment efficiency

and nanoparticle size prepared by the coacervation method.



. Functionalization with other polymers is usually conducted to enhance their capability in various applications. The combination of gums with other natural polymers and chitosan to form polyelectrolyte complexes (PEC) are widely studied. Gum hydrocolloid polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) have potential application in

**19 drug delivery systems. The pH and the ratio of polymers**

strongly affect the formation of PECs. The mechanism of PECs formation is based on the electrostatic interaction of two oppositely charged polymers. The ratio of polyanion and polycation also influence the particle size and mechanical properties of PECs. Besides being used for the diverse oral delivery formulation, gum-based PECs were also widely developed for peroral drug delivery, transdermal delivery, ophthalmic

**32 drug delivery, vaginal delivery, and localized drug delivery treatment. The combination of**

natural gums with other nanoparticles has a significant challenge in biomedical applications and tissue engineering. Several characteristics such as rate of material disintegration, porosity, interconnecting pore framework, and structural homogeneity are still a challenge for using PECs as a scaffold for supporting cell growth. Author Contributions: Conceptualization, data curation,

**11 writing—original draft preparation by J.N.P.; writing**

—original draft by

**5 V.B.L.; resources F.E.S**

.; formal analysis M.Y. and S.P.S.;

**11 writing— review and editing I.G.W. and S.I.; supervision**

**11 funding acquisition S.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. Funding**

**5 Directorate of Research and Community Service, Deputy for Strengthening Research and Development, Ministry of Research and Technology/National Research and Innovation Agency, Number: 150D/WM01.5/N/2021. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable**

**26 Data Availability Statement: Data sharing not applicable. Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. References**

1. BeMiller, J.N. Polysaccharides. In Carbohydrate Chemistry for Food Scientists; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 75–101. ISBN 9780128120699. 2. O'Sullivan, J.J.; O'Mahony, J.A. Food Ingredients. In Reference Module in Food Science; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 1–3. ISBN 9780081005965. 3. Williams, P.A.; Phillips, G.O. Introduction to food hydrocolloids. In Handbook of Hydrocolloids; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 3–26. 4. Verbeken, D.; Dierckx, S.; Dewettinck, K. Exudate gums: Occurrence, production, and applications. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2003, 63, 10–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 5. Nussinovitch, A. Hydrocolloids for coatings and adhesives. In Handbook of Hydrocolloids; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2009; pp. 760–806. ISBN 9781845695873. 6.

Mirhosseini, H.; Amid, B.T. A review study on chemical composition and molecular structure of newly plant gum exudates and seed gums. *Food Res. Int.* 2012, 46, 387–398. [CrossRef] 7. Rao, P.S.; Sharma, R.K. Studies on indian plant gums: Composition and graded hydrolysis of gum karaya (*Sterculia urens* Roxb). *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.-Sect. A* 1957, 45, 24–29. [CrossRef] 8. Assaad, E.; Ispas-Szabo, P. Chitosan-based polyelectrolyte complexes as pharmaceutical excipients. In *Controlled Drug Delivery*; Mateescu, M.A., Ispas-Szabo, P., Assaad, E., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2015; pp. 127–161. 9. Schlenoff, J.B.; Yang, M.; Digby, Z.A.; Wang, Q. Ion Content of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates and the Donnan Equilibrium. *Macromolecules* 2019, 52, 9149–9159. [CrossRef] 10. Müller, M. Sizing, Shaping and Pharmaceutical Applications of Polyelectrolyte Complex Nanoparticles. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* 2014, 256, 197–260. [CrossRef] 11. Kulkarni, A.D.; Vanjari, Y.H.; Sancheti, K.H.; Patel, H.M.; Belgamwar, V.S.; Surana, S.J.; Pardeshi, C.V. Polyelectrolyte complexes: Mechanisms, critical experimental aspects, and applications. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2016, 44, 1615–1625. [CrossRef] 12. Buriuli, M.; Verma, D. Polyelectrolyte Complexes (PECs) for Biomedical Applications. In *Advances in Biomaterials for Biomedical Applications*; Springer: Singapore, 2017; pp. 45–93. 13. Subbotin, A.V.; Semenov, A.N. The Structure of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates and Multilayers. *Macromolecules* 2021, 54, 1314–1328. [CrossRef] 14. Meka, V.S.; Sing, M.K.G.; Pichika, M.R.; Nali, S.R.; Kolapalli, V.R.M.; Kesharwani, P. A comprehensive review on polyelectrolyte complexes. *Drug Discov. Today* 2017, 22, 1697–1706. [CrossRef] 15. Martins, A.F.; Piai, J.F.; Schuquel, I.T.A.; Rubira, A.F.; Muniz, E.C. Polyelectrolyte complexes of chitosan/heparin and N,N,N-trimethyl chitosan/heparin obtained at different pH: I. Preparation, characterization, and controlled release of heparin. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 2011, 289, 1133–1144. [CrossRef] 16. Márquez-Beltrán, C.; Castañeda, L.; Enciso-Aguilar, M.; Paredes-Quijada, G.; Acuña-Campa, H.; Maldonado-Arce, A.; Argillier, J.F. Structure and mechanism formation of polyelectrolyte complex obtained from PSS/PAH system: Effect of molar mixing ratio, base-acid conditions, and ionic strength. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 2013, 291, 683–690. [CrossRef] 17. Chen, J.; Heitmann, J.A.; Hubbe, M.A. Dependency of polyelectrolyte complex stoichiometry on the order of addition. 1. Effect of salt concentration during streaming current titrations with strong poly-acid and poly-base. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2003, 223, 215–230. [CrossRef] 18. Logothetidis, S.; Sleiman, A.; Sayers, P.W.; Zeze, D.A.; Mabrook, M.F. *Handbook of Flexible Organic Electronics*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2015; ISBN 9781782420354. 19. Lounis, F.M.; Chamieh, J.; Gonzalez, P.; Cottet, H.; Leclercq, L. Prediction of Polyelectrolyte Complex Stoichiometry for Highly Hydrophilic Polyelectrolytes. *Macromolecules* 2016, 49, 3881–3888. [CrossRef] 20. Dragan, E.S.; Mihai, M.; Schwarz, S. Polyelectrolyte complex dispersions with a high colloidal stability controlled by the polyion structure and titrant addition rate. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2006, 290, 213–221. [CrossRef] 21. Tsuchida, E. Formation of Polyelectrolyte Complexes and Their Structures. *J. Macromol. Sci. Part A* 1994, 31, 1–15. [CrossRef] 22. Dragan, S.; Cristea, M. Polyelectrolyte complexes IV. Interpolyelectrolyte complexes between some polycations with N,N-dimethyl-2-hydroxypropylammonium chloride units and poly(sodium styrenesulfonate) in dilute aqueous solution. *Polymer* 2002, 43, 55–62. [CrossRef] 23. Fu, J.; Fares, H.M.; Schlenoff, J.B. Ion-Pairing Strength in Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules* 2017, 50, 1066–1074. [CrossRef] 24. Narkar, M.; Sher, P.; Pawar, A. Stomach-specific controlled release gellan beads of acid-soluble drug prepared by ionotropic gelation method. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 2010, 11, 267–277. [CrossRef] 25. Chen, P.H.; Kuo, T.Y.; Wang, D.M.; Lai, J.Y.; Hsieh, H.J. Use of Chitosan as a Material Stabilizer for Acidic Polysaccharides. In *Materials Science Forum*; Trans Tech Publications Ltd.: Freienbach, Switzerland, 2010; Volume 638–642, pp. 570–575. [CrossRef] 26. Manconi, M.; Mura, S.; Manca, M.L.; Fadda, A.M.; Dolz, M.; Hernandez, M.J.; Casanovas, A.; Díez-Sales, O. Chitosomes as drug delivery systems for C-phycocyanin: Preparation and characterization. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2010, 392, 92–100. [CrossRef] 27. Silva, D.A.; Maciel, J.S.; Feitosa, J.P.A.; Paula, H.C.B.; de Paula, R.C.M. Polysaccharide-based nanoparticles formation by polyelectrolyte complexation of carboxymethylated cashew gum and chitosan. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2010, 45, 5605–5610. [CrossRef] 28. Vasiliu, S.; Bunia, I.; Racovita, S.; Neagu, V. Adsorption of cefotaxime sodium salt on polymer coated ion exchange resin microparticles: Kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2011, 85, 376–387. [CrossRef] 29. Amin, K.A.M.; in het Panhuis, M. Polyelectrolyte complex materials from chitosan and gellan gum. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2011, 86, 352–358. [CrossRef] 30. Mat Amin, K.A.; Gilmore, K.J.; Matic, J.; Poon, S.; Walker, M.J.; Wilson, M.R.; in het Panhuis, M. Polyelectrolyte Complex Materials Consisting of Antibacterial and Cell-Supporting Layers. *Macromol. Biosci.* 2012, 12, 374–382. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 31. Lankalappali, S.; Kolapalli, R.M. Biopharmaceutical evaluation of diclofenac sodium controlled release tablets prepared from gum karaya-chitosan polyelectrolyte complexes. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 2012, 38, 815–824. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 32. Coutinho, D.F.; Sant, S.; Shakiba, M.; Wang, B.; Gomes, M.E.; Neves, N.M.; Reis, R.L.; Khademhosseini, A. Microfabricated photocrosslinkable polyelectrolyte-complex of chitosan and methacrylated gellan gum. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, 22, 17262. [CrossRef] 33. Manca, M.L.; Manconi, M.; Valenti, D.; Lai, F.; Loy, G.; Matricardi, P.; Fadda, A.M. Liposomes Coated with Chitosan-Xanthan Gum (Chitosomes) as Potential Carriers for Pulmonary Delivery of Rifampicin. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 2012, 101, 566–575. [CrossRef] 34. Ferstl, M.; Drechsler, M.; Rachel, R.; Rischer, M.; Engel, J.; Backofen, M.; Goepferich, A. The Impact of Polyelectrolyte Structure on the Shape of Nanoassemblies with Cationic Peptides. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 2013, 102, 2599–2607. [CrossRef] 35. Kumar, A.; Ahuja, M. Carboxymethyl gum kondagogu-chitosan polyelectrolyte complex nanoparticles: Preparation and characterization. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2013, 62, 80–84. [CrossRef] 36. Ahuja, M.; Kumar, A. Gum ghatti-chitosan polyelectrolyte nanoparticles: Preparation and characterization. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2013, 61, 411–415. [CrossRef] 37. Mahammad, S.S.; Chetty, C.M.; Murthy, K.V.R. Studies on Preparation and Characterization of Polyelectrolyte Complex of Gum Kondagogu and Chitosan: pH-Induced Changes in Swelling, Stability and Bound Water Content. *Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng.* 2013, 52,

30–37. [CrossRef] 38. Roy, P.S.; Samanta, A.; Mukherjee, M.; Roy, B.; Mukherjee, A. Designing Novel pH-Induced Chitosan–Gum Odina Complex Coacervates for Colon Targeting. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2013, 52, 15728–15745. [CrossRef] 39. Argin, S.; Kofinas, P.; Lo, Y.M. The cell release kinetics and the swelling behavior of physically crosslinked xanthan-chitosan hydrogels in simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2014, 40, 138–144. [CrossRef] 40. Li, L.; Wang, L.; Li, J.; Jiang, S.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Ding, J.; Yu, T.; Mao, S. Insights into the mechanisms of chitosan–anionic polymers-based matrix tablets for extended drug release. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2014, 476, 253–265. [CrossRef] 41. Sandeep, C.; Deb, T.K.; Moin, A.; Shivakumar, H.G. Cationic guar gum polyelectrolyte complex micro particles. *J. Young Pharm.* 2014, 6, 11–19. [CrossRef] 42. Tsai, R.-Y.; Chen, P.-W.; Kuo, T.-Y.; Lin, C.-M.; Wang, D.-M.; Hsien, T.-Y.; Hsieh, H.-J. Chitosan/pectin/gum Arabic polyelectrolyte complex: Process-dependent appearance, microstructure analysis and its application. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2014, 101, 752–759. [CrossRef] 43. Shao, Y.; Li, L.; Gu, X.; Wang, L.; Mao, S. Evaluation of chitosan–anionic polymers based tablets for extended-release of highly water-soluble drugs. *Asian J. Pharm. Sci.* 2015, 10, 24–30. [CrossRef] 44. da Silva, B.C.; de Oliveira, M.; Ferreira, J.G.L.; Sierakowski, M.R.; Simas-Tosin, F.F.; Orth, E.S.; Riegel-Vidotti, I.C. Polyelectrolyte complexes from gum arabic and gelatin: Optimal complexation pH as a key parameter to obtain reproducible microcapsules. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2015, 46, 201–207. [CrossRef] 45. Ahuja, M.; Bhatt, D.C. Carboxymethyl gum katira: Synthesis, characterization and evaluation for nanoparticulate drug delivery. *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 82363–82373. [CrossRef] 46. Sarika, P.R.; Pavithran, A.; James, N.R. Cationized gelatin/gum arabic polyelectrolyte complex: Study of electrostatic interactions. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2015, 49, 176–182. [CrossRef] 47. Sakloetsakun, D.; Preechagoon, D.; Bernkop-Schnürch, A.; Pongjanyakul, T. Chitosan–gum arabic polyelectrolyte complex films: Physicochemical, mechanical and mucoadhesive properties. *Pharm. Dev. Technol.* 2016, 21, 590–599. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 48. Magalhães, G.A., Jr.; Moura Neto, E.; Sombra, V.G.; Richter, A.R.; Abreu, C.M.W.S.; Feitosa, J.P.A.; Paula, H.C.B.; Goycoolea, F.M.; de Paula, R.C.M. Chitosan/Sterculia striata polysaccharides nanocomplex as a potential chloroquine drug release device. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2016, 88, 244–253. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 49. Sonje, A.G.; Mahajan, H.S. Nasal inserts containing ondansetron hydrochloride based on Chitosan–gellan gum polyelectrolyte complex: In vitro–in vivo studies. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2016, 64, 329–335. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 50. Hu, Q.; Wang, T.; Zhou, M.; Xue, J.; Luo, Y. Formation of redispersible polyelectrolyte complex nanoparticles from gallic acid-chitosan conjugate and gum arabic. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2016, 92, 812–819. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 51. Sant, S.; Coutinho, D.F.; Gaharwar, A.K.; Neves, N.M.; Reis, R.L.; Gomes, M.E.; Khademhosseini, A. Self-Assembled Hydrogel Fiber Bundles from Oppositely Charged Polyelectrolytes Mimic Micro-/Nanoscale Hierarchy of Collagen. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2017, 27, 1606273. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 52. Anirudhan, T.S.; Nair, S.S.; Sekhar, V.C. Deposition of gold-cellulose hybrid nanofiller on a polyelectrolyte membrane constructed using guar gum and poly(vinyl alcohol) for transdermal drug delivery. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2017, 539, 344–357. [CrossRef] 53. Kim, J.; Hwang, J.; Seo, Y.; Jo, Y.; Son, J.; Choi, J. Engineered chitosan–xanthan gum biopolymers effectively adhere to cells and readily release incorporated antiseptic molecules in a sustained manner. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2017, 46, 68–79. [CrossRef] 54. Mahajan, H.S.; Patil, P.P. In situ cross linked Chitosan-Gellan Gum Polyelectrolyte Complex Based Nanogels Containing Curcumin for Delivery to Cancer Cells. *Indian J. Pharm. Educ. Res.* 2017, 51, s40–s45. [CrossRef] 55. Huang, G.-Q.; Du, Y.-L.; Xiao, J.-X.; Wang, G.-Y. Effect of coacervation conditions on the viscoelastic properties of N,O- carboxymethyl chitosan–gum Arabic coacervates. *Food Chem.* 2017, 228, 236–242. [CrossRef] 56. Lal, N.; Dubey, J.; Gaur, P.; Verma, N.; Verma, A. Chitosan based in situ forming polyelectrolyte complexes: A potential sustained drug delivery polymeric carrier for high dose drugs. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, 79, 491–498. [CrossRef] 57. Ahuja, M.; Bhatt, D.C. Polyelectrolyte complex of carboxymethyl gum katira-chitosan: Preparation and characterization. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2018, 106, 1184–1191. [CrossRef] 58. Kathle, P.K.; Gautam, N.; Kesavan, K. Tamoxifen citrate loaded chitosan-gellan nanocapsules for breast cancer therapy: Development, characterisation and in-vitro cell viability study. *J. Microencapsul.* 2018, 35, 292–300. [CrossRef] 59. Nur, M.; Vasiljevic, T. Insulin Inclusion into a Tragacanth Hydrogel: An Oral Delivery System for Insulin. *Materials* 2018, 11, 79. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 60. Rao, K.M.; Kumar, A.; Han, S.S. Polysaccharide-based magnetically responsive polyelectrolyte hydrogels for tissue engineering applications. *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 34, 1371–1377. [CrossRef] 61. Kaur, J.; Kaur, G. Optimization of pH conditions and characterization of polyelectrolyte complexes between gellan gum and cationic guar gum. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* 2018, 29, 3035–3048. [CrossRef] 62. Brar, V.; Kaur, G. Preparation and Characterization of Polyelectrolyte Complexes of Hibiscus esculentus (Okra) Gum and Chitosan. *Int. J. Biomater.* 2018, 2018, 1–7. [CrossRef] 63. Lopes, S.A.; Veiga, I.G.; Bierhalz, A.C.K.; Pires, A.L.R.; Moraes, Á.M. Physicochemical properties and release behavior of indomethacin-loaded polysaccharide membranes. *Int. J. Polym. Mater. Polym. Biomater.* 2019, 68, 956–964. [CrossRef] 64. de Oliveira, A.C.; Vilsinski, B.H.; Bonafé, E.G.; Monteiro, J.P.; Kipper, M.J.; Martins, A.F. Chitosan content modulates durability and structural homogeneity of chitosan-gellan gum assemblies. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 128, 114–123. [CrossRef] 65. Khoerunisa, A.D.N.; Nugraheni, P.S.; Fahrurrozi, M.; Budhijanto, W. Selection of Polyanions as Complexation Agent in the Formation of Nanochitosan by Polyelectrolyte Complex Method. In *Materials Science Forum*; Trans Tech Publications Ltd.: Freienbach, Switzerland, 2019; Volume 948, pp. 140–145. [CrossRef] 66. Slavutsky, A.M.; Bertuzzi, M.A. Formulation and characterization of hydrogel based on pectin and brea gum. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 123, 784–791. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 67. Maciejewski, B.; Sznitowska, M. Gelatin Films Modified with Acidic and Polyelectrolyte Polymers—Material Selection for Soft Gastroresistant Capsules. *Polymers* 2019, 11, 338. [CrossRef] 68. Rodríguez-Rodríguez, R.; Espinosa-Andrews, H.; Morales-Hernández, N.; Lobato-Calleros, C.; Vernon-Carter, E.J. Mesquite gum/chitosan insoluble complexes: Relationship between the water state and viscoelastic properties. *J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 40, 1345–1352. [CrossRef] 69. de

Oliveira, L.C.; Barbosa, J.R.; Ribeiro, S.D.C.A.; de Vasconcelos, M.A.M.; de Aguiar, B.A.; da Silva Pereira, G.V.; Albuquerque, G.A.; da Silva, F.N.L.; Crizel, R.L.; Campelo, P.H.; et al. Improvement of the characteristics of fish gelatin–gum arabic through the formation of the polyelectrolyte complex. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2019, 223, 115068. [CrossRef] 70. Pereira, V.d.A.; Ribeiro, I.S.; Paula, H.C.B.; de Paula, R.C.M.; Sommer, R.L.; Rodriguez, R.J.S.; Abreu, F.O.M.S. Chitosan-based hydrogel for magnetic particle coating. *React. Funct. Polym.* 2020, 146, 104431. [CrossRef] 71. de Oliveira, A.C.; Sabino, R.M.; Souza, P.R.; Muniz, E.C.; Popat, K.C.; Kipper, M.J.; Zola, R.S.; Martins, A.F. Chitosan/gellan gum ratio content into blends modulates the scaffolding capacity of hydrogels on bone mesenchymal stem cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2020, 106, 110258. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 72. Ćirić, A.; Medarević, Đ.; Čalića, B.; Dobričić, V.; Mitrić, M.; Djekić, L. Study of chitosan/xanthan gum polyelectrolyte complexes formation, solid state and influence on ibuprofen release kinetics. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2020, 148, 942–955. [CrossRef] 73. Zia, I.; Jolly, R.; Mirza, S.; Umar, M.S.; Owais, M.; Shakir, M. Hydroxyapatite Nanoparticles Fortified Xanthan Gum–Chitosan Based Polyelectrolyte Complex Scaffolds for Supporting the Osteo-Friendly Environment. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* 2020, 3, 7133–7146. [CrossRef] 74. da Silva, C.E.P.; de Oliveira, M.A.S.; Simas, F.F.; Riegel-Vidotti, I.C. Physical chemical study of zein and arabinogalactans or glucuronomannans polyelectrolyte complexes and their film-forming properties. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2020, 100, 105394. [CrossRef] 75. Rana, V.; Rai, P.; Tiwary, A.K.; Singh, R.S.; Kennedy, J.F.; Knill, C.J. Modified gums: Approaches and applications in drug delivery. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2011, 83, 1031–1047. [CrossRef] 76. Koyyada, A.; Orsu, P. Natural gum polysaccharides as efficient tissue engineering and drug delivery biopolymers. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 63, 102431. [CrossRef] 77. Nazarzadeh Zare, E.; Makvandi, P.; Tay, F.R. Recent progress in the industrial and biomedical applications of tragacanth gum: A review. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2019, 212, 450–467. [CrossRef] 78. Luo, Y.; Wang, Q. Recent development of chitosan-based polyelectrolyte complexes with natural polysaccharides for drug delivery. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2014, 64, 353–367. [CrossRef] 79. Cazorla-Luna, R.; Notario-Pérez, F.; Martín-Ilana, A.; Ruiz-Caro, R.; Tamayo, A.; Rubio, J.; Veiga, M.D. Chitosan-based mucoadhesive vaginal tablets for controlled release of the anti-HIV drug tenofovir. *Pharmaceutics* 2019, 11, 20. [CrossRef] 80. Kim, D.; Thangavelu, M.; Cheolui, S.; Kim, H.S.; Choi, M.J.; Song, J.E.; Khang, G. Effect of different concentration of demineralized bone powder with gellan gum porous scaffold for the application of bone tissue regeneration. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 134, 749–758. [CrossRef] 81. Bal, Z.; Kaito, T.; Korkusuz, F.; Yoshikawa, H. Bone regeneration with hydroxyapatite-based biomaterials. *Emergent Mater.* 2020, 3, 521–544. [CrossRef] 82. Shariatnia, Z. Pharmaceutical applications of natural polysaccharides. In *Natural Polysaccharides in Drug Delivery and Biomedical Applications*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 15–57. ISBN 9780128170557. 83. Liu, L.; Yao, W.D.; Rao, Y.F.; Lu, X.Y.; Gao, J.Q. pH-responsive carriers for oral drug delivery: Challenges and opportunities of current platforms. *Drug Deliv.* 2017, 24, 569–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 84. Singh, B.N.; Trombetta, L.D.; Kim, K.H. Biodegradation behavior of gellan gum in simulated colonic media. *Pharm. Dev. Technol.* 2004, 9, 399–407. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 85. Patel, J.; Maji, B.; Moorthy, N.S.H.N.; Maiti, S. Xanthan gum derivatives: Review of synthesis, properties and diverse applications. *RSC Adv.* 2020, 10, 27103–27136. [CrossRef] 86. Assifaoui, A.; Chambin, O. *Pectin as Drug-Release Vehicle. In Pectin: Technological and Physiological Properties*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Germany, 2020; pp. 189–207. ISBN 9783030534202. 87. Samprasit, W.; Opanasopit, P.; Chamsai, B. Mucoadhesive chitosan and thiolated chitosan nanoparticles containing alpha mangostin for possible Colon-targeted delivery. *Pharm. Dev. Technol.* 2021, 26, 362–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 88. Zhu, J.; Tang, X.; Jia, Y.; Ho, C.T.; Huang, Q. Applications and delivery mechanisms of hyaluronic acid used for topical/transdermal delivery—A review. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2020, 578, 119127. [CrossRef] 89. Safdar, R.; Omar, A.A.; Arunagiri, A.; Regupathi, I.; Thanabalan, M. Potential of Chitosan and its derivatives for controlled drug release applications—A review. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 49, 642–659. [CrossRef] 90. Peppas, N.A.; Narasimhan, B. Mathematical models in drug delivery: How modeling has shaped the way we design new drug delivery systems. *J. Control. Release* 2014, 190, 75–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 91. Higuchi, T. Mechanism of Sustained- Action Medication. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1963, 52, 1145–1149. [CrossRef] 92. Siepmann, J.; Peppas, N.A. Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC). *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2012, 64, 163–174. [CrossRef] 93. Korsmeyer, R.W.; Gurny, R.; Doelker, E.; Buri, P.; Peppas, N.A. Mechanisms of solute release from porous hydrophilic polymers. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1983, 15, 25–35. [CrossRef] 94. Ritger, P.L.; Peppas, N.A. A simple equation for description of solute release I. Fickian and non-fickian release from non-swelling devices in the form of slabs, spheres, cylinders or discs. *J. Control. Release* 1987, 5, 23–36. [CrossRef] 95. Peppas, N.A. Analysis of Fickian and non-Fickian drug release from polymers. *Pharm. Acta Helv.* 1985, 60, 110–111. [PubMed] 96. Bruschi, M.L. Mathematical models of drug release. In *Strategies to Modify the Drug Release from Pharmaceutical Systems*; Bruschi, M.L., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2015; pp. 63–86. ISBN 978-0-08-100092-2. 97. Klech, C.M.; Simonelli, A.P. Examination of the moving boundaries associated with non-fickian water swelling of glassy gelatin beads: Effect of solution pH. *J. Memb. Sci.* 1989, 43, 87–101. [CrossRef] 98. Peppas, N.A.; Sahlin, J.J. A simple equation for the description of solute release. III. Coupling of diffusion and relaxation. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1989, 57, 169–172. [CrossRef] 99. Byrne, J.; Huang, H.-W.; McRae, J.C.; Babaee, S.; Soltani, A.; Becker, S.L.; Traverso, G. Devices for drug delivery in the gastrointestinal tract: A review of systems physically interacting with the mucosa for enhanced delivery. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2021, 113926. [CrossRef] 100. Gutiérrez-Hernández, J.M.; Escobar-García, D.M.; Escalante, A.; Flores, H.; González, F.J.; Gatenholm, P.; Toriz, G. In vitro evaluation of osteoblastic cells on bacterial cellulose modified with multi-walled carbon nanotubes as scaffold for bone regeneration. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, 75, 445–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 101. Zhang, L.; Webster, T.J. Nanotechnology and nanomaterials: Promises for improved tissue regeneration. *Nano Today*

2009, 4, 66–80. [CrossRef] Processes 2021, 9, 1796 2 of 29 3 of 29 3 of 30 Processes 2021, 9, 1796  
Processes 2021, 9, 1796 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 7 of 29 Processes 2021, 9,  
1796 8 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 9 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 10 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796  
11 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 12 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 13 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 14 of  
29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 15 of 29 16 of 29 16 of 30 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 17 of 29 Processes 2021,  
9, 1796 18 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 20 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 21 of  
29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 22 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 23 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 24 of 29  
Processes 2021, 9, 1796 25 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 26 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 27 of 29  
Processes 2021, 9, 1796 28 of 29 Processes 2021, 9, 1796 29 of 29