

BAB VI

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

6.1 Kesimpulan

Kesimpulan dari penelitian ini sebagai berikut:

1. Terdapat delapan belas mode limbah telah diidentifikasi sebagai *waste* kritis di FT dan FKIP UKWMS menurut hasil analisis FMEA.
2. Berdasarkan hasil analisis FMEA pada nomor 1, maka dilanjutkan MICMAC untuk mengetahui kekuatan pendorong dan nilai ketergantungannya. Berbagai saluran informasi digunakan untuk menerima informasi (WhatsApp, email, hard copy, dll.); dosen menyimpan soal ujian dari tahun sebelumnya; dosen menunggu mahasiswa datang ke kelas; dosen membutuhkan waktu lama untuk menanggapi pesan dan pertanyaan mahasiswa; kabel penghubung proyektor tidak dapat digunakan; mahasiswa yang diperiksa ulang oleh dosen telah diidentifikasi sebagai determinan otonom.

Setiap semester dosen tidak melakukan penelitian; dosen terlambat hadir untuk rapat; dosen tidak mengumpulkan laporan sampai batas waktu yang ditentukan; program kuliah diubah oleh dosen telah diidentifikasi sebagai determinan dependen.

Dosen tidak mengikuti pengabdian masyarakat setiap semester; Dosen tidak dapat menemukan file; bekerja di luar jam kerja untuk melakukan pekerjaan administrasi; dosen menghabiskan banyak waktu untuk mencari dokumen, file, dan jurnal yang telah diidentifikasi sebagai *linkage determinants*.

Perbaikan fasilitas memakan waktu lama; setiap semester, beban mengajar sangat banyak; tugas tidak diserahkan tepat waktu oleh siswa; dosen diberikan tanggung jawab dan tugas yang tidak terkait dengan keahliannya telah diidentifikasi sebagai *driver determinants*.

6.2 Saran

Saran untuk penelitian selanjutnya adalah identifikasi *waste* dan risikonya tidak hanya dilakukan di salah satu kampus UKMWS, namun melibatkan kampus lainnya sehingga dapat diperoleh informasi yang lebih lengkap mengenai kondisi *lean waste* di UKWMS. Hasil dari penelitian ini diharapkan dapat menjadi *input* bagi pimpinan FT dan FKIP dalam menyusun strategi untuk menerapkan *quality management* di Fakultas masing-masing.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adyatama, A., & Handayani, N. U. (2018). Perbaikan Kualitas Menggunakan Prinsip Kaizen Dan 5 Why Analysis : Studi Kasus Pada Painting Shop Karawang Plant 1 , Pt Toyota Motor Manufacturing Indonesia. *J@ti Undip: Jurnal Teknik Industri*, 13(3), 169–176.
- Alawamleh, M., & Popplewell, K. (2011). Interpretive structural modelling of risk sources in a virtual organisation. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(20), 6041–6063. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2010.519735>
- Antony, J., Ghadge, A., Ashby, S. A., & Cudney, E. A. (2018). Lean Six Sigma journey in a UK higher education institute: a case study. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 35(2), 510–526. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-01-2017-0005>
- Balzer, William K; Brodke, Michelle H; Kizhakethalackal, E. T. (2015). Lean higher education: successes, challenges, and realizing potential. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 32(9), 924–933.
- Balzer, W. K., Francis, D. E., Krehbiel, T. C., & Shea, N. (2016). A review and perspective on Lean in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 24(4), 442–462. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-03-2015-0011>
- Cavdur, F., Yagmahan, B., Oguzcan, E., Arslan, N., & Sahan, N. (2019). Lean service system design: a simulation-based VSM case study. *Business Process Management Journal*, 25(7), 1802–1821. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-02-2018-0057>
- Chiozza, M. L., & Ponzetti, C. (2009). FMEA: A model for reducing medical errors. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 404(1), 75–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2009.03.015>
- Cudney, E. A., Sandilya, S., Venuthurumilli, J., Materla, T., & Antony, J. (2018). Total Quality Management & Business Excellence Systematic review of Lean and Six Sigma approaches in higher education education. *Total Quality Management*, 0(0), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2017.1422977>
- Davidson, J. M., Price, O. M., & Pepper, M. (2020). Lean Six Sigma and quality frameworks in higher education – a review of literature. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, February. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-03-2019-0028>
- de Oliveira, R. I., Sousa, S. O., & de Campos, F. C. (2019). Lean manufacturing implementation: bibliometric analysis 2007–2018. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 101(1–4), 979–988. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-2965-y>
- Douglas, Jacqueline Ann; Jiju, Anthony; Douglas, A. (2015). Article information : Authors, F. (2015). Article Information :, 32(9).
- Douglas, J. A., Antony, J., & Douglas, A. (2015). Waste identification and elimination in HEIs: the role of Lean thinking. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 32(9), 970–981. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-10-2014-0160>
- Gupta, S., Sharma, M., & Sunder M, V. (2016). Lean services: a systematic review. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 65(8), 1025–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-02-2015-0032>
- Hartanti, L., Mulyana, I., & Hartiana, T. (2020). Waste In Higher Education Institution: A Systematic Literature Review. *Waste In Higher Education Institution: A Systematic Literature Review*, 9(9), 16–22.
- Höfer, S., & Naeve, J. (2017). The Application of Lean Management in Higher Education. *International Journal of Contemporary Management*, 16(4), 63–80. <https://doi.org/10.4467/24498939ijcm.17.038.8261>
- Kazancoglu, Y., & Ozkan-Ozen, Y. D. (2019). Lean in higher education: A proposed model for

- lean transformation in a business school with MCDM application. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 27(1), 82–102. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-12-2016-0089>
- Krdžalić, A., Brgulja, A., & Duraković, B. (2020). Implementation of lean practices in a higher education institution's student affairs office: A case study from a Bosnian University. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 10(2), 567–577. <https://doi.org/10.18517/ijaseit.10.2.10822>
- Kumar, N., Kumar, S., Haleem, A., & Gahlot, P. (2013). Implementing lean manufacturing system: ISM approach. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 6(4), 996–1012. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.508>
- Kusrini, E., Safitri, W., & Helia, V. N. (2019). Identify Key Success Factors Using Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) : A Case Study in Small and Medium Enterprise in Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 697(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/697/1/012015>
- Liu, H. (2019). *Improved FMEA Methods for Proactive Healthcare Risk Analysis*. Springer Singapore.
- Magnezi, R., Hemi, A., & Hemi, R. (2016). Using the failure mode and effects analysis model to improve parathyroid hormone and adrenocorticotrophic hormone testing. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 9, 271–274. <https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S117472>
- Narayananamurthy, G., Gurumurthy, A., & Chockalingam, R. (2017). Applying lean thinking in an educational institute – an action research. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 66(5), 598–629. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-07-2016-0144>
- Okfalisa, Anugrah, S., Anggraini, W., Absor, M., Fauzi, S. S. M., & Saktioto. (2018). Integrated analytical hierarchy process and objective matrix in balanced scorecard dashboard model for performance measurement. *Telkomnika (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, 16(6), 2703–2711. <https://doi.org/10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v16i6.9648>
- Petrusch, A., Roehe Vaccaro, G. L., & Luchese, J. (2019). They teach, but do they apply?: An exploratory survey about the use of Lean thinking in Brazilian higher education institutions. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 10(3), 743–766. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-07-2017-0089>
- Psomas, E., & Antony, J. (2017). Total quality management elements and results in higher education institutions: The Greek case. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 25(2), 206–223. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-08-2015-0033>
- Qayyum, A., & Manarvi, I. (2017). Implementation of Lean Thinking in Higher Educational Institutions (Heis). *INTED2017 Proceedings*, 1(March), 699–710. <https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2017.0307>
- Robinson, M., & Yorkstone, S. (2014). Becoming a Lean University : The Case of the University of St Andrews. *Leadership and Governance in Higher Education*, 1.
- Sahney, S., Banwet, D. K., & Karunes, S. (2010). Organizational culture, Sri Lanka, public sector organizations, total quality management, hospitals: An administrative staff perspective in the indian context. *TQM Journal*, 22(1), 56–71. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17542731011009621>
- Sfakianaki, E., & Kakouris, A. (2019). Lean thinking for education: development and validation of an instrument. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 36(6), 917–950. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-07-2018-0202>
- Sohani, N., & Sohani, N. (2012). Developing Interpretive Structural Model for Quality Framework in Higher Education : Indian Context. *Journal of Engineering, Science & Management Education*, 5(II), 495–501.

- Svensson, C., Antony, J., Majed, M. B.-E., & Bakhsh Saja Alblawi. (2015). A Lean Six Sigma program in higher education. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 32(9). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-09-2014-0141>
- Takalo, S. K., Sadr Abadi, A. R. N., Vesal, S. M., Mirzaei, A., & Nawaser, K. (2013). Fuzzy failure analysis: A new approach to service quality analysis in higher education institutions (Case study: Vali-e-asr University of Rafsanjan-Iran). *International Education Studies*, 6(9), 93–106. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v6n9p93>
- Thirkell, E., & Ashman, I. (2014). Lean towards learning: connecting Lean Thinking and human resource management in UK higher education. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(21), 2957–2977. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2014.948901>
- Thürer, M., Tomašević, I., & Stevenson, M. (2017). On the meaning of ‘Waste’: review and definition. *Production Planning and Control*, 28(3), 244–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2016.1264640>
- Varouchas, E., Sicilia, M. A., & Sánchez-Alonso, S. (2018). Towards an integrated learning analytics framework for quality perceptions in higher education: a 3-tier content, process, engagement model for key performance indicators. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 37(10–11), 1129–1141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2018.1495765>
- Vijaya Sunder, M. (2016). Constructs of quality in Higher Education services. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 60(6), 6–21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17410401111140374%5Cnhttp://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17410400810841209%5Cnhttp://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02756661211281507>