Chapter 5

Conclusion and Suggestion

This chapter comprises conclusions and suggestions based on the research findings and the discussions presented in the previous chapter. The suggestions are addressed to students and teachers/lecturers.

5.1 Conclusion

The result of the research indicated that students had problems in the post-test. The problems related with the questions of the post-test. Based on the data obtained, the problems faced by the students on two items of questions: multiple choice and completion. Some factors caused the students to feel difficult to answer the questions. They had difficulty in identifying numbers and alphabets and in focusing on details especially when the oral texts were long.

5.2 Suggestion

In this part, the writer will give suggestion to students and teachers/lecturers. For students, the writer suggests that students practice more about IELTS listening section. Based on the score of the post-test, some students got bad scores. So, they must practice more to improve their skill.

For teachers/lecturers, the writer suggests they give more exercises on numbers and alphabets. Besides, they give practices on how to pronounce English words correctly when they feel errors during the listening class. Last but not least, they give student more exercises on long texts and show how to locate detail in spoken texts so that the students can answer the text problem correctly.

References

- Al-Alwan, A., Asassfeh, S., & Al-Shboul, Y. 2013. EFL Learners' Listening Comprehension and Awareness of Metacognitive Strategies: How Are They Related? *International Education Studies,6(9)*, 31-39.

- AlleyDog. 1998. *Sensation & Perception*. Class Notes.

- Allwright, J and Banerjee, J. 1997. *Investigating the accuracy of admissions criteria: A case study in a British university*. Centre for Research in Language Education, Lancaster University, Lancaster

- Aryadoust, V. 2013. *Building a validity argument for a listening test of academic proficiency*, Cambridge Scholars, Cambridge.

- Azmi, B. M., Celik, B., Yidliz, N., & Tugrul, M. C. 2014. Listening Comprehension Difficulties Encountered by Students in Second language Learning Class. *Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies in the World ,4(4)*, 1-6.

- Badger, R. & Yan, X. 2012. The use of tactics and strategies by Chinese students in the listening component of IELTS. In L. Taylor & C. Weir (Eds.), *Studies in language testing, IELTS collected papers 2* (pp.454-486), Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.34.

- Bayliss, A and Ingram, D. 2006. '*IELTS as a predictor of academic language performance*', Australian International Education Conference, February 2010

- Bellingham, L, 1993, 'The relationship of language proficiency to academic success for international students', *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies, 30 vol 2*, pp 229-232.

- Breeze, R. & Miller, P. 2012. Predictive validity of the IELTS listening test as an indicator of student coping ability in English-medium undergraduate courses in Spain. In L. Taylor & C. Weir (Eds.), *Studies in language testing, IELTS collected papers 2*, (pp. 487-518). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press 34.

- Brown, J.D. 1986. The effectiveness of teaching reduced form of listening comprehension. *RELC Journal 12(1)*.

- Chastain, K. 1971. The Development of Modern Language Skills: Theory to Practice. Philadelphia: Center for Curriculum Development. Goss, B. (1982). Listening as Information Processing. *Communication Quarterly, 30*, 304-307.

- Cronbach, L. J. and P. E. Meehl. 1955. 'Construct validity in psychological tests.' *Psychological Bulletin 52(4)*, 281-302.

- Cross, J. 2009. Diagnosing the process, text, and intrusion problems responsible for L2 listeners' decoding errors. *Asian EFL Journal, 11(2)*, 31-53.

- Feast, V. 2002. 'The impact of IELTS scores on performance at university', *International Education Journal, 3 vol 4*, pp. 70-85

- Festinger, Leon. 1956. *An Introduction to the Theories of Cognitive Dissonance*.

- Fiocco, M. 1992. '*English proficiency levels of students from a non-English speaking background: A study of IELTS as an indicator of tertiary success*'. Unpublished research report, Curtin University, Perth

- Fulcher, G. and Davidson, F. 2009. Test architecture, test retrofit. *Language Testing,26(1)* 123–144.

- Fulcher, G. 2010. *Practical language testing*. London : Hodder Education.

- Goh, C. C. M. 2000. A cognitive perspective on language learners' listening comprehension problems. *System, 28(1)*, 55-75.

- Hamouda, A. 2013. An Investigation of Listening Comprehension Problems Encountered by Saudi Students in the EL Listening Classroom. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development, 2(2)*, 113-15.

- Hasan, A. 2000. Learners' perceptions of listening comprehension problems. *Language, Culture and Curriculum, 13(2)*, 137-153.

- Kerlinger, F. and Lee, H. B. 2000. *Foundations of Behavioral Research*. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt College Publishers.

- Liu, N. F. 2002. *Processing problems in L2 listening comprehension of university students in Hong Kong*. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved on June 3, 2014 from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database. (UMI No. 3074191).

- Moore, T. Morton, J. Price, S. (2012). Construct validity in the IELTS Academic Reading test: A comparison of reading requirements in IELTS test items and in university study. *IELTS Research Reports, (Vol.11).* Swinburne University. IELTS Australia and British Council.

- Nakatshuhara, F. (2012). The relationship between test takers' listening proficiency and their performance on the IELTS Speaking test. In L. Taylor & C. Weir (Eds.), *Studies in language testing, IELTS collected papers 2.* (pp. 519-573). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 34.

- Osada, N. (2004). Listening comprehension research: A brief review of the past thirty years. *Dialogue, 3*, 53–66.

- Pourhosein, G. A., & Ahmadi, S. M. (2011). The Effect of Text Familiarity on Iranian EFL Learners' Listening Comprehension. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research,2(4)*, 783-789.

- Rees, J, 1999, 'Counting the cost of international assessment: why universities may need to get a second opinion', *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education,24 vol 4*, pp 427-438

- Robinson, P. (2001).Task complexity, task difficulty and task production: Exploring interactions in a componential framework. *Applied Linguistics 21*, 27–57.

- Skehan, P. (1996). A framework for the implementation of task-based instruction. *Applied Linguistics 17*, 38–62.

- Skehan, P. and Foster, P. (1999). The influence of task structure and processing conditions on narrative retellings. *Language Learning 49*, 93–120.

- Steinberg, S. (2007). *An Introduction to Communication Studies*. Juta and Company Ltd.

- Vandergrift, L. (2003). Orchestrating strategy use: Toward a model of the skilled second language listener. *Language Learning, 53(3)*, 463-496.

- Vandergrift, L., Goh, C., Mareschal, C., & Tafaghodtari, M. 2006. The Metacognitive Awareness Listening Questionnaire (MALQ): Development and Validation. *Language Learning,56*, 431-462.

- Williams, Yolanda. 2003. *What is Perception?*. Chapter 3.7 Williams' notes.