



Turnitin Originality Report

JFDA-14-1 by Suryadi Ismadji

From paper 2 (Hippo-hippo 02)

Processed on 16-Feb-2018 14:17 WIB

ID: 916895469

Word Count: 5535

Similarity Index	Similarity by Source
20%	Internet Sources: 11%
	Publications: 20%
	Student Papers: 10%

sources:

1

1% match (Internet from 06-Nov-2016)

<http://ijppr.com/volume7issue1article1/>

2

1% match (student papers from 21-Mar-2015)

[Submitted to Grimsby College, South Humberside on 2015-03-21](#)

3

1% match (publications)

[Liu, Benguo, Jiguo Yang, Yuxiang Ma, Erdong Yuan, and Chungang Chen. "Antioxidant and angiotensin converting enzyme \(ACE\) inhibitory activities of ethanol extract and pure flavonoids from Adinandra nitida leaves", Pharmaceutical Biology, 2010.](#)

4

1% match (Internet from 22-Sep-2017)

[http://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj15\(8\)11/11.pdf](http://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj15(8)11/11.pdf)

5

1% match (publications)

[Staff, Editorial. "References", NIR news, 2014.](#)

6

1% match (publications)

[Santoso, Shella Permatasari, Artik Elisa Angkawijaya, Yi-Hsu Ju, Felycia Edi Soetaredjo, Suryadi Ismadji, and Aning Ayucitra. "Synthesis, characterization, thermodynamics and biological studies of binary and ternary complexes including some divalent metal ions, 2, 3-dihydroxybenzoic acid and N-acetylcysteine", Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers, 2016.](#)

7

1% match (publications)

[Baek, Youngsu, Young Jun Kim, Moo-Yeol Baik, Dae-Ok Kim, and Hyungjae Lee. "Total phenolic contents and antioxidant activities of Korean domestic honey from different floral sources", Food Science and Biotechnology, 2015.](#)

8

1% match (publications)

[Tuyen, Phung Thi, Do Tan Khang, Luong The Minh, Truong Ngoc Minh, Pham Thi Thu Ha, Abdelnaser Abdelghany Elzaawely, and Tran Dang Xuan. "Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Activity of *Castanopsisphuthoensis* and *Castanopsis grandicaticata*", International Letters of Natural Sciences, 2016.](#)

9

1% match (publications)

[Yin, Shipeng, Hee-Chul Woo, Jae-Hyung Choi, Yong-Beom Park, and Byung-Soo Chun. "Measurement of Antioxidant Activities and Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents of the Brown Seaweed *Sargassum horneri*: Comparison of Supercritical CO₂ and Various Solvent Extractions", Fisheries and aquatic sciences, 2015.](#)

10

1% match (publications)

[Khashayar Namvar, Ameneh Mohammadi, Esmail Ataei Salehi, Peyman Feyzi. "Evaluation of Solvent Effect \(Methanol: Water Mixture\) on the Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activities of *Stachys turcomanica* Trautv", Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2017](#)

11

1% match (student papers from 31-Oct-2017)

[Submitted to Naresuan University on 2017-10-31](#)

12

1% match (publications)

[Jiang, Y. J., X. C. Piao, J. S. Liu, J. Jiang, Z. X. Lian, M. J. Kim, and M. L. Lian. "Bioactive compound production by adventitious root culture of *Oplopanax elatus* in balloon-type airlift bioreactor systems and bioactivity property", Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture \(PCTOC\), 2015.](#)

13

1% match (publications)

[Metrouh-Amir, Hassiba, Catarina M.M. Duarte, and Fadila Maiza. "Solvent effect on total phenolic contents, antioxidant, and antibacterial activities of *Matricaria pubescens*", Industrial Crops and Products, 2015.](#)

14

1% match (student papers from 08-Oct-2015)

[Submitted to Jamia Milia Islamia University on 2015-10-08](#)

15

1% match (Internet from 26-Dec-2017)

http://www.ejmii.com/article_pdf.php?code=PDT55599295a646f

16

1% match (publications)

[Chen, Hao, Shuang Qiu, Jing Gan, Zaigui Li, Satoru Nirasawa, and Lijun Yin. "New insights into the antioxidant activity and components in crude oat oil and soybean oil", Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2015.](#)

17

1% match (publications)

[Ahmed Eid Fazary. "Nonaqueous Solution Studies on the Protonation Equilibria of some Phenolic Acids", Journal of Solution Chemistry, 09/2008](#)

18

1% match (student papers from 08-Jan-2018)

[Submitted to Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia on 2018-01-08](#)

19

1% match (publications)

[Javadi, Neda; Abas, Faridah; Mediani, Ahmed; Abd Hamid, Azizah; Khatib, Alfi; Simoh, Sanimah and Shaari, Khozirah. "Effect of storage time on metabolite profile and alpha-](#)

glucosidase inhibitory activity of Cosmoscaudatus leaves - GCMS based metabolomics approach", Journal of Food & Drug Analysis, 2015.

20

1% match (Internet from 06-May-2016)

<http://www.ijddr.in/drug-development/in-vitro-antioxidant-and-antiproliferative-activities-of-seed-extracts-ofnymphaea-mexicana-in-different-solvents-and-gcms-analysis.php?aid=5714>

21

< 1% match (Internet from 16-Mar-2016)

<http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/biology/issues/biy-13-37-1/biy-37-1-10-1203-41.pdf>

22

< 1% match (Internet from 19-Sep-2017)

<https://preview-bmccomplementalternmed.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6882-13-53>

23

< 1% match (Internet from 14-May-2016)

http://file.scirp.org/Html/9-2700365_18493.htm

24

< 1% match (publications)

[Das, Moonjit, Himaja Malipeddi, N. Arunai Nambiraj, and Reshma Rajan. "Phytochemical Analysis, Antioxidant Activity and In Vitro Growth Inhibition of Struvite Crystals by Ipomoea Eriocarpa Leaf Extracts : Urolithiasis Activity of Ipomoea Eriocarpa", Journal of Food Biochemistry, 2015.](#)

25

< 1% match (publications)

[Shiming Li, Chih-Yu Lo, Chi-Tang Ho. " Hydroxylated Polymethoxyflavones and Methylated Flavonoids in Sweet Orange \(.\) Peel ", Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2006](#)

26

< 1% match (publications)

[Sin Park, Moon Do, Jae Lee, Minsun Jeong, Oh Lim, Sun Kim. "Inhibitory Effect of Arachis hypogaea \(Peanut\) and Its Phenolics against Methylglyoxal-Derived Advanced Glycation End Product Toxicity", Nutrients, 2017](#)

27

< 1% match (Internet from 04-Jun-2017)

<http://ce.sysu.edu.cn/Uploadfiles/old/upload/20141223192225.pdf>

28

< 1% match (publications)

[Uddin, Nazim, Nazim Uddin Ahmed, Atiar Rahman, Rasheda Akter, and Rehana Akter. "Antioxidative Potential of the Polyphenolics of Stephania japonica var. Discolor \(Blume\) Forman: A Chromatographic \(HPLC\) and Spectrophotometric Measure", International Journal of Food Properties, 2015.](#)

29

< 1% match (publications)

[Zhao, B.. "Composition and antioxidant activity of raisin extracts obtained from various solvents", Food Chemistry, 20080515](#)

30

< 1% match (Internet from 14-Aug-2012)

http://www.dlr-online.de/fileadmin/content/pdf/DLR_Archiv/Index2008/dlr_02_08.pdf

31

< 1% match (publications)

[Arabshahi-Delouee, S.. "Antioxidant properties of various solvent extracts of mulberry \(Morus indica L.\) leaves", Food Chemistry, 2007](#)

32

< 1% match (student papers from 10-Jan-2018)

[Submitted to Academic Library Consortium on 2018-01-10](#)**paper text:**

19**Available online at www.sciencedirect.com** ScienceDirect **journal homepage: www.jfda-online.com Original Article**

Effect of extraction solvent on total phenol content, total flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of *Limnophila aromatica* Quy Diem Do a, Artik Elisa Angkawijaya a, Phuong Lan Tran-Nguyen a, Lien Huong Huynh b,

6**Felycia Edi Soetaredjo c, Suryadi Ismadji c, Yi-Hsu Ju a,* a Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taipei 106-07, Taiwan b Department of Chemical Engineering, Can Tho University,**

Can Tho City, Viet Nam

6**c Department of Chemical Engineering, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Kalijudan 37, Surabaya 60114, Indonesia**

27**article info Article history: Received 18 July 2013 Received in revised form 12 November 2013 Accepted 21 November 2013 Available online 18 December 2013 Keywords:**

Antioxidants activity Effect of solvent Food analysis *Limnophila aromatica* Total phenol content abstract

25***Limnophila aromatica* is commonly used as a spice and a medicinal herb in Southeast Asia.**

In this study, water and various concentrations (50%, 75%, and 100%) of methanol, ethanol, and acetone in water were used as solvent in the extraction of *L. aromatica*. The antioxidant activity, total phenolic content, and total flavonoid content of the freeze-dried *L. aromatica* extracts

10 **were investigated using various in vitro assays. The**

16 **extract obtained by 100% ethanol showed the highest total antioxidant activity, reducing power and DPPH**

(2,2- diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity. The same extract also exhibited the highest phenolic content (40.5 mg gallic acid equivalent/g of defatted *L. aromatica*) and the highest flavonoid content (31.11 mg quercetin equivalent/g of defatted *L. aromatica*). The highest extraction yield was obtained by using 50% aqueous acetone. These results indicate that *L. aromatica* can be used in dietary applications with a potential to reduce oxidative stress. Copyright ^a 2013,

19 **Food and Drug Administration, Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.**

1. Introduction Many plants, particularly medicinal

24 **plants, have been extensively studied for their antioxidant activity in recent years.**

1 **It is believed that an increased intake of food rich in natural antioxidants is associated with lower risks of degenerative diseases, particularly cardiovascular diseases and**

cancer [1]. Antioxidants from aromatic, spicy, medicinal, and other plants were studied

8 **to develop natural antioxidant formulations for food, cosmetic, and other**

applications [2]. There are three major classes of plant chemicals: terpenoids, phenolic * Corresponding author.

17Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, 43, Section 4, Keelung Road, Taipei 106-07, Taiwan.
E-mail address: yhju @mail.ntust.edu.tw (Y.-H. Ju). 1021-9498/\$ e

see front matter Copyright © 2013, Food and Drug Administration, Taiwan.

15Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2013>.

11.001 metabolites, and alkaloids [3]. Among these three groups, phenolic compounds are the most important for dietary applications and the most extensively researched [4]. Phenolic compounds include phenolic acids (hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids), polyphenols (hydrolyzable and condensed tannins), and flavonoids. These compounds protect plants, fruits, and vegetables from oxidative damage and have been used as antioxidants by humans.

31Finding new and safe antioxidants from natural sources

is of great interest for applications in natural antioxidants, functional foods, and nutraceuticals. Phytochemical screening is one of the methods that have been used to explore antioxidant compounds in plants. There are many techniques to recover antioxidants from plants, such as Soxhlet extraction, maceration, supercritical fluid extraction, subcritical water extraction, and ultrasound-assisted extraction. However,

11extraction yield and antioxidant activity not only depend on the extraction method but also on the solvent used for

extraction.

7The presence of various antioxidant compounds with different chemical characteristics and polarities may or may not be soluble in a particular solvent [5]. Polar solvents

are frequently used for recovering polyphenols from plant matrices. The most suitable solvents are

7aqueous mixtures containing ethanol, methanol, acetone, and ethyl acetate.
 Ethanol has been

known as a good solvent for polyphenol extraction and is safe for human consumption. Methanol has been generally found

26to be more efficient in extraction of lower molecular weight polyphenols, whereas aqueous acetone is good for extraction of higher molecular weight flavanols [6]. The

maximum total phenolic content was obtained from barley flour by extraction using a mixture of ethanol and acetone [7]. For extracting flavonoids from tea, aqueous ethanol performed better than

13aqueous methanol and aqueous acetone [8]. Extracts with the greatest antioxidant activity

were obtained in mate tea and black tea by using

1350% aqueous ethanol and 50% aqueous acetone,

respectively [5]. *Limnophila aromatica* (Lamk.) Merr. (syn. *Limnophila gracissima* Blume) belongs to the Scrophulariaceae (figwort, snapdragon) family. Several species of *Limnophila* are found in Southeast Asia. It has been

25used as a spice and a medicinal herb in Southeast Asia.

In Vietnam, *L. aromatica* is easily cultivated in flooded rice fields and soggy land. It is used in Vietnamese cuisine to add flavour in soup broths, sauces, and other foods. *L. aromatica* has been shown to have negligible toxicity and possesses diuretic, muscle relaxant, and antispasmodic activities. It has been used to treat kidney stones, painful cramps, wound, care and ulcer [9]. A colorless antibacterial essential oil (0.1 wt.%) that contains limonene and perillaldehyde as the principal constituents was obtained from dry leaves of *L. aromatica* (cited as *L. gratissima*) [10]. Chlorogenic, caffeic acids, and uncommon 8-oxygenated flavonoids were also observed in this species [11,12]. However, there are no reports on the phenolic content and contribution of phenolic compound to the overall antioxidant activities of *L. aromatica*. The objective of this work was to investigate the effects of solvents on the extraction of polyphenol from defatted *L. aromatica* (DFLA) and investigate the antioxidant activity of the extracts by in vitro methods. 2. Methods 2.1. Materials The *L. aromatica* plant used in this study was bought at a Vietnamese store in Taiwan. FolineCiocalteu (FC) reagent, sodium carbonate anhydrous, gallic acid, sodium nitrite, sodium hydroxide, aluminum chloride anhydrous,

282,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), trichloroacetic acid, ferric chloride anhydrous, ascorbic acid, and catechin were purchased from Sigma-

Aldrich GmbH (Sternheim, Germany). Sulfuric acid and potassium-hexacyanoferrate were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

29 Anhydrous monobasic potassium phosphate was purchased from Fisher Chemicals (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA), whereas HPLC-grade methanol, ethanol, and acetone were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO,

USA). 2.2. Sample preparation After the roots were removed, a fresh *L. aromatica* plant (2 kg) was washed and freeze-dried. The freeze-dried sample was ground into powder using a kitchen milling machine and passed through a 60-mesh sieve. The powder (100 g) was then defatted using n-hexane as the solvent. The solid-liquid mixture was filtered with ADVANTEC qualitative filter paper number 2, and the residue was dried in an oven at 50 °C for 6 hours. The dried defatted *L. aromatica* (DFLA) was stored at 4 °C prior to extraction. 2.3. Extraction of DFLA DFLA (30 mg) was mixed with the solvent (1.6 mL), and the mixture was vigorously stirred for 5 minutes. The mixture was then centrifuged (13,000g, 25 °C) for 20 minutes. The supernatant obtained

3 was concentrated under vacuum at 45 °C and freeze-dried

for 24 hours. The freeze-dried extract was weighed to determine the extraction yield. All freeze-dried extracts were kept at 4 °C prior to analyses. 2.4. Determination of polyphenol content 2.4.1. Total phenol content Total phenol content (TPC) in each extract was determined using the FC method described by McDonald et al [13], with minor modifications. The freeze-dried extract was dissolved in distilled water to a concentration of 50 mg/mL. The calibration curve was established using gallic acid (0–60 mg/mL). The diluted extract or gallic acid (1.6 mL) was added to 0.2 mL FC reagent (5-fold diluted with distilled water) and mixed thoroughly for 3 minutes. Sodium carbonate (0.2 mL, 10%

1 w/v) was added to the mixture and the mixture was allowed to stand for 30 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 760 nm using a

UV-Vis spectrophotometer V-550 model (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). TPC was expressed as milligram gallic acid equivalent per gram defatted *L. aromatica* (mg GAE/g DFLA). 2.4.2. Total flavonoid content The total flavonoid content (TFC) of each extract was investigated using the aluminum chloride colorimetry method described by Chang et al [14] with slight modifications. In brief, the extract sample was diluted with methanol until 100 mg/mL. The calibration curve was prepared by diluting quercetin in methanol (0–100 mg/mL). The diluted extract or quercetin (2.0 mL) was mixed with 0.1 mL of 10% (w/v)

21 aluminum chloride solution and 0.1 mL of 0.1 mM potassium acetate solution. The mixture was kept at room temperature for 30 minutes. Then the maximum absorbance of the mixture was measured at 415 nm

using a UVeVIS spectrophotometer. TFC was expressed as milligram quercetin equivalent per gram defatted *L. aromatica* (mg QCE/g DFLA). 2.5. Antioxidant activity 2.5.1. Total antioxidant activity The total antioxidant activity (TAA) of the freeze-dried extract was determined by adapting the method used by Govindarajan et al [15] and Subhasree et al [16] with slight modifications. In brief, the freeze-dried extract was diluted with distilled water (60e220 mg/mL). The diluted extract (0.2 mL) was then mixed with 1.8 mL of reagent

22(0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate) in a capped plastic tube. The tube was incubated in a water bath at 90 C for 90

minutes, then cooled down to room temperature. The absorbance of this solution was measured at 695 nm using a UVeVIS spectrophotometer against a blank. Ascorbic acid (5e60 mg/mL) was used as the standard. TAA is expressed as equivalent of ascorbic acid. 2.5.2. Reducing power The method described by Chu et al [17] was applied in this work to determine the reducing power of freeze-dried extract. This

8reducing power was investigated by observing the transformation of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺. The extract was diluted

with distilled water (60e220 mg/mL). The diluted

30extract (0.5 mL) was mixed with phosphate buffer (2.5 mL, pH 6.6) and potassium ferricyanide (2.5 mL,

1% w/w) in a test tube, followed by incubating

8in a water bath at 50 C for 30 minutes. After the tube was removed from the water bath,

trichloroacetic acid (2.5 mL, 10% w/v) was added into the tube and centrifuged (13,000g, 10 minutes). The

23supernatant (2.5 mL) was diluted with distilled water (2.5 mL), and freshly prepared ferric chloride (0.5 mL, 0.1% w/w) was added.

The mixture was mixed thoroughly and its absorbance was measured at 700 nm using a UVeVIS spectrophotometer. 2.5.3. DPPH radical scavenging activity The antioxidant activity of the extract was measured with the DPPH method [18] with slight modifications. A solution of DPPH was freshly prepared by dissolving 6 mg DPPH in 50 mL methanol (about 0.3 mM). The extract (2.5 mL) with varying concentrations (60e220 mg/mL) and DPPH

28solution (2.5 mL) was mixed

together in a test tube. The test tube was then incubated in the dark for 20 minutes at room temperature. The

14decrease in absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a UVeVIS spectrophotometer. The percentage inhibition of radicals was calculated using the following formula: $\frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \times 100$ %inhibition where A_{control} is the absorbance of DPPH solution without extract and A_{sample} is the absorbance of sample with DPPH solution. The

half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was reported as the amount of antioxidant required to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50%. All tests were performed at least in triplicate, and graphs were plotted using the average of three determinations. 2.6.

2Statistical analyses All analyses were done at least in triplicate, and these values were then presented as average values along with their standard derivations. Data were analyzed using the Minitab software. Statistical comparisons were performed with one- way analysis of variance, and p values < 0.05 were regarded

Table 1 Extraction yield, TPC, TFC of freeze-dried L. aromatica extracts. e Solvent system a Extraction yield (%)b TPC (mg GAE/g) TFC (mg QCE/g) Water Methanol 100% (M) 75% aqueous methanol (M3) 50% aqueous methanol (M1) Ethanol 100% (E) 75% aqueous ethanol (E3) 50% aqueous ethanol (E1) Acetone 100% (A) 75% aqueous acetone (A3) 50% aqueous acetone (A1) 25.58 1.04 26.06 1.64 32.92 1.32 29.69 1.07 17.03 2.66 26.08 1.35 32.94 1.86 12.33 1.53 27.14 1.58 33.67 1.61 6.25 0.24 31.50 1.60 35.70 1.95 20.20 0.38 40.50 0.88 30.60 1.36 30.30 0.54 40.30 0.20 39.10 0.87 29.60 0.15 4.04 15.42 22.51 11.11 31.11 19.47 17.19 30.86 29.34 19.22 0.08 0.40 0.97 0.56 0.43 0.35 0.15 0.14 0.64 0.68

24GAE ¼ gallic acid equivalent; QCE ¼ quercetin; TFC ¼ total flavonoid content; TPC ¼ total phenol content.

a Expressed as fraction of solvents to water. b Expressed as 100 (g dry extract/g dry leaves). as significant. The correlation coefficients (R) between TPC and TFC were calculated to determine their relationship. 3. Results and discussion 3.1. Effects of solvent on extraction yield and polyphenol content 3.1.1. Extraction yield There are many steps to obtain phytochemicals from plant such as milling, grinding, homogenization, and extraction. Among these steps, extraction is the main step for recovering and isolating phytochemicals from plant materials. Extraction efficiency is affected by the chemical nature of phytochemi- cals, the

extraction method used, sample particle size, the solvent used, as well as the presence of interfering substances [19]. The yield of extraction depends on the solvent

18with varying polarity, pH, temperature, extraction time, and composition of the sample. Under the same extraction time and temperature, solvent and composition of sample are known as the most important parameters.

In this work, L. aromatica extracts were obtained by using water and different concentrations of aqueous methanol, ethanol, and acetone (50%, 75%, and 100%). Extraction yields ranged from 12.33% for acetone extract to 33.67% for 75% aqueous acetone extract (Table 1). The yields of extraction by various solvents decreased in the following order: 50%

13aqueous acetone > 50% aqueous ethanol > 75% aqueous methanol > 50% aqueous methanol > 75 % aqueous acetone

> 75% aqueous ethanol > 100% methanol > RO water > 100% ethanol > 100% acetone. It can be seen that the extraction yield of pure methanol (26.06%) is higher than that of pure ethanol (17.03%) and pure acetone (12.33%). This shows that the extraction yield increases with increasing polarity of the solvent used in extraction. It can also be found that the yield of the water extract (25.58%) is only slightly less than that of the pure methanol extract (26.06%), whereas the yield of aqueous solvent extract (from 26.08 % for 75% aqueous ethanol to 33.67 % for 50% aqueous acetone) is higher than that of the pure solvent extracts (from 12.33% for acetone to 26.06% for methanol). These results indicate that increasing the water concentration in the solvent enhances extraction yield. Compounds other than phenolics may have been extracted and contribute to higher yield. This may be attributable to the higher solubility of proteins and carbohydrates in water and methanol than in ethanol and acetone [20]. The combined use of water and organic solvent may facilitate the extraction of chemicals that are soluble in water and/or organic solvent. This may be the reason why yields of aqueous methanol, ethanol, and acetone extracts are higher than yields of water, methanol, ethanol, and acetone extracts. The results of this study are in agreement with the extraction yields of rice bran [21] and some medicinal plants [22]. 3.1.2. TPC Table 1 shows the TPC of the extracts measured using the FC method. TPC values were obtained from the calibration curve $y = 1/4 \times 50x + 7.75$ with

31 $R^2 = 0.9894$, where x is the absorbance and y is the concentration of gallic acid solution (mg/mL)

expressed as mg GAE/g DFLA. The TPC values of the extracts range from 6.25 mg GAE/g DFLA for water extract to 40.5 mg GAE/g DFLA for 100% ethanol extract (Table 1) and they decrease in the following order: 100% ethanol > 100% acetone > 75% aqueous acetone > 75% methanol > 100% methanol > 75% ethanol > 50% ethanol > 50% acetone > 50% methanol > water. The TPC of the 100% ethanol extract is not significantly higher than that of the 100% acetone extract, whereas the TPC of the water extract is significantly less than that of other solvents ($p < 0.01$). It was also found that the TPC of the extracts decreased

10with increasing water content in the aqueous solvent

except for the methanol system. The TPC of the 75% aqueous methanol extract (35.7 mg GAE/g DFLA) is higher than that of the 100% methanol extract (31.5 mg GAE/g DFLA) and the 50% aqueous extract (20.20 mg GAE/g DFLA). This may be attributable to the content of more nonphenol compounds such as carbohydrate and terpene in water extracts than in other extracts. It may also be caused by the possible complex formation of some phenolic compounds in the extract that are soluble in methanol, acetone, and ethanol. These phenolic compounds may possess more phenol groups or have higher molecular weights than the phenolics in the water extract. Based on the results of TPC, the best extracting solvent was ethanol.

3.1.3. TFC The TFC of the extracts are reported in Table 1. The TFCs can be

9grouped into four levels. The first and the highest level

(29.34e31.11 mg QCE/g DFLA) with the highest value belongs to the 100% ethanol extract (31.11 mg QCE/g DFLA), followed by the 100% acetone extract (30.86 mg QCE/g DFLA) and the 75% aqueous acetone extract (29.36 mg QCE/g DFLA). The second level (19.22e22.51 mg QCE/g DFLA) includes the 75% aqueous methanol extract (22.51 mg QCE/g DFLA), the 75% aqueous ethanol extract (19.47 mg QCE/g DFLA), and the 50% aqueous acetone extract (19.22 mg QCE/g DFLA). The third level (11.11e17.19 mg QCE/g DFLA) includes the 50% aqueous ethanol (17.19 mg QCE/g DFLA), the 100% methanol extract (15.42 mg QCE/g DFLA), and the 50% aqueous extract (11.11 mg QCE/g DFLA). The

9final level with the lowest TFC, is the water extract

(4.04 mg QCE/g DFLA). It was observed that the effect of

9solvents on TFC is similar to that on TPC. The highest TFC was

obtained in the 100% ethanol extract, followed by the 100% acetone extract, the 100% methanol extract, and the water extract. A similar trend was observed in the amount of TPC. As the concentration of water in ethanol or acetone increases, the TFC in the extract decreases. By contrast, the TFC in the 75% aqueous methanol extract is higher than that in the 100% methanol extract and the 50% aqueous methanol extract. A correlation analysis was performed on polyphenolic contents (TPC and TFC) of *L. aromatica* extracts. The correlation between TPC and TFC assay was found to be 0.923. This indicates

9that flavonoids are the dominating phenolic group in *L. aromatica*. The result

is similar to the extraction of phenolics from guava and pisang mas, a variety of banana [23]. Some flavonoids that were isolated from *L. aromatica* have been identified by Khrisnan et al [11] and Bui et al [12].

3.2. Solvent effects on antioxidant activities 3.2.1. TAA The TAA of the extract was calculated based on the formation of the phosphomolybdenum complex. This activity was measured spectrophotometrically at 695

nm [15] and expressed as equivalents of ascorbic acid. Fig. 1 shows the TAA of extracts in a concentration-dependent manner. The extract of 100% ethanol gave the highest TAA, followed by the extracts of 100% acetone, 100% methanol, 75% aqueous acetone, 75%

13aqueous methanol, 50% aqueous acetone, 50% aqueous ethanol, and 50% aqueous methanol. The

TAA of the water extract is the lowest and is significantly different from that of the others ($p < 0.05$). The TAA of the 100% ethanol extract is higher than that of the 100% acetone extract. However, both extracts show significantly higher TAA than that of the other extracts ($p < 0.05$). By contrast, TAAs of the other extracts do not show significant difference from each other. 3.2.2. Reducing power The reducing power of the extract, which may serve as a reflection of its antioxidant activity, was determined using a modified Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} reduction assay, whereby the yellow

4color of the test solution changes to various shades of green and blue, depending on the reducing power of the sample. The presence of antioxidants in the sample causes the reduction of Fe^{3+} /ferricyanide complex to the Fe^{2+} form, which is monitored by measuring the formation of Perl's Prussian blue at 700 nm

[24]. In Fig. 2, all extracts show some degrees of electron-donating capacity in a concentration-dependent manner. The 100% ethanol extract once again gave the highest reducing power and is significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than that of the other extracts at all concentrations studied, followed by that of the 100% acetone extract, the 100% methanol extract, and the extracts obtained by different concentrations of aqueous acetone, aqueous ethanol, and aqueous methanol. The reducing power of the 100% acetone extract at concentrations ranging from 60 mg/mL to 100 mg/mL is insignificantly higher than that of the 100% methanol extract and the extracts of aqueous ethanol, aqueous methanol, and aqueous Fig. 1 Total antioxidant activity of *Limnophila aromatica* extracts in different solvents. Fig. 2 e Reducing power of *Limnophila aromatica* extracts in different solvents. acetone. However, at concentrations higher than 100 mg/mL, the reducing power of the 100% acetone extract is significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than that of the extracts of 100% methanol, aqueous ethanol, aqueous acetone, and aqueous methanol. The lowest reducing power was found in the water extract. Its value is also significantly lower than that of the other extracts at all concentrations studied. 3.2.3. DPPH radical scavenging activity

3DPPH radical is a stable organic free radical with an absorption band at 517 nm. It loses this absorption when accepting an electron or a free radical species, which results in a visually noticeable discoloration from purple to yellow.

It

16can accom- modate many samples in a short period and is sensitive enough to detect active ingredients at low concentrations

[25]. Fig. 3

12shows the DPPH scavenging activities of the extracts in a concentration - dependent manner.

The extract obtained by 100% ethanol yielded the highest DPPH radical scavenging activity at concentrations ranging from 60 mg/mL to 180 mg/mL. Fig. 3 DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical e scavenging activity of *Limnophila aromatica* extracts in different solvents. However, at concentrations ranging from 180 mg/mL to 220 mg/ mL, its DPPH radical scavenging activity is not significantly different from those of the other extracts. All extracts ob- tained by using a pure and aqueous organic solvent gave stronger radical scavenging capacity than that of the water extract. A similar trend was observed in the study of DPPH radical scavenging activity of pineapple crude extract [23] and defatted wheat germ [26]. 3.2.4. IC50 The IC50 of a compound is inversely related to its antioxidant capacity, as it

12expresses the amount of antioxidant required to decrease the DPPH concentration by 50%, which is obtained by interpolation from a linear regression analysis

[27]. A lower IC50 indicates a higher antioxidant activity of a compound. Table 2 shows the IC50 values in the DPPH radical scavenging activity assay of the extracts. It was found that the 100% ethanol extract possesses the strongest DPPH radical activity (IC50 $\frac{1}{4}$ 70.06 mg/mL). Phenolics were the main antioxidant components, and their total contents were directly proportional to their anti- oxidant activity [27]. In this study, there is a correlation be- tween total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of the freeze-dried *L. aromatica* extracts.

10With increasing water content in the solvent, yield increased whereas total phenolic content and antioxidant activity decreased.

This result is different from the results of previous studies on black tea and mate tea [5] and bunga kantan inflorescence [28]. Almost all of their results showed higher TPC as well as TAA when the organic solvent system contains water. In this study, it was also observed that the TPC, TFC, and antioxidant activity of pure acetone and pure ethanol extracts are higher than those of pure methanol, water, and the other solvents. The Table 2 IC50 in DPPH radical scavenging activity. e Extracts IC50 (mg/mL) Water Methanol 100% (M) 75% aqueous methanol (M3) 50% aqueous methanol (M1) Ethanol 100% (E) 75% aqueous ethanol (E3) 50% aqueous ethanol (E1) Acetone 100% (A) 75% aqueous acetone (A3) 50% aqueous acetone (A1) Gallic acid1y Ascorbic acidity 679.67 1.4i 95.68 0.8e 80.98 2.5c 137.85 0.4h 70.06 1.0a 106.18 1.2f 109.97 1.0fg 88.06 79.98 110.36 2.6 8.4 1.3d 1.6b 0.24g Different superscripted letters (aei) indicate significant difference

from one another ($p > 0.05$). DPPH $\frac{1}{4}$ 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; IC₅₀ $\frac{1}{4}$ half-maximal inhibitory concentration. The IC₅₀ values of

7 gallic acid and ascorbic acid as controls were taken from

"Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of some Brazilian species", by Brighente IMC, Dias M, Verdi LG, et al. 2007, Pharm Biol 45, p. 156e61. Copyright 2007, Informa Healthcare. Reprinted with permission. differences between the results of this study and those of other studies may be attributed to several factors: (1) the difference in plant matrix; (2) different solvents used in extraction resulted in differences in compositions and antioxidant activities of the extracts [29]; (3) an extract possessing a phenolic compound that contains a higher number of hydroxyl groups has a higher antioxidant activity [30]; (4) the method and conditions of extraction (temperature and time) also affected antioxidant activities [31]. 4. Conclusion The antioxidant activity of *L. aromatica* was evaluated using the TAA assay, DPPH radical scavenging assay, and reducing power assay. In general, extraction yield increased with increasing water content in ethanol, acetone, and methanol system. This

11 may be caused by the combination of organic solvent and water that facilitates the extraction of all

compounds that were soluble in both water and organic solvents. In contrast to extraction yield, the TPC, TFC, and antioxidant activity decreased

10 with increasing water content in organic solvents. The

20 water extract may either contain more non-phenolic compounds or possess phenolic compounds that contain a smaller number of active groups than the other solvents. The TPC of extracts were

32 consistent with the TFC and antioxidant activity of extracts. By considering both yield and antioxidant activity, extraction by using 75% acetone provided significantly better results

(extraction yield 27.14 \pm 1.58%, TPC 39.10 \pm 0.87 mg GAE/g DFLA, TFC 29.34 \pm 0.64 mg QCE/g DFLA, IC₅₀ 79.98 \pm 1.8 mg/mL) than those of the other solvent systems. But despite its extraction yield, extract of *L. aromatica* in 100% ethanol fraction showed the highest amounts of TPC (40.50 \pm 0.88 mg GAE/g DFLA) and TFC (31.11 \pm 0.43 mg QCE/g DFLA) and the lowest value of IC₅₀ (70.06 \pm 1.0 mg/mL). It is clear that 100% ethanol extract gave the highest antioxidant capacity in all in vitro assays studied. The results of this work

indicated that *L. aromatica*, when a proper extraction solvent is established, could serve as a medicine against free-radical-associated oxidative damage.

15 Acknowledgments This work was supported by a grant from the National Taiwan University

of Science and Technology (101H451403). references [1] Pérez-Jiménez J, Arranz S, Tabernero M, et al. Updated methodology to determine antioxidant capacity in plant foods, oils and beverages: extraction, measurement and expression of results. *Food Res Int* 2008;41:274e85. [2] Miliauskas G, Venskutonis PR, Van Beek TA. Screening of radical scavenging activity of some medicinal and aromatic plant extracts. *Food Chem* 2004;85:231e7. [3] Harborne JB. Classes and functions of secondary products from plants. In: Walton JN, Brown DE, editors. *Chemicals from plants perspectives on plant secondary products*. London, UK: Imperial College Press; 1999. p. 1e25. [4] King A, Young G. Characteristics and occurrence of phenolic phytochemicals. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1999;99:213e8. [5] Turkmen N, Sari F, Velioglu YS. Effects of extraction solvents on concentration and antioxidant activity of black and black mate tea polyphenols determined by ferrous tartrate and FolineCiocalteu methods. *Food Chem* 2006;99:835e41. [6] Dai J, Mumper RJ. Plant phenolics: extraction, analysis and their antioxidant and anticancer properties. *Molecules* 2010;15:7313e52. [7] Bonoli M, Verardo V, Marconi E, et al. Antioxidant phenols in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) flour: comparative spectrophotometric study among extraction methods of free and bound phenolic compounds. *J Agric Food Chem* 2004;52:5195e200. [8] Wang H, Helliwell K. Determination of flavonols in green and black tea leaves and green tea infusions by high- performance liquid chromatography. *Food Res Int* 2001;34:223e7. [9] Do TL. Vietnamese medicinal plants. Hanoi, Vietnam: Medicine Publishing House; 1999. p. 268. [10] Venkata Rao J, Shrinivasa Aithal K, Srinivasan KK. Antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of *Limnophila gratiissima*. *Fitoterapia* 1989;60:376e7. [11] Krishnan S, Nair A, Ramachandran G. Uncommon 8-oxygenated flavonoids from *Limnophila aromatica* (Scrophulariaceae). *Indian J Chem* 1999;38B:1009. [12] Bui ML, Grayer RJ, Veitch NC, et al. Uncommon 8-oxygenated flavonoids from *Limnophila aromatica* (Scrophulariaceae). *Biochem Syst Ecol* 2004;32:943e7. [13] McDonald S, Prenzler PD, Antolovich M, et al. Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of olive extracts. *Food Chem* 2001;73:73e84. [14] Chang CC, Yang MH, Wen HM, et al. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. *J Food Drug Anal* 2002;10:178e82. [15] Govindarajan R, Rastogi S, Vijayakumar M, et al. Studies on the antioxidant activities of *Desmodium gangeticum*. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2003;26:1424e7. [16] Subhasree B, Baskar R, Laxmi Keerthana R, et al. Evaluation of antioxidant potential in selected green leafy vegetables. *Food Chem* 2009;115:1213e20. [17] Chu YH, Chang CL, Hsu HF. Flavonoid content of several vegetables and their antioxidant activity. *J Sci Food Agric* 2000;80:561e6. [18] Shimada K, Fujikawa K, Yahara K, et al. Antioxidative properties of xanthan on the autoxidation of soybean oil in cyclodextrin emulsion. *J Agric Food Chem* 1992;40:945e8. [19] Stalikas CD. Extraction, separation, and detection methods for phenolic acids and flavonoids. *J Sep Sci* 2007;30:3268e95. [20] Zielinski H, Kozłowska H. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in selected cereal grains and their different morphological fractions. *J Agric Food Chem* 2000;48:2008e16. [21] Chatha SAS, Anwar F, Manzoor M, et al. Evaluation of the antioxidant activity of rice bran extracts using different antioxidant assays. *Grasas Aceites* 2006;57:328e35. [22] Sultana B, Anwar F, Ashraf M. Effect of extraction solvent/ technique on the antioxidant activity of selected medicinal plant extracts. *Molecules* 2009;14:2167e80. [23] Alothman M, Bhat R, Karim AA. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of selected tropical fruits from Malaysia, extracted with different solvents. *Food Chem* 2009;115:785e8. [24] Yang QM, Pan X, Kong W, et al. Antioxidant activities of malt extract from barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) toward various oxidative stress in vitro and in vivo. *Food Chem* 2010;118:84e9. [25]

Hseu YC, Chang WH, Chen CS, et al. Antioxidant activities of toona sinensis leaves extracts using different antioxidant models. Food Chem Toxicol 2008;46:105e14. [26] Zhu KX, Lian CX, Guo XN, et al. Antioxidant activities and total phenolic contents of various extracts from defatted wheat germ. Food Chem 2011;126:1122e6. [27] Liu SC, Lin JT, Wang CK, et al. Antioxidant properties of various solvent extracts from lychee (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) flowers. Food Chem 2009;114:577e81. [28] Wijekoon MMJO, Bhat R, Karim AA. Effect of extraction solvents on the phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of bunga kantan (Etlingera elatior Jack.) inflorescence. J Food Compos Anal 2011;24:615e9. [29] Pinelo M, Manzocco L, Nuñez MJ, et al. Interaction among phenols in food fortification: negative synergism on antioxidant capacity. J Agric Food Chem 2004;52:1177e80. [30] Arabshahi-D S, Vishalakshi Devi D, Urooj A. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of some plant extracts and their heat, pH and storage stability. Food Chem 2007;100:1100e5. [31] Robards K. Strategies for the determination of bioactive phenols in plants, fruit and vegetables. J Chromatogr A 2003;1000:657e91.

5journal of food and drug analysis 22

(2014) 296e302

5journal of food and drug analysis 22

(2014) 296e302 297 298

5journal of food and drug analysis 22

(2014) 296e302

5journal of food and drug analysis 22

(2014) 296e302 299 300

5journal of food and drug analysis 22

(2014) 296e302

5journal of food and drug analysis 22

(2014) 296e302 301 302 journal of food and drug analysis 22 (2014) 296e302