

**THE CORRELATION BETWEEN  
METACOGNITIVE READING STRATEGIES AND  
READING COMPREHENSION OF ENGLISH DEPARTMENT STUDENTS**

**A THESIS**

By:

Kezia Zhanetta Alfangca

8212715005



**ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT  
GRADUATE SCHOOL  
WIDYA MANDALA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY  
SURABAYA  
2017**

**Approval Sheet**  
**(I)**

This thesis entitled **The Correlation between Metacognitive Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension** prepared and submitted by Kezia Zhanetta Alfanga, S. Pd. (8212715005) has been approved to be examined by Thesis Advisor.



**Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D.**

**Thesis Advisor**

**Approval Sheet  
(II)**

This thesis entitled **The Correlation between Metacognitive Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension** prepared and submitted by Kezia Zhanetta Alfangca, S. Pd. (8212715005) has been approved to be examined by Thesis Board of Examiners.



**(Prof. Dr. A. Ngadiman)**  
Chair



**(Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D.)**  
Secretary



**(Dr. Budiyo)**  
Member



## Statement of Authenticity

I declare that this thesis is my own writing, and it is true and correct that I did not take any scholarly ideas or work from others dishonestly, and that all the cited works were quoted in accordance with the ethical code of academic writing.

I also declare that I agree to submit my thesis entitled “**The Correlation between Metacognitive Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension**” to Widya Mandala Catholic University library and fully understand that it will be made public via Internet and other uses of online media.

Surabaya, 10 Agustus 2017



ETERAI  
EMPEL  
TGL. 20  
C87DF02680056  
10000  
RUPIAH

Kezia Zhanetta Alfangca, S. Pd.  
NRP. 8212715005

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost I am grateful to The Almighty God for His great blessings which shape me until what I am today.

It is a pleasure to thank these following people who made this a long-awaited completion of this thesis possible.

I would like to express my gratitude to my academic advisor, Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D., who always provided guidance and advice throughout my time as her student.

My grateful thanks are also extended to the examiners, Prof. Dr. A. Ngadiman and Dr. Budiyo, who gave insightful, constructive suggestions and always responded to my queries.

I greatly appreciate permission given by Dr. Ruruh Mindari and M. G. Retno Palupi, M.Pd. to conduct instruments to the subjects of this research.

My gratitude also goes to other staffs and personnel of English Department Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya who had been very kind to provide assistance needed.

I would also like to extend my thanks to faculty members and staffs of English Education Department Graduate Program Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya for the assistance.

I am highly indebted to my juniors, all students who are participating as the subjects of this research. Thank you all very much for your willingness and time to participate until the final stage of this research.

My warm thanks to Drs. Santi Widiati, head of Self Access Centre, and my colleagues in SAC, who continually supported me to finish my thesis as soon as possible. It is truly a wonderful experience to work with you all.

I sincerely thank my high school friend, Sirlus Andrianto, for helping me understand terms and procedures used in this research.

My good friend, Febe Lenny, who always supports me until the end.

Finally, my wholehearted gratitude goes to my parents and my relatives for their constant love, prayer, support, care, and encouraging words.

I acknowledge other friends and well-wishers whom I have not mentioned above and whose best wishes have always encouraged me.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>APPROVAL SHEET (1)</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>APPROVAL SHEET (2)</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>ix</b>

### **CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 The Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Theoretical Framework	6
1.5 The Significance of the Study	6
1.6 Limitation and Scope	7
1.7 Hypothesis	8
1.8 Definition of Key Terms	8
1.9 Organization of the Thesis	9

### **CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Reading	10
2.2 ESL/ EFL Reading Strategies	12
2.3 Learning Strategies	15
2.4 The Metacognitive Awareness Reading Strategies Inventory (MARS)	23
2.5 Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL)Reading Comprehension	24
2.6 Reading Comprehension	27
2.7 Previous Studies	29

### **CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD**

3.1 Research Design	32
3.2 Population and Sample	33
3.3 Variables	33
3.4 Research Instrument	34
3.5 Data Collection Procedure	45
3.6 Data Analysis Procedure	45

## **CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

4.1 Research Findings	48
4.2 Discussion	54

## **CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS**

5.1 Conclusion	58
5.2 Suggestions	60

<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>68</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 3.1	Adopted items from MARSII and SILL	35
Table 3.2	The Likert Scales used in the Metacognitive Reading Strategies Survey	37
Table 3.3	The Specification of Reading Comprehension Test (for the Try Out)	38
Table 3.4	The Specification of Reading Comprehension Test (Research Instrument)	43
Table 4.1	Frequency Distribution of Global Reading Strategies	48
Table 4.2	Frequency Distribution of Problem Solving Reading Strategies	49
Table 4.3	Frequency Distribution of Support Reading Strategies	50
Table 4.4	Frequency Distribution of Overall Metacognitive Reading Strategies	51

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 1	Reading Comprehension Scores of Research Subjects (Try Out And Instrument)	65
APPENDIX 2	Reliability Calculation of Reading Comprehension Test (For The Try Out)	66
APPENDIX 3	The Result of Item Discrimination Calculation of Reading Comprehension Test (For The Try Out)	67
APPENDIX 4	The Result of Item Facility Calculation of Reading Comprehension Test (For The Try Out)	68
APPENDIX 5	The Result of Metacognitive Reading Strategies Survey	69
APPENDIX 6	The Statistical Analysis of Metacognitive Reading Strategies Survey	70
APPENDIX 7	The Rank of Metacognitive Reading Strategies Survey Items	72
APPENDIX 8	Correlation Between Metacognitive Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension	73
APPENDIX 9	Critical Values of Pearson Correlation Coefficient	75
APPENDIX 10	Reading Comprehension Test	76
APPENDIX 11	Answer Key of Reading Comprehension Test	83
APPENDIX 12	Metacognitive Reading Strategies Survey	84

## ABSTRACT

Alfangca, Kezia Z. 2017. *The Correlation between Metacognitive Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension*. Master Thesis. Graduate Program Master in Teaching English as a Foreign Language Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya. Advisor: Siti Mina Tamah, Ph.D.

**Keywords:** *metacognitive reading strategies, reading comprehension, correlation, global reading strategies, problem-solving reading strategies, support reading strategies.*

The present research explored the metacognitive reading strategies used by university students and the correlation between metacognitive reading strategies (Global, Problem-Solving, and Support reading strategies) and reading comprehension. Specifically, the objectives of this research are four-folds, namely to identify metacognitive reading strategies used by the students during reading, to find the correlation between Global Reading Strategies (GLOB) and reading comprehension, to find the correlation between Problem-Solving Reading Strategies (PROB) and reading comprehension, and to find the correlation between Support Reading Strategies (SUPP) and reading comprehension.

A survey on metacognitive reading strategies and a reading comprehension test were administered to identify students' metacognitive reading strategies and comprehension performance. The subjects were 31 students of English Department at a university in Surabaya. The findings revealed that students preferred to use PROB reading strategies the most, followed by GLOB reading strategies, and SUPP reading strategies. This finding on prime preferences indicates that students had a tendency to concentrate and monitor their comprehension, particularly when they had difficulties in doing the reading test.

In terms of correlation, the findings revealed that (1) a positive, moderate (at .66) correlation between GLOB strategies and reading comprehension, (2) a positive, strong correlation (at .72) between PROB strategies and reading comprehension, and (3) a positive, weak correlation (at .26) between SUPP strategies and reading comprehension. In general, the overall correlation between metacognitive reading strategies and reading comprehension was positive and strong at .79. The aforementioned correlation results tell us that students whose metacognitive reading awareness was high performed better in their reading comprehension than students whose metacognitive reading awareness was low.